



COMPLAINTS PANEL MINUTES OF MEETING

for Website

Date: 25 May 2021@ 5.00pm - 7.45pm (Meeting No. 05/2021)

Meeting location: via Zoom Link

List of	
Complaints	1. Henry Barlow (Chair - CCP) 6. Lanash Thanda
Panel Member	2. Lim Sian Choo (Co Chair) 7. Peter van der Werf
	3. Michelle Desilets 8. José den Toom
	4. Matthias Diemer 9. Robert Kruger
	5. Marieke Leegwater 10. Priya Gopalan
Agenda	
	<u>General Agenda:- 5.05pm to 6.15pm</u>
	1. Opening Remarks by Chairperson
	2. RSPO Antitrust Guidelines
	3. Declaration of Conflict of Interest
	4. To confirm and adopt the minutes of 28 April 2021 during the CP Meeting
	5. New Allegations:-
	5.1 RSPO/2021/05/SW - Papaso Estate & PT Damai Nusa Sekawan - Sosa & Bukit Udang Estate (a subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group)
	5.2 RSPO/2021/06/SW - PT Inecda (a subsidiary of S&G Biofuel Pte. Ltd)
	5.3 RSPO/2021/09/EN - PT. Cipta Usaha Sejati (Subsidiary of Pasifik Agro Sentosa)
	6. Complaints Case Statistics.
	7. Complaints Panel meeting Dates
	7.1 Dates for CP monthly meetings in 2021
	7.2 Dates for CP Physical Meetings
	7.3 Expansion of the Complaints Panel
	8. Collaboration with the Investigation & Monitoring Unit (IMU)





8.1 FELDA Global Venture - (Article by The Wall Street Journal) 8.2 PT Temila Agro Abadi - subsidiary of FGV - (Article by Chain Reaction Research) 8.3 Poligrow Colombia SAS 8.4 PT Permata Hijau Sawit Kebun Papaso (subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group) 9. Dispute Settlement Facility (DSF) 10. Commitment of Financial Institutions. 11. GA Resolution - GA15-6d: Discouraging RSPO members subject to complaints from avoiding their obligations by divesting or withdrawing their membership. 12. Any Other Matters





13. Complaints for deliberation:-

Group 1:- 6.15pm to 6.45pm

- 1. FELDA vs Orang Dusun Lahad Datu (Desa Begahak/Robin Balud)
- 2. Hap Seng Plantations Holdings Bhd vs Sia Yi Chin
- 3. PT. Mitra Austral Sejahtera (PT. MAS) subsidiary of Sime Darby vs Transformasi untuk Keadilan (TuK-NGO)
- 4. Golden Veroleum Liberia (GVL) vs. Green Advocate for the Butaw Community & Forest Peoples Programme & SDI & SESDev & A-Bloteh
- 5. HSA Group (Pacific Inter Link, Pacific Oils & Fats Industries Sdn Bhd, PT Pacific Indopalm Industries, PT. Pacific Medan Industri, PT. Pacific Palmindo Industri) vs Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
- 6. Pacific Inter-Link (Papuan Concessions) vs Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
- 7. PT Wahana Prima Sejati (subsidiary of First Resources) vs requested for confidentiality
- 8. PT Nabire Baru and PT Sariwana Adi Perkasa (subsidiary Goodhope Asia Holdings Ltd) vs Awasmifee, Greenpeace & FPP
- 9. PT. Mitra Karya Sentosa (a subsidiary of First Resources Limited) vs requested for confidentiality





Group 2:- 6.45pm to 7.15pm

- 1. Extractora La Gloria S.A.S. vs ASOCOL & ASOCADAR
- 2. PT. Hati Prima Agro (PT. HPA) subsidiary of Bumitama vs Sawit Watch
- 3. Golden Agri Resources (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & TuK Indonesia
- 4. Presco PLC Nigeria (SIAT SA) vs requested for confidentiality
- 5. PT. Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs Koalisi Organisasi Serikat Pekerja dan Lembaga Swadaya Masyarakat Kabupaten Labuhan Batu, FSMI. TIPAN-RI
- 6. PT. Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs requested for confidentiality
- 7. PT. Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs Federasi Serikat Pekerja Metal Indonesia (FSPMI)
- 8. PT Musim Mas Holding Pte Ltd vs requested for confidentiality
- 9. Palmaceite S.A.vs requested for confidentiality
- 10. Agroaceite S.A. vs requested for confidentiality
- 11. Pabrik Kelapa Sawit Batang Kulim POM & Pabrik Kelapa Sawit Pangkalan Lesung POM (subsidiary of PT Musim Mas) vs KC FSPMI
- 12. PT Agro Kati Lama subsidiary of SIPEF group vs requested for confidentiality
- 13. PT Agrowiratama (a subsidiary of Musim Mas Holdings Pte. Ltd.) vs Tim Advokasi Dewan Pengurus Pusat Komite Nasional Pemuda Indonesia (DPP KNPI) on behalf of 4 Datuak (Batuah, Bonsu, Sati, and Malenggang) of customary community in Muara Kiawai, Pasaman Barat.





