

Particulars

About Your Organisation

1.1 Name of your organization

DekelOil

1.2 What is/are the primary activity(ies) or product(s) of your organization?

- Oil Palm Growers
 - Palm Oil Processors and/or Traders
 - Consumer Goods Manufacturers
 - Retailers
 - Banks and Investors
 - Social or Development Organisations (Non Governmental Organisations)
 - Environmental or Nature Conservation Organisations (Non Governmental Organisations)
 - Affiliate Members
 - Supply Chain Associate
-

1.3 Membership number

1-0070-08-000-00

1.4 Membership category

Ordinary

1.5 Membership sector

Oil Palm Growers

Oil Palm Growers

Operational Profile

1.1 Please state your main activities as a palm oil grower

- Oil palm grower, miller and kernel crusher operator

Operations and Certification Progress

2.1.1 Please state your number of estates/management units

1

2.1.2 Total land controlled/managed [?] for oil palm cultivation, planted (already planted areas and areas used for roads, mills, housing and other associated infrastructure)

1,955.00 ha

2.1.3 Total area unplanted (land area controlled/managed that is designated for future planting of oil palm)

1,955.00 ha

2.1.4 Total land designated and managed as HCV areas

0.00 ha

2.1.5 Other conservation areas set aside excluding HCV areas reported in 2.1.4

0.00 ha

2.1.6 Total land under scheme/plasma smallholders certified

0.00 ha

2.1.6.1 Total land under scheme/plasma smallholders uncertified

-

2.1.7 Total land area controlled/managed for oil palm cultivation (This is an auto sum of 2.1.2 - 2.1.6.1)

3,910.00 ha

2.2 Certification progress

2.2.1 Number of estates/Management Units certified

--

2.2.2 Total certified area

--

2.3 In which countries are your estates?

2.3.1 Indonesia - Please indicate which province(s)

--

2.3.2 Malaysia - please indicate which state(s)

--

2.3.3 Other - please indicate which country(ies)

- Cote d'Ivoire

2.4 New plantings and developments (Exclude replanting):

2.4.1 New area planted in this reporting period
- ha

2.4.2 Have New Planting Procedures notifications been submitted to the RSPO for plantings this year?
No

2.5 Supply of Fresh Fruit Bunches (FFB)

2.5.1 Please choose from the list below if you have smallholders and/or outgrowers as part of your supply base?
no

2.6 Fresh Fruit Bunches (FFB) processing operations

2.6.1 Number of Palm Oil Mills operated
1

2.6.2 Number of Palm Oil Mills certified
-

2.6.3 Number of Palm Kernel crushers and/or Palm Kernel mills operated
1

2.6.4 Number of Palm Kernel crushers and/or Palm Kernel mills certified
-

2.7 Total Fresh Fruit Bunches processing production capacity

2.7.1 Total hourly FFB processing capacity (ton FFB/hr)
75.00 Tonnes

2.7.2 Total hourly kernel processing capacity (ton PK/hr)
18.00 Tonnes

Volume of RSPO-Certified oil palm products

3.1 Sold as RSPO Certified for CSPO & CSPK

3.1.1 Book and Claim
0.00 Tonnes

3.1.2 Mass Balance
0.00 Tonnes

3.1.3 Segregated
0.00 Tonnes

3.1.4 Identity Preserved
0.00 Tonnes

3.2 Sold under other schemes for CSPO & CSPK
0.00 Tonnes

3.4 Sold as conventional for CSPO & CSPK
0.00 Tonnes

3.4 Total Volume (Auto sum for 3.1 - 3.3)
0.00 Tonnes

Time-Bound Plan

4.1 Year of first RSPO P&C certification (planned or achieved)

2019

Comment:

We initially planned the certification for 2017, but given our difficulties related to the compensation and budget procedure, we postpone the certification for 2019 until we can remove all these constraints.

