



#### COMPLAINTS PANEL MINUTES OF MEETING

for Website

Date: 19 January 2022 @ 5.00pm - 7.20pm (Meeting No.01/2022)

Meeting location: via Zoom Link

List of Complaints Panel Member	1. Henry Barlow (Chair - CCP)6. Lanash Thanda2. Lim Sian Choo ( Co Chair)7. José den Toom3. Michelle Desilets8. Robert Kruger4. Matthias Diemer5. Marieke Leegwater
Agenda	<u>General Agenda:- 5.00pm - 6.10pm</u>
	1. Opening Remarks by Chairperson
	2. RSPO Antitrust Guidelines
	3. Declaration of Conflict of Interest
	<ol> <li>To confirm and adopt the minutes of 6 &amp; 15 December 2021 during the CP Meeting</li> </ol>
	5. New Allegations
	6. Complaints Case Statistics
	7. Complaints Panel meeting Dates
	<ul><li>7.1 Dates for CP monthly meetings in 2021</li><li>7.2 Dates for CP Physical Meetings</li><li>7.3 Expansion of the Complaints Panel</li></ul>
	8. Post Complaints Monitoring
	9. Dispute Settlement Facility (DSF)
	10. Commitment of Financial Institutions.





11. GA Resolution - GA15-6d: Discouraging RSPO members subject to complaints from avoiding their obligations by divesting or withdrawing their membership. 12. Any Other Matters





	Rs
13.	Complaints for deliberation:-
	<u>Group 1:- 6.10pm - 6.40pm</u>
1.	FELDA vs Orang Dusun Lahad Datu (Desa Begahak/Robin Balud)
2.	PT. Mitra Austral Sejahtera (PT. MAS) - subsidiary of Sime Darby vs Transformasi untuk Keadilan (TuK-NGO)
3.	Golden Veroleum Liberia (GVL) vs. Green Advocate for the Butaw Community & Forest Peoples Programme & SDI & SESDev & A-Bloteh
4.	HSA Group (Pacific Inter Link, Pacific Oils & Fats Industries Sdn Bhd, PT Pacific Indopalm Industries, PT. Pacific Medan Industri, PT. Pacific Palmindo Industri) vs Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
5.	Pacific Inter-Link (Papuan Concessions) vs Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
6.	PT Wahana Prima Sejati (subsidiary of First Resources) vs requested for confidentiality
7.	PT Nabire Baru and PT Sariwana Adi Perkasa (subsidiary Goodhope Asia Holdings Ltd) vs Awasmifee, Greenpeace & FPP
8.	PT. Mitra Karya Sentosa (a subsidiary of First Resources Limited) vs requested for confidentiality
9.	Papaso Estate & PT Damai Nusa Sekawan - Sosa & Bukit Udang Estate (a subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group) vs <i>requested for confidentiality</i>
10.	PT. Nabatindo Karya Utama (subsidiary of Bumitama Agri Limited) vs requested
	for confidentiality





#### Group 2:- 6.40pm - 6.55pm

- 1. PT. Hati Prima Agro (PT. HPA) subsidiary of Bumitama vs Sawit Watch
- 2. Golden Agri Resources (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & TuK Indonesia
- 3. Presco PLC Nigeria (SIAT SA) vs requested for confidentiality
- 4. PT. Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs Koalisi Organisasi Serikat Pekerja dan Lembaga Swadaya Masyarakat Kabupaten Labuhan Batu, FSMI. TIPAN-RI
- 5. PT. Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs requested for confidentiality
- 6. PT. Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs Federasi Serikat Pekerja Metal Indonesia (FSPMI)
- 7. Palmaceite S.A.vs requested for confidentiality
- 8. Agroaceite S.A. vs requested for confidentiality
- 9. PT Agro Kati Lama subsidiary of SIPEF group vs requested for confidentiality
- 10. PT Agrowiratama (a subsidiary of Musim Mas Holdings Pte. Ltd.) vs Tim Advokasi Dewan Pengurus Pusat Komite Nasional Pemuda Indonesia (DPP KNPI) on behalf of 4 Datuak (Batuah, Bonsu, Sati, and Malenggang) of customary community in Muara Kiawai, Pasaman Barat.
- 11. PT. Cipta Usaha Sejati (Subsidiary of Pasifik Agro Sentosa) vs Petrus Suwito (Binua Tingkakok Kalimantan) representing - Isak Aloka and Simon P. Liunesi