Group 3:- 7.15pm to 7.45pm

- 1. PT. Kartika Prima Cipta (PT. KPC) subsidiary of Golden Agri Resources (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & TuK Indonesia
- 2. Goodhope Asia Holdings Ltd vs RSPO Secretariat
- 3. IOI Pelita subsidiary of IOI Group vs Community of Long Teran Kanan
- 4. Primatama Mulia Jaya vs Masyarakat Adat Luhak Saparampek Simpang Tigo
- 5. Oleaginosas Del Peru S.A. (OLPESA) vs requested for confidentiality
- 6. Golden Agri Resources (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & Elk Hills Foundation
- 7. Aceites Y Derivados Sociedad Anonima (ACEYDESA) vs requested for confidentiality
- 8. Benso Oil Palm Plantation (subsidiary of Wilmar International Limited) vs Mrs. Joseph Yankey & Mr Solomon Asante-Sefa
- 9. IOI Plantation Mekassar Estate (subsidiary of IOI Corporation Berhad) vs requested for confidentiality
- 10. PT Nubika Jaya (subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group) vs LSM-Baris Labuhanbatu Selatan
- 11. Palmas y Trabajo S.A.S; Progreso Palmero S.A.S and Oleaginosas de Yuma S.A.S (Daabon Group) vs Puerto Wilches Section Sintrainagro Deputy Director's Office
- 12. First Resources Limited vs requested for confidentiality

RSPO | Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil



DETAILS OF THE AGENDA:

No.	Agenda
1.	Opening Remarks from Chairperson
	Opening speech by CCP.
2.	RSPO Antitrust Guidelines
	The legal advisor briefed the Complaints Panel on the matters related to the RSPO Antitrust Guidelines
3.	Declaration of "No Conflict of Interest"
	No further conflict of interest declared at this time of the meeting.
4.	To confirm and adopt the minutes of 28 April 2021 during the Complaints Panel Meeting.
	Minutes of 28 April 2021 adopted unanimously.





5. New allegation submitted

5.	1
	_

RSPO/2021/05/SW	Papaso Estate & PT Damai Nusa Sekawan - Sosa & Bukit Udang Estate (a subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group)		
Complainant	requested for confidentiality		
Complaint received	19 March 2021		
Location	Indonesia		
Allegations	 The Respondent prohibits the workers to conduct union activities. The Respondent deducts the workers' payment due to their activities in the union; The Respondent has employed some of the workers as daily casual workers for more than 3 (three) years; and The Respondent did not socialise Company Regulations (Peratural Perusahaan) to the workers. 		

Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):-

1. The Initial Diagnosis is being finalised and under the peer review process.

RSPO | Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil



RSPO/2021/06/SW	PT Inecda (a subsidiary of S&G Biofuel Pte. Ltd)
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint received	19 March 2021
Location	Indonesia
Allegations	 The Respondent used a community's land without consent from the local community; The Respondent never conduct Corporate Social Responsibility activities to the local community; The Respondent did not develop the plasma plantations as agreed upon with the community; The Respondent does not have an effective internal grievance mechanism that is accessible to the Community, therefore the Complainant has no information regarding the way to send and complaint to the Respondent; and The Respondent has never resolved disputes and never conducted any participatory mapping on the disputed land.
Discussion from 2	25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):-
	osis has been furnished to the CP for their perusal.

5.3

RSPO/2021/09/EN	PT. Cipta Usaha Sejati (Subsidiary of Pasifik Agro Sentosa)
Complainant	Petrus Suwito (Binua Tingkakok Kalimantan) representing - Isak Aloka and Simon P. Liunesi
Complaint received	11 May 2021
Location	Indonesia





Allegations	 Embezzlement of severance pay by the Company's head administration.
Discussion from	a 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):-
1. The Complain	ts Desk is preparing the Initial Diagnosis.



6. Complaints Cases Statistics

Total Cases in 2021

	Jan-2021	Feb-2021	Mar-2021	Apr-2021
Complaints received	2	1	5	-
Status	Open (2)	Open (0) Closed (1)	Open (4) Rejected (1)	-
Country	Indonesia (2)	Switzerland (1)	Indonesia (4) Malaysia (1)	-
Case Category	-Operating without having a HGU -Labour Issues	-"no palm oil" claim	-prohibits the workers to conduct union activities -FPIC -HCV and HCS area -Labour abuse	-

	May 2021	Jun 2021	Jul 2021	Aug 2021
Complaints received	1	-	-	-
Status	Open (1)	-	-	-
Country	Indonesia (1)	-	-	-
Case Category	-Embezzlement of severance pay	-	-	-



Total Active Cases by Country and Year (as of 20.05.2021)

Country	Active Cases	Year	Active Cas
ndonesia	23		
/lalaysia	4	2010	1
Colombia	3	2012	1
Nigeria	1	2014	1
Peru	1	2015	3
Liberia	1	2017	3
Guatemala	1	2018	5
Ghana	1	2019	4
Cayman Island	1	2020	12
Honduras	1	2021	7
TOTAL	37	TOTAL	37

7. Complaints Panel Meeting Dates

7.1 Dates for Complaints Panel monthly meetings Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting): 1. CP meetings from July 2021 until December 2021 has been scheduled for the last Wednesdays of the month @5.00pm to 8.00pm (MYT) 7.2 Dates for Complaints Panel Physical Meetings in 2020 Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting): 1. The Complaints Desk has proposed the 2nd Quarterly CP meeting either on the first week or 2nd week of July 2021.