4.2 Year expected to achieve 100% RSPO certification of estates

2022

4.3 Year expected to achieve 100% RSPO certification of scheme/associated smallholders and outgrowers

4.4 Year expected to achieve 100% RSPO certification of independently sourced FFB

2022

Concession Map

5.1 With regards to RSPO General Assembly resolution 6g that calls for members to submit maps of their concessions by ACOP deadline, please upload your estate location concession map(s) in Shapefile format here:

-

5.2 Map data declaration

Please state if any concession sites have been recently acquired or if any concession sites have changed ownership since the previous ACOP submission

We acquired in 2017 the rights to develop around 600 hectares in N'gokro and 161 hectares in Doudoukro. But we could not finalize the operation with the communities in the sense that other economic operators had a more attractive offer than ours. These concession sites will not therefore be developed by DEKELOIL.

GHG Footprint

6.1 Are you currently assessing your operational GHG footprints using other tools/ methodology(s) than RSPO PalmGHG Calculator?

No

6.1.2.1 How many management unit is currently reporting its GHG footprint using RSPO PalmGHG Calculator?

We have not yet started calculating the carbon footprint for our operations

6.1.2.2 What is the average GHG footprint by hectare (tCO₂e/ha) and by tonne of Crude Palm Oil (tCO₂e/tCPO) of reporting management units?

GHG footprint by hectare (tCO₂e/ha)

We have not yet started calculating the carbon footprint for our operations

GHG footprint by tonne of Crude Palm Oil (tCO₂e/tCPO)

We have not yet started calculating the carbon footprint for our operations

6.1.2.3 What would the key emissions sources of reporting management unit?

We have not yet started calculating the carbon footprint for our operations

Actions for Next Reporting Period

7.1 Outline actions that you will take in the coming year to advance your plans for certification

As part of the Land Use Change Analysis (LUCA) procedure for RSPO members whose plantations from 2005 to 2009 have not been the subject of the HVC and EIES studies, a compensation procedure has been instituted. To do this, RSPO members were required to file a return to LUCA. This we did in November 2014. After this declaration, we were asked to produce the satellite images of the parcels declared at the date of 2005 in order to allow the team to conduct its analysis and finalize the procedure. At this request, we could not respond favorably because of our difficulty in producing these images because of the unavailability of the technology that can allow us to achieve this. We have through our multiple exchanges, shared with our interlocutors, including Mr. Dillon Samir, this difficulty. Despite this difficulty, we conducted research that led us to contact an international consultant, "SATELLIGENCE", also confirming the impossibility of making available these images. However, another option could lead to the desired result, it is a report detailing the information on the plots, he said. Therefore, we have decided to conduct this study with the said consultant and a report has been prepared by him. This report was made available to the LUCA team to enable its analysis to be conducted. The report of the expert according to the return to us by the LUCA team can not help to carry out the analysis. Thanks to the public consultation of the Africa zone organized on the 10th, 11th and 12th of October 2017 in Accra in which we took part, we expressed our difficulties to Mr. SALAHUDIN YAACOB who put us in contact with a member of the team that speaks French in the person of Mr. BAHKTIAR TALHAH and his other colleagues (DILLON SMIR, KHING SU LI, NUR AINA SAMIR), with whom we have long exchanged. The same difficulties arose because of the particularity of DEKELOIL in the agroindustrial world. You could through the file attached to this mail, observe and understand this difficulty for DEKELOIL to carry out the ESIA and HVC studies for about 103 parcels scattered throughout the Sud-Comoé region. In view of all these difficulties and the numerous efforts made by our company which has embarked on the certification path to the RSPO standard, we have requested an extension to clarify and find a solution that will allow us to finalize our clearing procedure.

7.2 Outline actions that you will take in the coming year to promote CSPO along the supply chain

- We have already started by raising the awareness of small producers of the use of good practices ; - Training and supervision of producers on good agricultural practices ; - Clarify our status for the LUCA procedure with the Africa RSPO officer ;

Reasons for Non-Disclosure of Information**8.1 If you have not disclosed any of the above information, please indicate the reasons why**

Other:

- Improved collaboration with our buyers and suppliers by informing them of your intention to modify or improve our manufacturing processes;
- Invest in technology that can transfer our requirements to suppliers quickly, which will improve our time to market;
- Use of information technology to forecast sales, supplies, purchases, orders, shipments, deliveries, and so on;
- Use customized software solutions to help us in the process of managing your supply chain. Training and outreach

Support Smallholders**9.1 Are you currently supporting any independent smallholder groups?**

Yes

9.2 How are you supporting them?

- To this day we are in partnership with small farmers to whom we provide the following support: - agricultural advice - Support for obtaining agricultural inputs; - Supply of selected plant material; - Reduction of plant material costs through a loyalty bonus; - Provision of fertilizers at reduced cost; - Reduction of the cost of fertilizer through loyalty bonuses; - Provision of agricultural equipment at lower cost through a loyalty bonus; - Grading of access roads to plantations; - Strengthening the capacities of cooperative leaders through study tours - Financial support to cooperatives on the basis of production bonuses.