#### Group 3:- 7.00 - 7.20pm

- 1. PT. Kartika Prima Cipta (PT. KPC) subsidiary of Golden Agri Resources (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & TuK Indonesia
- 2. Goodhope Asia Holdings Ltd vs RSPO Secretariat
- 3. IOI Pelita subsidiary of IOI Group vs Community of Long Teran Kanan
- 4. Golden Agri Resources (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & Elk Hills Foundation
- 5. Aceites Y Derivados Sociedad Anonima (ACEYDESA) vs requested for confidentiality
- 6. Benso Oil Palm Plantation (subsidiary of Wilmar International Limited) vs Mrs. Joseph Yankey & Mr Solomon Asante-Sefa
- 7. IOI Plantation Mekassar Estate (subsidiary of IOI Corporation Berhad) vs requested for confidentiality
- 8. PT Nubika Jaya (subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group) vs LSM-Baris Labuhanbatu Selatan
- 9. Palmas y Trabajo S.A.S; Progreso Palmero S.A.S and Oleaginosas de Yuma S.A.S (Daabon Group) vs Puerto Wilches Section Sintrainagro Deputy Director's Office
- 10. First Resources Limited vs requested for confidentiality
- 11. PT Inecda (a subsidiary of S&G Biofuel Pte. Ltd) vs requested for confidentiality
- 12. PT SMART TBK (Golden Agri Resources Ltd) vs requested for confidentiality





#### **DETAILS OF THE AGENDA:**

No.	Agenda
1.	Opening Remarks from Chairperson
	Opening speech by CCP.
2.	RSPO Antitrust Guidelines
	RSPO Antitrust Guidelines were read to the Complaints Panel.
3.	Declaration of "No Conflict of Interest"
	No further conflict of interest declared at this time of the meeting.
4.	To confirm and adopt the minutes of <b>6th &amp; 15th December 2021</b> during the Complaints Panel Meeting.
	Minutes of the meeting for the 6th & 15th of December 2021 adopted unanimously.

#### 5. New allegation submitted

RSPO/2021/12/CRP	PT. Ramajaya Pramukti (subsidiary of Golden Agri Resources Ltd)	
Complainant	requested for confidentiality	
Complaint received	08 November 2021	
Location	Indonesia	
Allegations	<ul> <li>the Complainants alleged that the Respondent conducted land expansion to about 167 hectares into the Imbo Putui Customary Forest;</li> <li>the Complainants alleged that the Respondent's used their operational truck passing through the Customary Forest and disturbing the community with the noise and dust pollution disturbing the growth of trees along the road, cutting off animal paths (bear, deer, pangolin, snake, monitor lizard, monkey), and cutting off water paths;</li> <li>the Complainants alleged that the Respondent's action o planting oil palm up to the riverbank, even in the middle of the river (the company changed the waterway, then planted oil palm on the former river that was stockpiled);</li> </ul>	





<ul> <li>the Complainants alleged that the Respondent is not taking the responsibility for the social, economic, and ecological damage that occurred in the Imbo Putui Customary Forest and Petapahan Village;</li> <li>the Complainants alleged that the Respondent changed the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>natural shape of the rivers and made them straight;</li> <li>the Complainants alleged that the Respondent is destroying the downstream river ecosystem in the Imbo Putui customary forest with fertilizers and pesticides; and</li> </ul>
• the Complainants alleged that the Respondent claimed the Imbo Putui Customary Forest as their conservation area (HCV).

Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):-

- 1. The Initial Diagnosis is in progress.
- 2. The complaints are divided into two allegations :
  - a. land dispute between; and
  - b. environmental degradation.
- 3. The Respondent needs to submit supporting documents and provide more information regarding the complaints.

#### 6. **Complaints Cases Statistics**

	Jan-2022	Feb-2022	Mar-2022	Apr-2022
Complaints received	-	-	-	-
Status	-	-	-	-
Country	-	-	-	-
Case Category	-	-	-	-
Total Active Cases by Country and Year (as of 14.01.2022)         Country       Active Cases         Year       Active Cases				
Country	Acti	ve Cases	Year	Active Cases

#### Total Cases in 2022

### Roundtable onSustainable Palm Oil



Malaysia	3
Colombia	3
Nigeria	1
Liberia	1
Guatemala	1
Ghana	1
Cayman Island	1
Honduras	1
TOTAL	34

2012	1
2014	1
2015	2
2017	3
2018	4
2019	3
2020	10
2021	9
TOTAL	34

#### 7. Complaints Panel Meeting Dates

#### 7.1 Dates for Complaints Panel monthly meetings

Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):-

1. The CP members suggest for the Complaints Desk to send a doodle poll to get a common date for CP meetings.

#### 7.2 Dates for Complaints Panel Physical Meetings in 2020

Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):-

**1.** The Complaints Desk concurrently will send the doodle poll together with the Google survey on topics to discuss at the quarterly meeting next year.