7.3	Expansion of Complaints Panel
	Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):-
	1. The IGC has nominated a representative to sit as the CP.
8.	Collaboration with the Investigation & Monitoring Unit (IMU)
8.1	FELDA Global Venture - (Article by The Wall Street Journal)
	Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):-
	 The Risk Unit has received the initial draft of the audit report. The Secretariat is in the midst of reviewing the documents and will provide comments (if any) to the auditors before the delivery of the final report.
8.2	PT Temila Agro Abadi - subsidiary of FGV - (Article by Chain Reaction Research) Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):- 1. The Complaints Desk has sent the post complaints monitoring Briefing Note to the CP.
8.3	Poligrow Colombia SAS
	Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):-
	1. Status quo and the monitoring of the implementation progress shall be recorded in the next surveillance audit report.
8.4	PT Permata Hijau Sawit Kebun Papaso (subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group)
	Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):-
	1. The Complaints Desk had furnished the CP with the post complaint monitoring close report.





9.	Dispute Settlement Facility (DSF)
	Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):- 1. The next webinar has been scheduled on 02.06.2021 and the invitation has been furnished to the CP members on 20.05.2021.
10.	Commitments of Financial Institution(s)
	Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):- 1. This matter is status quo.
11.	GA Resolution - GA15-6d - Discouraging RSPO members subject to complaints from avoiding their obligations by divesting or withdrawing their membership
	Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):-
	1. This matter is status quo.
13.	Any Other Matters
	ASC-CP MEETING Discussion from 25 May 2021 (CP Meeting):- 1. The Complaints Desk is currently waiting for the ASC-CP MoM that took place earlier this month to be finalised from the Integrity unit.





COMPLAINTS FOR DELIBERATION:

GROUP 1

Complaint 1.1 FELDA vs Orang Dusun Lahad Datu (Desa Begahak/Robin Balud)	
Case Reference	PreCAP/2015/05/PR
Date Filed	16 February 2015
Respondent	FELDA (Federal Land Development Authority) (Felda Ventures Bhd)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Malaysia
Complainant	Orang Dusun Lahad Datu (Desa Begahak/Robin Balud)
Nature of Complaint	 According to the Enquiry National Hak Tanah Malaysia document, the state government had given 1260 acres of land to the Dusun Begahak community in 1981. At the same time, the same land was alienated to FELDA for development. The complainant raised the issue that FELDA has no right to develop their land and should return it to the community because the land originally belonged to the community. The complainant also stated that the cemetery area is being developed by FELDA. FELDA enclaved 916 acres of the said land and gave it back to the community. The community planted fruits and other crops on the land. A police report has been made by the community and that is the source of the complaint.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	 The Complaints Desk is still awaiting an update from the Respondent in respect to the status of the appeal case. The Complaints Desk has sent a follow up email to the Respondent on 20.05.2021.





Complaint 1.2 Hap Seng Plantations Holdings Bhd vs Sia Yi Chin

Case Reference	PreCAP/2015/36/PR
Date Filed	24 November 2015
Respondent	Hap Seng Plantations Holdings Bhd
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Malaysia
Complainant	Sia Yi Chin of Lahad Datu, Sabah
Complaint description	 The complainant is claiming that Hap Seng Plantations Bhd is illegally occupying his property (River Estates) of 6,454 acres in Lahad Datu, Sabah. He says that he is the beneficial owner of the said land and the land office in Kota Kinabalu has confirmed that the said land is still in his name as beneficial owner. He has filed a civil suit against Hap Seng; originating summons: BKI-24-127/5 of 2012 filed on 30.07.2015.
Status	(G) Decision delivered with period to appeal (60 WD)
Decision / Justification	1. Pending expiry of appeals period on 15.07.2021.



Complaint 1.3 PT. Mitra Austral Sejantera (Sime Darby) vs NGO Tuk	
Case Reference	PreCAP/2012/06/PR
Date Filed	31 October 2012 (Under DSF) 9 June 2017 (Transferred to the CP)
Respondent	PT Mitra Austral Sejahtera (Sime Darby subsidiary)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Transformasi untuk Keadilan (TuK)
Complaint description	 The communities of Sanggau have alleged that the company PT Mitra Austral Sejahtera is operating without : Lack of Transparency on land status and partnership agreements; Lack of compliance to our customary land rights; The absence of (documented) compensation; Lack of compliance to local regulations; In acting so they are alleged to have breached RSPO Principles and Criteria specifically on: Principle 1 on Transparency, Principle 2 on Compliance with applicable laws and Principle 6 Responsible consideration of employees and individuals and communities affected by growers and mills.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The draft letter is completed.