Challenges

1 What significant economic, social or environmental obstacles have you encountered in the production, procurement, use and/or promotion of CSPO and what efforts did you make to mitigate or resolve them?

As part of the production of crude palm oil and the supply of oil palm bunches, we encounter several obstacles. At the economic level : - Competition; - Failure to comply with the rules issued by the oil palm sector in Côte d'Ivoire, resulting in the inability of industrialists to support producers in terms of agricultural advice, the rehabilitation of roads and the professionalization of cooperatives. At the social level : - The dispersal of our plantations throughout the Sud-Comoé region makes it difficult or even impossible to satisfy all these stakeholders, hence the dissatisfaction of these stakeholders; - Failure to comply with the contracts signed by our partners for the creation of the plans strongly hampers the supply to our plant in palm of oil palm bunches At the environmental level, Our biggest constraint is the cost of conducting HVC studies for new plantations. Indeed, the very high cost of these HVC studies severely limits the acquisition of new land to the extent or in Côte d'Ivoire, it is no longer possible to have an area of more than 1,000 hectares of a single taking. As part of efforts to alleviate or resolve these problems, On the economic level, we try to lobby the oil palm sector for the implementation of laws to the management of the sector. At the social level, we recently carried out a Social Impact Assessment (SIA) of our plantations (March 2017) and the results are being used for the implementation of the recommendations. At the environmental level, especially the constraint linked to the high cost of HCV studies, we believe that consideration should be given to the possibility of reducing costs according to the sensitivities of each country. Indeed, the very high cost of these HVC studies severely limits the acquisition of new land in Côte d'Ivoire, it is no longer possible to have an area of more than 1,000 hectares of a single taking. Another difficulty faced by our company this year is our clearing procedure. Indeed as indicated above, we have not been able to provide the images as claimed by LUCA for the simple reason that the technology to do so does not exist for Côte d'Ivoire. We contacted an expert in Holland (SATELIGENCE) who confirmed this information and carried out the study for us. The report is available. Unfortunately the representatives of RSPO LUCA rejected the report and promised to put their technician to help us to obtain the images to finalize our procedure. To this day we are still waiting for these images despite our multiple reminders. Since our approach towards RSPO certification is highly dependent on this procedure, we contacted the RSPO Africa Manager to outline our difficulties. He advised us to carry out the HVC and EIES studies for all our plantations (156). Moreover, he advises us to take a sample to carry out the studies. We believe that this approach is not the right one as far as the information sought by LUCA is the development or not of plantations on possible areas of HCV. However, in the environmental legislation in Côte d'Ivoire (decree n ° 96-894 of November 8, 1996 determining the rules and procedures applicable to studies relating to the environmental impact of projects in the Republic of Côte d'Ivoire) in annex 1, the impact studies are carried out from 999 hectares and the law makes it possible to make a categorical exclusion statement which is report justifying the categorical exclusion when a project does not appear in any of the categories mentioned in appendices I, II and III of the it benefits from a categorical exclusion that exempts it a priori from an Environmental Impact Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement.

2 In addition to the actions already reported in this ACOP how has your organization supported the vision of RSPO to transform markets in other ways? (e.g. Funding; Engagement with key stakeholders; Business to business education/outreach)

- We funded study tours for cooperatives; - We have offered our site to test the RSPO-certified auditors ; - We are in partnership ; - With SOLIRIDARIDAD for its RSSF / RSPO project ;

3 File -Please attach or add links to any other information from your organisation on your policies and actions on palm oil (EG: sustainability reports, policies, other public information)

- Uploaded files:
[Politiques et chartes.pdf](#)

Link: www.dekeloil.com