

MINUTES OF MEETING
RSPO CTF2 Call (24 February 2022)

Attendance:

<p><u>Members and Alternates</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Lee Swee Yin (SDP)2. Harjinder Kler (HUTAN)3. Ambang Wijaya (GAR)4. Budi Tri Prasetya (Musim Mas)5. Cahyo Nugroho (FFI)6. Chin Sing Yun (Wilmar)7. Dita Galina (Musim Mas)8. Eleanor Spencer (ZSL)9. Fanny Roussel (SIPEF)10. Gotz Martin (GAR)11. Lanash Thanda (SEPA)12. Lim Sian Choo (Bumitama)13. Martin Mach (Bumitama)14. Michelle Desilets (OLT)15. Octyanto Bagus (WWF)16. Quentin Meunier (Olam)17. Syahrial Anhar (Wilmar) <p><u>Absent with apologies</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Anne Rosenbarger (WRI)2. Arnina Hussin (SDP)3. Benjamin Loh (WWF)4. Bukti Bagja (WRI)5. Mahendra Pimajati (FFI)6. Olivier Tichit (Musim Mas)7. Vivi Anita (Musim Mas)	<p><u>RSPO Secretariat</u></p> <p>Khing Su Li Kaw Kar Mun</p>
--	--

No.	Details	Action
1	<p><u>Update on composition</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In previous CTF2 meetings, representatives from Yayasan Setara Jambi and Solidaridad Africa were nominated to join the CTF2 as smallholder representatives. However, the participation was limited as they were stretched. In addition, representative from Solidaridad Africa has resigned from the organisation. • In place, Kalindi Lorenzo from Planting Naturals BV, a member from of the Smallholder Standing Committee, joins the CTF2 to represent smallholder interests. 	
2	<p><u>Resolution GA18-2d (adopted on 2 December 2021)</u></p> <p>The resolution outlined 2 key actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediate reprieve on the RaCP for scheme smallholders, and 2. The review of barriers & simplification of the process of RaCP for scheme smallholders. Terms of Reference (ToR) for review of smallholder considerations for the RaCP (all smallholders groups) <p>The action item on reprieve (point 1) has been discussed by the BHCVWG. Summary of the discussion as follow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Announcement on the reprieve - Lack of clarity of what the reprieve means and how it is to be implemented. Therefore, an announcement to be published with the intention to inform stakeholders that steps are being undertaken to address the call for implementing the reprieve, and that any delays to the time bound plan for certification due to this reprieve should not be considered as a failure to deliver on the time bound plan requirements. • The BHCVWG will commission a quick rapid scoping study - to map out the processes that the reprieve will affect, identify the various scenarios for both existing members and new applications that the reprieve will affect, and identify the specific conditions or parameters to implement the reprieve. The conditions of implementing the reprieve have to be defined as it has consequences on the claims and supply model of certified units e.g. mass balance, segregated or identity preserved. <p>On the action item outlined in point 2, the Secretariat tabled the suggestion of commissioning a study. The draft ToR were reviewed. It was also highlighted that the ToR has outlined the need for consultation with smallholders, in order to address the conditions, set by the resolution proponents. Amendments to the objective and scope of review were made. However, the members highlighted that there is no mention of region to cover in the Resolution GA18-2d.</p> <p>As the follow up actions, the members have tasked the Secretariat to engage with the proponent(s) of the resolution to seek clarity of the intention of the Resolution, provide information / updates on the ways forward (as aforementioned), and seek any additional inputs so that the RSPO Secretariat can commence on the works, especially on the rapid study for reprieve implementation.</p>	<p>RSPO Secretariat to contact the resolution proponents, and reach out to the co-chairs of the Smallholder Standing Committee to participate in the discussion with the resolution proponents.</p>

3	<p><u>Revised timelines for RaCP v2 and ancillary document</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The RSPO Secretariat presented the revised timelines for RaCP revision for growers and smallholders (see Appendix 1). • No objections to the suggested timelines 	
4	<p><u>PT Lestari proposal: Summing up the decision on proposal</u></p> <p>Feedback from CTF2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed mechanism of a portfolio-based approach is useful for growers with small liabilities e.g. 2 ha, to increase impact and efficiency and achieve conservation and social outcomes. • Members have no objection to the idea, and agreed that the proposal from PT Lestari can be an option for growers to consider for RaCP. Additional points for PT Lestari to consider: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ensure that there is no conflict of interest in vetting the projects, or proposing the projects to companies ○ Ensure that all RaCP criteria is met ○ Risk mitigation safeguards are in place to address risks of projects failing <p>The members raised the possibility of RSPO Secretariat setting up a similar system to assist members access to wider project options, and reduce the potential of conflict of interest between different service providers. The Secretariat responded that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Secretariat has capacity constraints; making such commitment has to be approached with caution and this needs support from all levels of RSPO. • CTF2 can table the proposal for Secretariat to set up the mechanism to the RSPO BoG <p>CTF2 acknowledged that setting up this mechanism to collate a portfolio of projects, may provide an opportunity to help clear backlog of RaCP cases, but the focus should be on liabilities less than 50 ha.</p> <p>The members agreed to conduct a pilot testing to test the idea for a mechanism to be housed within the RSPO. CTF2 to proceed with setting up a sub-group to further develop the concept. A simple ToR for the subgroup to outline the scope and proposed timelines to be drafted and circulated. Interested members can join the sub-group.</p> <p>A caution was also raised to ensure that there is no double dipping in the compensation funds (additionality requirements).</p>	
5	<p><u>Next meeting for CTF2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed to held in mid-March and April 2022. • Doodle Polls for the date of next meetings. 	<p>Secretariat to send out Doodle Polls for the next meetings by the first week of March 2022.</p>
6	<p>End of meeting</p>	