Complaint 1.3 PT. Mitra Austral Seiahtera (Sime Darby) vs NGO TuK



Complaint 1.4 Golden Veroleum Liberia (GVL) vs. Green Advocate for the Butaw Community; FPP; SDI; SESDev; A-Bloteh

Case Reference	PreCAP/2012/09/PR
Date Filed	3 October 2012
Respondent	Golden Veroleum Liberia (GVL)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Liberia
Complainant	Green Advocate for the Butaw Community; FPP; SDI; SESDev; A-Bloteh
Complaint description	 Golden Veroleum Liberia: has not provided public notification for a 30 day period consistent with the New Planting Procedures; commencing land preparation new planting and infrastructure development prior to the expiry of the minimum 30-day period; By not conducting a comprehensive and participatory independent social and environmental impact assessment(s) of the area concerned and incorporate the results into relevant action plans (see RSPO criteria 5.1, 6.1, 7.1 & 7.4) including the identification of: (a) all primary forest, (b) any area required to maintain or enhance one or more High Conservation Values (HCVs), and (d) local peoples' land; Clearing land without the free, prior and informed consent of the customary land owners as represented through representative organizations of their choice (P&C 2.2, 2.3, 7.5 and 7.6).
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending discussions with RSPO Secretariat African Desk.





Complaint 1.5 HSA Group (Pacific Inter-Link, Pacific Oils & Fats Industries Sdn Bhd, PT. Pacific Indopalm Industries, PT. Pacific Medan Industri, PT. Pacific Palmindo Industri) vs Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace

Case Reference	RSPO/2018/18/RB
Date Filed	15 October 2018
Respondent	HSA Group (Pacific Inter-Link, Pacific Oils & Fats Industries Sdn Bhd, PT. Pacific Indopalm Industries, PT. Pacific Medan Industri, PT. Pacific Palmindo Industri)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Cayman Island
Complainant	Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
Complaint description	• Breach of Code of Conduct - violation of the RSPO Group Membership rules. All the companies (stated above) are alleged to be part of the Hayel Saeed Anam (HSA) Group, but still registered as separate RSPO members.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Grievance Unit to have a discussion with the Membership Unit and to have a justification whether there is a link or not.



Complaint 1.6 Pacific Inter-Link (Papuan Concessions) vs Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace	
Case Reference	RSPO/2018/19/RB
Date Filed	15 October 2018
Respondent	Pacific Inter-Link (Papuan Concessions)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
Complaint description	• Violation of the RSPO Code of Conduct because of their alleged involvement in the control/ownership and operational development of four palm oil plantation companies in Papua.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	 The membership unit upon perusing the annual report of the Respondent(s) found no corporate link between PIL and four other Papuan companies. However, review on four shell companies has not been carried out yet. The Grievance Unit is to discuss the matter with the membership unit.





Complaint 1.7	PT Wahana Prima Sejati (subsidiary of First Resources Limited) vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/12/EN
Date Filed	14 July 2020
Respondent	PT Wahana Prima Sejati (subsidiary of First Resources Limited)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	 Deforestation and land clearing including in the High Conservation Value Forest within the Respondent's concession for construction; Development of road cutting across one of the major wildlife corridors connecting two river systems – Berenga and Tempadung Rivers; and Development of a jetty, which includes reclamation of the sea floor as long as 590 meters
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	 The Complaints Desk has incorporated the inputs and commands from the parties of the Complaint. The Complaints Desk will circulate the ToR to the RSPO network and post it on the RSPO website after the completion of internal processes.



Complaint 1.8	PT Nabire Baru and PT Adi Perkasa (subsidiary of Goodhope Asia Holdings Ltd) vs Awasmifee, Greenpeace and Forest Peoples Programme
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/17/SW
Date Filed	18 September 2020
Respondent	PT Nabire Baru and PT Sariwana Adi Perkasa (subsidiary of Goodhope Asia Holdings Ltd)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Awasmifee, Greenpeace & FPP
Complaint description	 The Complaint was lodged as a result of the comments that have been given by the Complainant during the Respondent's NPP consultation period have not been resolved. The allegations as below: "that the Respondent withheld two important documents which should have been made available to stakeholders under the RSPO principle on transparency. The documents are the original draft of the 2011 HCV assessment and the Respondent's legal review commissioned by the RSPO Secretariat."
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	 The notifications had been sent and a pending response from both parties. The Complaint Desk will prepare the document and will furnish it to the CP's perusal.





•	PT Mitra Karya Sentosa (subsidiary of First Resources Limited) vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/07/SW
Date Filed	19 March 2021
Respondent	PT. Mitra Karya Sentosa (a subsidiary of First Resources Limited)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	 The Respondent did not pay the full land compensation to the six (6) individuals; The Respondent unilaterally marking up the cost of plasma development and did not refer to the relevant regulations in deciding the cost of plasma development; The Respondent did not pay the land compensation for the land identified as HCV and HCS area; and The Respondent did not provide the complete map along with its coordinates for the land that has been handed over by the six (6) individuals.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	 This Complaint has been formally accepted. The Complaints Desk is waiting for formal response from the Respondent and also the additional supporting documents from the Complainant.





