



RSPO SCT WG: Minutes Zoom call on June 4, 2020

Agenda

- 1 Approve agenda
- 2 Representation on the SCT WG
- 3 Work streams
- 4 KPIs and annual plan
- 5 Supply and demand data
- 6 AOB

Participants

Constituency	Name, company	Attended
Grower - ROW	Fabio Gutierrez, Aceites S.A.	No
Grower - MY	Lee Kuan Yee, KLK	Yes
Grower - Indo	Robbert Kessels, Sipef	Yes
Grower - MY	Mark Wong, Sime Darby Plantations	Yes
P&T	Daphne Hameeteman, Wilmar Europe	Yes
P&T	Sietse Buisman, Cargill	Yes
P&T (alternate)	Helen Scholey, Shell	Yes
P&T (alternate)	Rina Rahayu, IOI Group	Yes
eNGO	Angga Prathama Putra, WWF	Yes
Certification Body (non member of WG, expert)	Andy Green, BM Trada	Yes
	Dan Strechay, RSPO Secretariat	Yes
	Aminah Ang, RSPO Secretariat	Yes
	Margareth Naulie Panggabean, RSPO Secretariat	Yes
	Inke van der Sluijs, RSPO Secretariat (chaired this meeting, minutes)	Yes

1 Agenda

The agenda was approved.

2 Representation on the SCT WG

We reviewed the current members and vacancies: eNGO, sNGO, retailers, financial institutions. All members are invited to nominate an alternate. We need to assign a chair for these meetings.

Action 1: fill vacancies, assign alternates, find chair (all).

There is a question about alternates. Can every member have an alternate? Yes, the ToRs state: "The individual representative from the SCT WG can appoint an Alternate from the same constituency to attend the Working Group meeting."

There is a question about the participation of Certification Bodies (CBs) in this WG. CBs can be invited as expert for the WGs but will not have voting rights. The WG is now asked for



approval of the participation of the CB in this WG. There was consensus that this expertise is valuable to the work of the WG.

Action 2: share the final ToR (Inke).

Rainforest Alliance will be invited to these calls in case we have questions about PalmTrace.

Note after meeting: the ToR state that an alternate can only attend if the substantive is not present. Please take note of this before the next meeting.

3 Work streams

The workstreams that we have identified are:

- SCC standard
- Reporting uptake (including data quality, certified volume)
- Supply Chain Models
- Jurisdictional Approach (only on supply chain models and upon direction of the Standards Standing Committee-SSC)
- PalmTrace
- Dual certification
- Shared responsibility (upon direction of the Shared Responsibility Working Group- SRWG)
- ~~RSPO-RED~~—discontinued after June 2021

For the workstreams under the other WGs of the MDSC, please see the flow chart here: <https://rspo.org/about/supporting-bodies#market-development-standing-committee>

Dan and Inke will attend both WGs meetings to ensure we avoid duplication of work and collaborate when needed.

4 KPIs and annual plan

We discussed the workstreams in detail and started to fill the table. Please add your comments and suggestions to the [shared document here](#). KPIs need to be aligned with the BoG KPIs.

Action 3: add to the workstreams, description, KPI, timeline table (all).

For the work on Oleochemicals, we do not have the right expertise in this WG. We need to revive the PKO Task Force and invite previous members to work on supply and demand of certified sustainable palm kernel oil and the challenges of the oleochemical sector. The PKO Task Force will report to the this WG.

Action 4: revive the PKO Task Force (Inke).

5 Supply and demand data

On the [RSPO website](#), supply and demand data are published. Supply volumes are an aggregate of all certified volumes of members prorated per month. This WG should review the reporting under workstream “Reporting Uptake”. There was a suggestion to publish certified volume per year and to report actual production rather than certified volume. The next meeting will be on this topic only. Also, we need to take into account that this would



have an impact on the percentage CSPO of the total global palm oil volume. It is important to develop good messaging in case we change the reporting.

Action 5: Doodle for next meeting on Reporting Uptake (Inke).

6 AOB

We need a chair for the SCT WG (action 1), this cannot be from the RSPO secretariat. There are concerns that this SCT WG comes up with proposals to change for example the uptake reporting and it will be blocked in the BoG. SCT WG will send advise to MDSC. The BoG can only review the process.

Summary of Actions

Action 1: fill vacancies, assign alternates, find chair (all).

Action 2: share the final ToR (Inke).

Action 3: add to the workstreams, description, KPI, timeline table (all).

Action 4: revive the PKO Task Force (Inke).

Action 5: schedule next meeting on Reporting Uptake (Inke).