

MINUTES OF MEETING

19th SHSC Meeting

Time : 1930 - 2100 (MYT)
Date : Thursday, 8th June, 2023
Venue : Zoom conference call

Attendees:

Name	Initial	Organisation	Representative Category	Attended Yes/No
1. Lee Kuan Chun	LKC	P&G	CGM - Substantive, Co-Chair	Yes
2. Marieke Leegwater	ML	Solidaridad	Social NGO - Substantive, Co- Chair	Yes
3. Rob Nicholls	RN	PT Musim Mas	Processor & Trader - Substantive	Yes
4. Roka Lampert	RL	HOFER Kg	Retailer - Substantive	No
5. Ivan Novrizaldie	IN	Asian Agri	Oil Palm Grower (INA) - Substantive	Yes
6. Eleanor Spencer	ES	ZSL	Environmental NGO - Substantive	No
7. Stephanie Lim	SL	WWF-Singapore	Environmental NGO - Substantive	Yes
8. Ian Orrell	IO	NBPOL	Smallholder (PNG) - Substantive	Yes
9. Narno Sayoto Irontiko	NA	Asosiasi Amanah	Smallholder (INA) - Substantive	Yes
10. Johan Verburg	JV	Rabobank	Financial Institution - Substantive	No
11. Lahai Davies (for Kalindi Lorenzo)	KL	Planting Naturals	Oil Palm Grower (RoW)- Substantive	Yes
12. Sharyn Suffian	SS	WildAsia	Smallholder (Malaysia) - Substantive	Yes
13. Jorge Coronel	JC	Oleopalma (Mexico)	Smallholder (LatAm) - Substantive	No
14. Rukaiyah Rafik	RR	Setara Jambi	Smallholder (INA) - Alternate	Yes

Secretariat Team			
Guntur Cahyo Prabowo	GCP	SH Unit RSPO	
Kertijah Abdul Kadir	KAK	SH Unit RSPO	
Bella Sosa	BES	SH Unit RSPO (LatAm)	
Edem Asimadu	EA	SH Unit RSPO (Africa)	
Syamimi Binti Shahri	SYA	SH Unit RSPO (KL)	
Aprilia Trianasari	AT	SH Unit RSPO (JKT)	
Dika Dwi Darmawan	DDD	SH Unit RSPO (JKT)	
Felix Among G. Prasetyo	FLX	SH Unit RSPO (JKT)	
Prommul Kongyong	PRK	SH Unit RSPO (THA)	
Cheryl Ong Sue Peng	CO	Secretariat (IMEL)	

Agenda:

7:30 - 7:35 pm	<p>1. Welcome and Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● RSPO Antitrust laws ● RSPO Consensus based decision making ● Declaration of Conflict of Interest ● Acceptance of Meeting Agenda
7:35 - 7:40 pm	2. Adoption of Meeting Minutes (Annex 1) Meeting #18
7:40 - 8:10 pm	3. [Update] RSPO BoG Changes
8:10 - 8:25 pm	<p>4. Standard Review 2022-2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Timeline of Standard Review Process ● Public Consultations ● [Presentation] <i>2nd Draft for 2nd Public Consultation</i> ● [Presentation] <i>2nd Draft - Recommendation from Uki</i> ● [Discussion] <i>Summary of Results of 1st Public Consultation</i> ● Key Topics to be brought to TF Physical Meeting (Jakarta)
8:25 - 8:30 pm	<p>5. Impact Study: Impacts Implementation EUDR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Impact Study: Impacts Implementation EUDR
8:30 - 8:50 pm	<p>6. Increasing Traction on SH Support (Shared Responsibility & RSEP)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Shared Responsibility & RSEP
8:50 - 8:55 pm	<p>7. RaCP for Independent SH</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Timeline for Completion for RaCP V2 (SH Debrief)
8:55 pm	<p>8. Q&A Session based on Updates from Secretariat (Annex 2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Membership, Certification and Market Uptake Data (<i>including trends</i>) ● Livelihoods Programme ● Progress Update on the Development of Forest Protection Approach ● Regional Highlights
8:55 - 9:10 pm	<p>9. AOB</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Next Meeting & Meeting Physically at RT2023

DISCUSSION:

No.	Description	Action Points (PIC)
1.0	Welcome and Introduction	
1.1	The Chair opens the meeting, welcoming all members. The meeting began by reading out the RSPO antitrust laws, emphasising that decisions made should be based on individual investigation and judgement. Consensus is preferred, but if not achievable, a minimum of 75% of committee members must vote in favour, including at least one vote from each membership category. If consensus remains elusive, the chair or co-chairs can refer to the OG for a final decision. The Chair then highlights the importance of declaring any conflicts of interest, and members with conflicts must recuse themselves from relevant discussions and decision-making processes.	
2.0	Adoption Meeting of Minutes	
2.1	All members agree to adopt the Meeting Minutes from Meeting 18.	
3.0	[Update] RSPO BoG Changes	
	KAK presented the current FY23 RSPO Board of Governors (BoG) members. New members: Principal for Grower (Indonesia) - Anita Neville replacing Pak Bungaran Alternate for Social NGO - Bernadinus Steni Alternate for Retailers - vacant since Hugo John Brynes stepped out Alternate for CGM - vacant since Claudine Musitell resigned	Post-meeting update: <u>No seats to be re-elected in the coming RT2023 GA.</u> CBN stepped down as Co-Chair and was replaced by Jose Roberto Montenegro Baide (AgroCaribe).
4.0	Standard Review 2022-2023	
4.1	KAK starts the presentation with the Standard Review Process Timeline. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Announcement for 2nd Public Consultation for 2nd Draft <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Made available on three platforms: Online, Webinar, Physical Translations for 2nd Draft is available in 6 languages <p>The meeting continued with RR’s presentation on recommendations for the ISH Standard 2023 2nd Draft. In her opinion, this will support physical traceability at smallholder level as per the EUDR requirement. The following is her proposal:</p>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● In Indicator 5.2.1, (1) add the sentence <i>“the UoC priorities or shall buy the certified material from ISH certified RSPO.”</i> Or (2) add a new indicator <i>“UoC shall prioritise to buy the certified material from ISH certified, if possible (eg : distance).”</i> ● To strengthen the ISH Standard, by including into C1.1E: <i>“Plans for collaborative production partnerships with certified UoC or RSPO member mills.”</i> And to extend C2.1.E’s <i>“Approaches to strengthen existing links in the supply chain,”</i> with <i>“including production partnerships with UoCs in the supply of certified materials.”</i> ● ML added her comments for Principle 5.1.3 where the word <i>“Fair Pricing”</i> has been changed to <i>“the fair or competitive pricing”</i>, and needed clarification from the TF to ensure that <i>“Competitive pricing”</i> is fair as well. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ IO recommended that the terms be interpreted within national interpretations to reflect different national realities ○ CTA: To determine better terminology to determine <i>“Fair against”</i> and <i>“Competitive against”</i> to be used as reference <p>KAK suggested that RR submitted these recommendations as comments into the public consultation platform (Konvieo).</p>	
5.0	Impact Study: Impacts Implementation EUDR	
5.1	<p>ML explained the current situation with regards to the endorsement of EUDR and the EUDR gap analysis study conducted by RSPO (Market Transformation Dept). Based on discussion between Co-Chairs and Secretariat, it was agreed that there is a need to focus on the potential impact in the purchase of ISH credits by RSPO members in Europe as a result of the implementation of EUDR regulation. It was highlighted that the SHSC should provide more clarity on what the expected outcome of the study is - to better frame the ToR, and the need for an Alignment Meeting between the SHSC co-chairs and Secretariat Technical Director.</p>	<p>Post Meeting Update: A ToR is being finalised and a consultant is being engaged to do this study.</p>
6.0	Increasing Traction on SH Support (Shared Responsibility & RSEP)	
6.1	<p>ML requested the Secretariat Team to detail the kind of support that can be expected from FMCG companies or retailers in terms of Shared Responsibility requirement for smallholder inclusivity. GCP shared the RSEP page to highlight and share the facilitators’ portfolios and their work with smallholders.</p>	
7.0	RaCP for Independent SH	
7.1	<p>KAK highlighted the Timeline for Completion for RaCP V2 (SH debrief),</p>	

	<p>where Smallholder takes up a Sub Group Discussion category. The review is led by a consultant, and is being handled by the Climate Change Unit in Standard Development.</p> <p>The summary progress of the Sub Group Discussion has concluded the RaCP mechanism for ISH to remedial action, which is divided into immediate and progressive action. The current discussion is currently focused on the former action (immediate). The latter (progressive action) is lacking inputs on timeline for development in regards to the remediation (ie x years limit or until next planting cycle to allow fruits still taken with no farm inputs). The Sub Group Discussion found that the lack of participation for smallholders representatives from Africa, Thailand and LATAM have also affected this input.</p>	
8.0	Q&A Session based on Updates from Secretariat (Annex 2) & Presentation on SenSor's Study Result	
8.1	<p>Due to technical issue and time limitation, Rosa (from SenSor) was not able to present on the Access to RSPO certification to Indonesian Smallholder.</p> <p>SenSor will be pre-recording her presentation and circulate it around.</p>	<p>(SenSor's presentation) View in slide show to listen to recording in ppt</p>
8.2	<p>RR suggested adding information on sold volumes in the market uptake slide. More importantly, RR was in the opinion that more strategic communication from RSPO is needed to built market trust to buy IS-credits and vice versa to smallholders.</p>	
10.0	AOB	
9.1	<p>The next meeting is planned to take place in the last two weeks of September 2023. Members agreed to have a physical meeting during the next RT in Jakarta.</p>	

Meeting ended at 2110