GROUP 2

Complaint 2.1 Extractora de La Gloria SAS vs ASOCOL, ASOCADAR	
Case Reference	PreCAP/2015/35/PR
Date Filed	19 November 2015
Respondent	Extractora de la Gloria SAS
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Colombia
Complainant	Fredy Antonio Corrales, representative of the Colombian Association for Displaced Peoples' Prospects (ASOCOL), Adelfo Segundo Rodríguez, representative of the Association of Returning Displaced Farmers (ASOCADAR), Senator Iván Cepeda, Senator Alberto Castilla, and Representative Alirio Uribe
Complaint description	 Extractora La Gloria SAS, in planting palm oil in the former Hacienda Bellacruztoday Hacienda La Gloria- occupies 9,000 hectares in the municipalities of La Gloria, Pelaya and Tamalameque, in the southern Cesar Department without following RSPO rules. It does not comply with the following RSPO principles: Principle 1.1. Given that it does not provide clear and sufficient information regarding the Business Group. Principle 2.1. The Business Group has not declared itself to Colombian authorities and the crops were planted without an Environmental Management Plan for the Forest Reserve, which goes against national business and environmental regulations. Principle 2.2. Property ownership claims in the areas where Extractora La Gloria grows the majority of its crops have been called into question at national and international judicial bodies. Farming communities have demanded the formalization of their customary land rights, which were violently denied by paramilitary groups. Principle 2.3. Business operations have not recognized the rights of farmers who are also displacement victims, in contravention of businesse' duty to guarantee free, prior and informed consent regarding land use. Principle 4.4. While installing and processing palm crops, Extractora La Gloria affected the Caño Alonso Forest Reserve Buffer Zone and the Singararé, El Carmen and Simaña streams. Principle 5.2. The company has not avoided damaging the Caño Alonso Forest Reserve Buffer Zone, a specially protected habitat such as installing palm crops over the Reserve's environmental buffer zone. Principle 6.13. The company has benefited from grave human rights violations of vulnerable farmers, who were also forcefully displaced by illegal armed actors. The company has not publicly rejected the threats and intimidations against the claimants. It even requested that the Constitutional





	Court lift the cautionary measures protecting the victims.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Desk has received endorsement from all of the CP members for the decision letter. The decision letter will be furnished to both parties in due course.

Complaint 2.2 PT. Hati Prima Agro (PT.HPA - Bumitama) vs Sawit Watch	
Case Reference	RSPO/2017/06/SW
Date Filed	13 October 2017
Respondent	PT Hati Prima Agro (subsidiary of Bumitama)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Sawit Watch
Complaint description	 A complaint was initially lodged in 2012 on allegations related to land matters. It was dismissed in April 2015 when Bumitama said that they were planning to divest the property and no longer had management control. However, in this request for reopening of the complaint, the complainant (Sawit Watch, EIA, and Greenpeace) has made allegations that although Bumitama claimed to have divested the property they continued to have management control. Further, in July 2016 Bumitama had repurchased the concession unit. Thus, the request for reopening is on the basis that Bumitama allegedly made deceptive claims before the Complaints Panel. The Complainants further claim that Bumitama had not submitted NPP despite the land clearance.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The CP directs the Secretariat to attach the relevant supporting document to chronology.



Complaint 2.3 Golden Agri Resources vs Forest Peoples Programme (FPP) & TuK	
Case Reference	RSPO/2018/14/IR
Date Filed	22 August 2018
Respondent	Golden Agri Resources
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Forest Peoples Programme (FPP) and Tuk- Indonesia
Complaint description	• GAR's shadow companies are actively clearing lands.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The CP has endorsed the draft response letter.



Complaint 2.4 Presco PLC Nigeria (SIAT SA) vs requested for confidentiality

Case Reference	RSPO/2018/17/PR
Date Filed	17 September 2018
Respondent	Presco PLC Nigeria (SIAT SA)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Nigeria
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	• For the past five years, PRESCO, in conjunction with the Edo State Government, through its Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources; the Police in Benin City, Edo State; and the Nigeria Army's 4th Brigade (also located in Benin City, Edo State), has been forcefully encroaching into ancestral and customarily owned indigenous farm lands, including Oruarivie-Abraka ancestral farm lands, situated in Delta State (a neighbouring State to Edo State, where PRESCO's Head Office is located), and forcefully evicting local farmers from these ancestral and customarily owned indigenous farm lands measuring approximately three thousand hectares (well beyond the Edo State boundaries), and forcefully and illegally grabbing same for its palm oil plantation expansionist activities.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending response from the Respondent.





Complaint 2.5 PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs Koalisi Organisasi Serikat Pekerja dan Lembaga Swadaya Masyarakat Kabupaten Labuhan Batu, FSMI. TIPAN-RIPT

-		
Case Reference	RSPO/2019/07/IR	
Date Filed	10 April 2019	
Respondent	PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO)	
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower	
Country	North Sumatera, Indonesia	
Complainant	Koalisi Organisasi Serikat Pekerja dan Lembaga Swadaya Masyarakat Kabupaten Labuhan Batu, FSMI. TIPAN-RI	
Complaint description	 Lack of adequate health and safety equipment; Worker died due to lack of safety equipment; and No festive holidays for temporary workers. 	
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation	
Decision / Justification	1. CP deliberated on the involvement of ASI in the case.	