#### 7.3 Expansion of Complaints Panel

Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):-

**1.** There are some candidates for the CP members to be followed up by the Complaints Desk.





8.	Post Complaints Monitoring
8.1	FELDA Global Venture - (Article by The Wall Street Journal)
	Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):-
	1. Pending delivery of letter by CP.
8.2	PT Temila Agro Abadi - subsidiary of FGV - (Article by Chain Reaction Research)
	Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):-
	1. Pending delivery of letter by CP.
8.3	Poligrow Colombia SAS
	Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):-
	1. Pending response and Surveillance Audit Report from the CB.
8.4	PT Permata Hijau Sawit Kebun Papaso (subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group)
0	Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):-
	1. The Respondent is still following the action plan and the supporting documents will be shared to CP for confirmation.
8.5	Extractora de la Gloria SAS
	Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):-





9.	Dispute Settlement Facility (DSF)
	Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):- 1. The Complaints Desk is in the midst of scheduling the next DSF GoA online meeting, tentatively in Feb 2022.
10.	Commitments of Financial Institution(s)
	Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):- 1. No further updates.
11.	GA Resolution - GA15-6d - Discouraging RSPO members subject to complaints from avoiding their obligations by divesting or withdrawing their membership
	Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):- 1. The response to the board member will be presented for final endorsement at the February 2022 BOG meeting.
12.	Any Other Matters
	ASC-CP MEETING Discussion from 19 January 2022 (CP Meeting):- 1. The CP members are agreeable to continue with the joint ASC-CP meeting, at least twice a year with well defined agendas on what to be discussed. 2. The Complaints Desk will liaise with the relevant unit within the Secretariat to follow up this matter.





#### **COMPLAINTS FOR DELIBERATION:**

GROUP 1

Complaint 1.1 FEL	DA vs Orang Dusun Lahad Datu (Desa Begahak/Robin Balud)		
Case Reference	PreCAP/2015/05/PR		
Date Filed	16 February 2015		
Respondent	FELDA (Federal Land Development Authority) (Felda Ventures Bhd)		
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower		
Country	Malaysia		
Complainant	Orang Dusun Lahad Datu (Desa Begahak/Robin Balud)		
Nature of Complaint	<ul> <li>According to the Enquiry National Hak Tanah Malaysia document, the state government had given 1260 acres of land to the Dusun Begahak community in 1981. At the same time, the same land was alienated to FELDA for development.</li> <li>The complainant raised the issue that FELDA has no right to develop their land and should return it to the community because the land originally belonged to the community. The complainant also stated that the cemetery area is being developed by FELDA.</li> <li>FELDA enclaved 916 acres of the said land and gave it back to the community. The community planted fruits and other crops on the land.</li> <li>A police report has been made by the community and that is the source of the complaint.</li> </ul>		
Status	(G) Decision delivered with period to appeal (60 WD)		
Decision / Justification	1. Pending of the expiry of the Appeal submission on 5 April 2022.		

Complaint 1.2 PT. Mitra Austral Sejahtera (Sime Darby) vs NGO TuK		
Case Reference	PreCAP/2012/06/PR	
Date Filed	31 October 2012 (Under DSF) 9 June 2017 (Transferred to the CP)	
Respondent	PT Mitra Austral Sejahtera (Sime Darby subsidiary)	
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower	





Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Transformasi untuk Keadilan (TuK)
Complaint description	<ul> <li>The communities of Sanggau have alleged that the company PT Mitra Austral Sejahtera is operating without : <ol> <li>Lack of Transparency on land status and partnership agreements;</li> <li>Lack of compliance to our customary land rights;</li> <li>The absence of (documented) compensation;</li> <li>Lack of compliance to local regulations;</li> </ol> </li> <li>In acting so they are alleged to have breached RSPO Principles and Criteria specifically on: <ol> <li>Principle 1 on Transparency,</li> <li>Principle 2 on Compliance with applicable laws and Principle 6 Responsible consideration of employees and individuals and communities affected by growers and mills.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Desk is in the midst of finalising the Briefing Note.

#### Complaint 1.3 Golden Veroleum Liberia (GVL) vs. Green Advocate for the Butaw Community; FPP; SDI; SESDev; A-Bloteh

Case Reference	PreCAP/2012/09/PR
Date Filed	3 October 2012
Respondent	Golden Veroleum Liberia (GVL)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Liberia
Complainant	Green Advocate for the Butaw Community; FPP; SDI; SESDev; A-Bloteh
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Golden Veroleum Liberia:</li> <li>has not provided public notification for a 30 day period consistent with the New Planting Procedures;</li> <li>commencing land preparation new planting and infrastructure development prior to the expiry of the minimum 30-day period;</li> <li>By not conducting a comprehensive and participatory independent social and environmental impact assessment(s) of the area concerned and incorporate the results into relevant action plans (see RSPO criteria 5.1, 6.1, 7.1 &amp; 7.4) including the identification of: (a) all primary forest, (b) any area required to maintain or enhance one or more High Conservation Values (HCVs), and (d)</li> </ul>





	<ul> <li>local peoples' land;</li> <li>Clearing land without the free, prior and informed consent of the customary land owners as represented through representative organizations of their choice (P&amp;C 2.2, 2.3, 7.5 and 7.6).</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending delivery of Briefing Note.

