

RSPO SCT WG: Minutes Zoom call on June 30, 2020. Dedicated meeting on RSPO Uptake.

#### Agenda

- 1. Reading of the antitrust statement
- 2. Approval of the agenda
- 3. Approval of draft minutes of June 4 meeting
- 4. CSPO Uptake
- 5. CSPK Uptake
- 6. Next steps
- 7. Messaging
- 8. AOB

#### Participants

Constituency	stituency Name, company		
Grower - ROW	Fabio Gutierrez, Aceites S.A.	No	
Grower - MY	Lee Kuan Yee, KLK	Yes	
Grower - Indo	Robbert Kessels, Sipef	No, login issues	
Grower - MY	Mark Wong, Sime Darby Plantations	Yes	
P&T	Daphne Hameeteman, Wilmar Europe	Wilmar Europe Yes	
P&T	Sietse Buisman, Cargill	Yes	
P&T (alternate)	Helen Scholey, Shell	No, substantive present	
P&T (alternate)	Rina Rahayu, IOI Group	Yes	
eNGO	Angga Prathama Putra, WWF	Yes	
Certification Body (non member of WG, expert)	Andy Green, BM Trada	No	
	Dan Strechay, RSPO Secretariat	Yes	
	Aminah Ang, RSPO Secretariat	No	
	Margareth Naulie Panggabean, RSPO Secretariat	Yes	
	Inke van der Sluijs, RSPO Secretariat (chaired this meeting, minutes)	Yes	

### 2 Agenda

The agenda was approved.

### 3 Approval of draft minutes of June 4 meeting

CBs can join as expert but will not be a member of the SCT WG. Inke has corrected this in the minutes and these will be published on the website.

We had a discussion about the participation of the alternates when the substantive is present. The ToR state that the alternate cannot join the meetings when the substantive is present. However, for WGs we can be flexible. The SCT WG has agreed that alternates can join calls of the WG. Inke to communicate to the whole SCT WG.



# Action 6: Finalise the minutes and communicate participation of alternates to the SCT WG (Inke).

## 4 CSPO Uptake

CSPO uptake is reported on the RSPO website under the Impacts page.

The graph is called "Certified Sustainable Palm Oil supply and sales by supply chain model" and can be displayed as supply and sales aggregated per year or for the months of the current year.

Supply is actually the certified volume of mills given by certification bodies for their coming license year based on the production area and maturity of oil palms. Careful monitoring of reported actual production of the mills has shown that this is on average lower than the certified volume with a difference of approx. 20%.

Sales are an aggregate of RSPO Credits + MB + SG + IP sales of mills as registered in PalmTrace.

We have concluded that there are shortcomings in this methodology:

- 1 Reporting period inconsistent
- 2 Certified production does not equal actual production
- 3 Certified volume prorated is inaccurate
- 4 Unsold volume is overestimated as mills with RSPO and other certifications in place, actually sell volumes to the market with a different claim for example ISCC.

It is clear RSPO needs to make a decision about the other schemes but that is already identified as a work stream under this WG.

The SCT WG has decided to draft a proposal for the MDSC on changing the methodology of measuring uptake. This will include the sales reported under other schemes. Also, it will be based on actual production rather than project certified volume.

We discussed the challenges with regards to data coming from different sources (PalmTrace and audit reports) and reports that have different reporting periods (calendar year and license year). The WG asks for a change in the requirements for mills to record the total sales under other certification schemes in PalmTrace as currently this is only recorded in the audit report.

Final recommendation to the MDSC to report CSPO uptake as:

Deliveries of Credits + MB + SG + IP	* 100%
Actual production of CSPO	

The graph at the website needs to be adapted and display deliveries and production per SC model.

For the graph on the current year data, we ask to report the Annual Certified Volume of active licenses for that month. To clarify, in January we aggregate the certified volume of all active licenses in PalmTrace in January <u>not</u> prorated. In the same graph, we display the deliveries per month per supply chain model.



For both graphs, we need to create clear legends and publish definitions for deliveries and actual production.

# Action 7: Describe the CSPO Uptake methodology and do the calculations (RSPO secretariat).

Once this methodology is approved by the MDSC, we are also asked to look into the deliveries for all MB mills separately from IP mills.

# Action 8: Present the deliveries aggregated for all MB mills and all IP mills separately (RSPO secretariat).

## 5 CSPK uptake

For CSPK uptake, we need to ask the PKO TF for their recommendation on reporting uptake of CSPK.

## Action 9: Ask PKO TF for recommendation on reporting CSPK uptake

## 6 Next steps

- Agree to the proposed CSPO Uptake methodology: all on the call agreed.
- Secretariat to do the calculations for CSPO Uptak.
- Review data with SCT WG.
- Review messaging on uptake and global certified volume.
- Propose to 1) MDSC and 2) BoG.

## 7 Messaging

In case RSPO adopts this new proposed methodology, we should decide whether we also change the percentage of the global palm oil volumes that is RSPO certified. This percentage will go down but is reflecting reality better.

At the same time, when we follow this methodology, the percentage uptake will be higher.

## 8 AOB

SRWG will be discussing volumes during their meeting this week. Can we align? Message after the meeting: this is not on the agenda for this meeting. When it is, Inke will be invited.

Don't forget to register for <u>webinars</u> and the <u>European Sustainable Palm Oil Dialogue on 2</u> <u>September</u>.

Inke will send a Doodle for the next meeting.



Summary of Actions								
Action	Description	Person in charge (PIC)	Meeting date	End date	Status			
1	Fill vacancies, assign alternates, find chair	all	04/06/2020		Pending			
2	Share the final ToR	Inke	04/06/2020		Done			
3	Add to the workstreams, description, KPI, timeline table	all	04/06/2020					
4	Revive the PKO Task Force	Inke	04/06/2020					
5	Schedule next meeting on Reporting Uptake	Inke	04/06/2020	30/06/2020	Done			
6	Finalise the minutes and communicate participation of alternates to the SCT WG	Inke	30/06/2020					
7	Describe the CSPO Uptake methodology and do the calculations	RSPO secretariat	30/06/2020					
8	Present the deliveries aggregated for all MB mills and all IP mills separately	RSPO secretariat	30/06/2020					
9	Ask PKO TF for recommendation on reporting CSPK uptake	RSPO secretariat	30/06/2020					