Complaint 2.6 PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs requested for confidentiality **Case Reference** RSPO/2019/11/IR **Date Filed** 23 April 2019 Respondent PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) Membership **Oil Palm Grower** Category North Sumatera, Indonesia Country Complainant requested for confidentiality Complaint Temporary workers contract and wages issues; • description Intimidation of workers Status



•	T Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs Federasi Serikat Pekerja ⁄Ietal Indonesia (FSPMI)
Case Reference	RSPO/2019/12/IR
Date Filed	6 May 2019
Respondent	PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	North Sumatra, Indonesia
Complainant	Federasi Serikat Pekerja Metal Indonesia (FSPMI)
Complaint description	 Alleged fraud of wages for 7 workers, No festive holidays and bonus for temporary workers
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. CP deliberated on the involvement of ASI in the case.

Complaint 2.8 PT	Musim Mas Holdings Pte Ltd vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/03/EN
Date Filed	11 February 2020
Respondent	PT Musim Mas Holding Pte Ltd
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	 Land fires occurred in the conservation area within the PT MusimMas HGU covering an area of 12 hectares; Damaging the river by damming the river flow; Community owned plantation and public cemetery are not enclaved; Planting on peatland and in protected areas of river banks.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Briefing Note is in progress.

RSPO | Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil



Complaint 2.9 P	almaceite S.A vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/05/HN
Date Filed	24 March 2020
Respondent	Palmaceite S.A.
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Colombia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	• The Respondent has allegedly failed to fulfill the agreement with the Complainant to implement and monitor the operations of the Complainant's plantation which led to the collapse of the production within the plantation.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The draft Briefing Note has been circulated for internal peer review.

RSPO | Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil



Complaint 2.10	Agroaceite S.A. vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/11/HN
Date Filed	6 July 2020
Respondent	Agroaceite, S.A.
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Guatemala
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint Description	 The Complainant alleges that the Respondent has destroyed the biodiversity of the Manchón Guamuchal nature reserve, a coastal-marine wetland, located along the Pacific coast of Guatemala in the San Marcos and Retalhuleu department; The Respondent allegedly discharged immense quantities of toxic chemicals and hazardous waste which affected the local marine species, the mangrove's ecosystem and the local community.
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation
Decision / Justification	 Pending clarification from the CB pertaining to the interview with the affected community. The Complaints Desk has sent multiple emails to the CB to follow up on the query. The Complainant requested the Complaints Desk to engage with a different CB to conduct the investigation. The Complaints Desk has conveyed to the Complainant that the request will be raised to the CP and the CP is at liberty to accept or reject the Complainant's request to engage with a different CB.



Complaint 2.11 Pabrik Kelapa Sawit Batang Kulim POM & Pabrik Kelapa Sawit Pangkalan Lesung POM (subsidiary of PT Musim Mas) vs KC FSPMI	
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/13/EN
Date Filed	5 August 2020
Respondent	Pabrik Kelapa Sawit Batang Kulim POM & Pabrik Kelapa Sawit Pangkalan Lesung POM (subsidiary of PT. Musim Mas)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	KC FSPMI
Complaint Description	 Unauthorised Company Regulations; Flat rate overtime premium; Copy of the Collective Bargaining Agreement is not furnished to the workers; Workers working night shift is not provided with extra food; Inadequate and unsanitary worker's housing; Daily casual workers are not provided with a written employment agreement; Daily casual workers are not provided with Employment security (BPJS Ketenagakerjaan) cards; Complicated healthcare insurance (BPJS Kesehatan) and paid sick day processes; Unfair dismissal/termination for unjustified reason such as participating in union activities; Limitation of worker's right to organize outside the established independent/non-affiliated trade union.
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Briefing Note is in progress.



•	T Agro Kati Lama (subsidiary of SIPEF group) vs requested for onfidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/01/EN
Date Filed	22 January 2021
Respondent	PT Agro Kati Lama (subsidiary of SIPEF group)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint Description	 The daily casual workers are paid below the minimum wage set out by the Musi Rawas District Government; The daily casual workers are not protected and no remedy available for work accidents; The daily casual workers are not equipped with personal protection equipment [PPE] and are not equipped with working tools; The daily casual workers did not receive festive allowance in accordance with the regulation; The daily casual workers, who are hired through the third party, do not get a pay slip. The amount written in the payment receipt is without formal stamp and without the name of the party who rendered the payment.
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The procurement team is currently reviewing the NDA.





Complaint 2.13 PT Agrowiratama (a subsidiary of Musim Mas Holdings Pte. Ltd) vs Tim Advokasi DPPKNPI - (adjourned)	
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/02/SW
Date Filed	27 January 2021
Respondent	PT Agrowiratama (a subsidiary of Musim Mas Holdings Pte. Ltd.)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Tim Advokasi Dewan Pengurus Pusat Komite Nasional Pemuda Indonesia (DPP KNPI) on behalf of 4 Datuak (Batuah, Bonsu, Sati, and Malenggang) of customary community in Muara Kiawai, Pasaman Barat.
Complaint Description	 Operating in the protected forest area without having a license from the Ministry of Forestry; Operating without having a HGU; and Did not develop plasma plantations for the community as agreed
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation - (adjourned)
Decision / Justification	1. This case is adjourned. Pending outcome of the court's decision.





GROUP 3

Complaint 3.1 PT.	Kartika Prima Cipta (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & TuK
Case Reference	PreCAP/2014/03/IR
Date Filed	13 October 2014
Respondent	PT. Kartika Prima Cipta (PT.KPC) - Golden Agri Resources (GAR)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia – Kapuas Hulu, West Kalimantan
Complainant	Forest Peoples Programme (FPP) and (Transformasi untuk Keadilan) TuK Indonesia
Complaint description	 Points raised:- PT KPC is in persistent violation of the RSPO P&C pertaining HCV assessment, the recognition of customary land rights and free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC). Lack of FPIC of the local Dayak and Malay people in the process of land take over. Land takeover was done without land tenure study and participatory mapping. Late HCV assessment which was done by IPB, final consultation was in May 2014. Dissatisfaction by smallholders and resentment because of reduced post facto set aside for HCV rather than getting plasma scheme (20%). Set aside land as HCS area that made no provision for customary land uses and tenure and local people livelihood. GAR as the parent company of all 18 subsidiaries listed in acting in violation of the New Planting Procedure (NPP) by submitting information which is known as false. No HGU in 16 of 18 NPP. The assessors which have endorsed GAR's HCV assessment, ESIAs and social engagement actions, are in violation of their responsibility to provide impartial assessment of company compliance with RSPO P&C.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Briefing Note for Phase 2 and 3 is in progress.





Case Reference	PreCAP/2017/06/SW
Date Filed	19 April 2017
Respondent	Goodhope Asia Holdings
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	RSPO Secretariat (Following Joint Comment by FPP, Greenpeace, EIA and PUSAKA on the NPP Posting of PT Nabire Baru / Goodhope)
Complaint description	• Note: FPP and the other NGOs also sent a complaint to the BoG on the fact that Goodhope had insisted that their NPP be posted for public consultation despite the Secretariat's finding that the HCV Assessment was not satisfactory. They had also questioned the role of the Secretariat in acting in accordance to GA 12 Resolution 6(H).
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The draft letter to the Respondent is being finalised.

Complaint 3.2 Goodhope Asia Holdings vs RSPO Secretariat





Case Reference	PreCAP/2010/01/PR
Date Filed	15 March 2010 Transferred back from DSF to Complaints Panel on 17 January 2017
Respondent	IOI Pelita (IOI Group)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Sarawak, Malaysia
Complainant	Community of Long Teran Kanan
Complaint description	• Infringement of P&C No.2.2 – the right to land use disputed.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	 The Secretariat's review is underway. The Secretariat to reach out to the Respondent on updates.

Complaint 3.3 IOI Pelita (IOI Group) vs Community of Long Teran Kanan





Complaint 5.4 PT.	Complaint 5.4 PT. Phinatania Wulla Jaya v Wasyalakat Auat Lullak	
Case Reference	RSPO/2018/12/SW	
Date Filed	12 July 2018	
Respondent	PT Primatama Mulia Jaya (subsidiary of Wilmar International)	
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower	
Country	Indonesia	
Complainant	Masyarakat Adat Luhak Saparampek Simpang Tigo	
Complaint description	• The issuance of Right of Utilization of the Respondent issued without prior information to the Complainant	
Status	(G) Decision delivered with period to appeal (60 WD)	
Decision / Justification	1. Pending expiry of the appeals period on 4 June 2021.	





Complaint 3.5 Oleaginosas Del Peru S.A (OLPESA) vs requested for confidentiality

Case Reference	RSPO/2019/05/PR
Date Filed	22 March 2019
Respondent	Oleaginosas Del Peru S.A. (OLPESA)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Peru
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	Sourcing FFB from unsustainable origin.Failure to conduct SIA.
Status	(G) Decision delivered with period to appeal (60 WD)
Decision / Justification	1. Pending expiry of the appeals period on 28.05.2021.





-	Golden Agri Resources (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & Elk Hills Foundation
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/04/IR
Date Filed	2 March 2020
Respondent	Golden Agri Resource (GAR)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Forest Peoples Programme & Elk Hills Foundation
Complaint description	 The Respondent, through its subsidiaries located in Central Kalimantan, operate its plantations with permits which never had the force of law; The Respondent, through its employees have been involved in the payment of bribes to provincial officials in Central Kalimantan.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	 The draft response letter has been sent to the CP for endorsement. The Complaints Desk will be publishing the finalised ToR onto the RSPO website.





	Aceites Y Derivados Sociedad Anonima (ACEYDESA) vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/07/LSL
Date Filed	6 April 2020
Respondent	Aceites Y Derivados Sociedad Anonima (ACEYDESA)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Honduras
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	 Modification of property deeds to remove areas identified by the government as environmental protection zone; Employees not paid minimum wage; not provided with equipment; and not given workers' benefits; Non-compliance with labour laws; Threatening of workers to suppress information from auditors; Bribing workers and government agencies; Manipulation of scale systems; Falsification of data; Operating without an environmental license.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Desk has received the special audit report from the CB and will be scheduling a meeting with the Certification unit to review the said report.

RSPO | Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil



•	Benso Oil Palm Plantations (subsidiary of Wilmar International Limited) vs Mrs Joseph Yankey & Mr Solomon Asante-Sefa
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/15/LSL
Date Filed	25 August 2020
Respondent	Benso Oil Palm Plantation (subsidiary of Wilmar International Limited)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Ghana
Complainant	Mrs. Joseph Yankey & Mr Solomon Asante-Sefa
Complaint description	 The Respondent has acquired land to develop oil palm in Ghana; The Respondent has destroyed farmers' rubber plantation; The Respondent is not willing to pay for the damages caused to the rubber farmers; The Respondent is still cutting down rubber farms to plant oil palm; The remaining uncultivated lands have been taken over for the Respondent's project; The Respondent has shown no interest in resolving these issues despite several Complaints made to the Respondent by the Complainants.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	 The Bilateral Engagement process has ended and both parties have reached a settlement. The Complaint Desk has requested for a copy of the settlement agreement to be furnished to the Complaints Desk.





Complaint 3.9	IOI Plantation Mekassar Estate (subsidiary of IOI Corporation Berhad) vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/19/IR
Date Filed	5 October 2020
Respondent	IOI Plantation Mekassar Estate (subsidiary of IOI Corporation Berhad)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Malaysia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	 Unilateral pay cut by the Respondent Poor living conditions for the labourers Intimidation of workers Forced labour Lack of transparent grievance system
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Briefing Note is in progress.



•	PT Nubika Jaya (subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group) vs LSM-Baris Labuhanbatu Selatan
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/20/SW
Date Filed	12 November 2020
Respondent	PT Nubika Jaya (subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	LSM-Baris Labuhanbatu Selatan
Complaint description	 No Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant; The Oleochemical equalization pool was not built with concrete; Sludge from the Oleochemical Wastewater Treatment Plant has never been transported to a designated waste processing agency. Dispose of liquid waste without permit; Inconsistency on the volume of Hazardous Waste transported to a designated waste processing agency; A sparring equipment has yet installed at the Wastewater Treatment Plant; No certified Wastewater Treatment Operational Responsible and Water Pollution Control Officer onsite; Processing fresh fruit bunches from non-RSPO certified plantations.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	 The Bilateral Engagement process is still ongoing and the expiry date is on 28.05.2021. Pending update from both Parties on the progress.





Complaint 3.11 Palmas y Trabajo S.A.S; Progreso Palmero S.A.S and Oleaginosas de Yuma S.A.S (Subsidiary of Daabon Group) - (Adjourned)	
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/16/LSL
Date Filed	10 September 2020
Respondent	Palmas y Trabajo S.A.S; Progreso Palmero S.A.S and Oleaginosas de Yuma S.A.S (subsidiary of Daabon Group)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Colombia
Complainant	Puerto Wilches Section Sintrainagro Deputy Director's Office
Complaint description	 Non-compliance with labour rights/laws; Anti-union practices; and Employees not provided sufficient protection equipment.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaint is adjourned pending the outcome of the claims lodged before the Ministry of Labour.



Complaint 3.12 First Resources Limited vs requested for confidentiality	
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/04/EN
Date Filed	8 March 2021
Respondent	First Resources Limited
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	 The Respondent allegedly has ownership, control and influence over a company called Fangiono Agro Plantation (FAP) Agri, a non-RSPO member who was not declared in the Respondent's RSPO Group Membership. The Respondent also allegedly has ownership, control and influence of an Indonesian company, Ciliandry Anky Abadi (CAA) who is a subsidiary of a British Virgin Islands registered company by the name of Sima Capital. This company too was not declared to be a part of the Respondent's RSPO Group Membership. The Respondent, via FAP or by FAP's 11 subsidiaries have violated the RSPO Principles and Criteria by deforestation, peatland degradation, using lands for plantation without FPIC, river pollution, and unilateral layoffs of workers. The Respondent, via CAA, have violated the RSPO Principles and Criteria by deforestation, using lands for plantation without FPIC, river pollution, using lands for plantation without FPIC, river pollution, using lands for plantation without FPIC, river pollution, using lands for plantation without FPIC, and river pollution The Respondent is violating its NDPE Commitments, the RSPO Principle and Criteria, the RSPO Code of Conduct, and RSPO membership rules.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Desk has received the response from the Complainant and agreed to continue the Complaint to the investigation process.

Complaint 3.12 First Resources Limited vs requested for confidentiality