·	HSA Group (Pacific Inter-Link, Pacific Oils & Fats Industries Sdn Bhd, PT. Pacific Indopalm Industries, PT. Pacific Medan Industri, PT. Pacific Palmindo Industri) vs Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
Case Reference	RSPO/2018/18/RB

Case Reference	K3PO/2010/10/KB
Date Filed	15 October 2018
Respondent	HSA Group (Pacific Inter-Link, Pacific Oils & Fats Industries Sdn Bhd, PT. Pacific Indopalm Industries, PT. Pacific Medan Industri, PT. Pacific Palmindo Industri)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Cayman Island
Complainant	Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
Complaint description	• Breach of Code of Conduct - violation of the RSPO Group Membership rules. All the companies (stated above) are alleged to be part of the Hayel Saeed Anam (HSA) Group, but still registered as separate RSPO members.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The CP is reviewing the additional documents from the Complainant along with the Investigation Report from the Membership Unit.





•	Pacific Inter-Link (Papuan Concessions) vs Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
Case Reference	RSPO/2018/19/RB
Date Filed	15 October 2018
Respondent	Pacific Inter-Link (Papuan Concessions)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) & Greenpeace
Complaint description	• Violation of the RSPO Code of Conduct because of their alleged involvement in the control/ownership and operational development of four palm oil plantation companies in Papua.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The CP is reviewing the additional documents from the Complainant along with the Investigation Report from the Membership Unit.





Complaint 1.6	PT Wahana Prima Sejati (subsidiary of First Resources Limited) vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/12/EN
Date Filed	14 July 2020
Respondent	PT Wahana Prima Sejati (subsidiary of First Resources Limited)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Deforestation and land clearing including in the High Conservation Value Forest within the Respondent's concession for construction;</li> <li>Development of road cutting across one of the major wildlife corridors connecting two river systems – Berenga and Tempadung Rivers; and</li> <li>Development of a jetty, which includes reclamation of the sea floor as long as 590 meters</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Briefing Note is being finalised and will be furnished to the CP in due course.





•	PT Nabire Baru and PT Adi Perkasa (subsidiary of Goodhope Asia Holdings Ltd) vs Awasmifee, Greenpeace and Forest Peoples Programme
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/17/SW
Date Filed	18 September 2020
Respondent	PT Nabire Baru and PT Sariwana Adi Perkasa (subsidiary of Goodhope Asia Holdings Ltd)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Awasmifee, Greenpeace & FPP
Complaint description	<ul> <li>The Complaint was lodged as a result of the comments that have been given by the Complainant during the Respondent's NPP consultation period have not been resolved. The allegations as below:         <ul> <li>"that the Respondent withheld two important documents which should have been made available to stakeholders under the RSPO principle on transparency. The documents are the original draft of the 2011 HCV assessment and the Respondent's legal review commissioned by the RSPO Secretariat."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Desk is in the midst of finalising the summary of the Complaint together with the draft of the decision letter.





Complaint 1.8	PT Mitra Karya Sentosa ( subsidiary of First Resources Limited) vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/07/SW
Date Filed	19 March 2021
Respondent	PT. Mitra Karya Sentosa (a subsidiary of First Resources Limited)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul> <li>The Respondent did not pay the full land compensation to the six (6) individuals;</li> <li>The Respondent unilaterally marking up the cost of plasma development and did not refer to the relevant regulations in deciding the cost of plasma development;</li> <li>The Respondent did not pay the land compensation for the land identified as HCV and HCS area; and</li> <li>The Respondent did not provide the complete map along with its coordinates for the land that has been handed over by the six (6) individuals.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation - ( Bilateral Engagement process)
Decision / Justification	1. Pending confirmation from the Respondent on the result of the Bilateral Engagement.





Complaint 1.9	Papaso Estate & PT Damai Nusa Sekawan - Soso & Bukit Udang Estate (a subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group) vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/05/SW
Date Filed	19 March 2021
Respondent	Papaso Estate & PT Damai Nusa Sekawan - Sosa & Bukit Udang Estate (a subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Complainant alleged that the Respondent prohibits the workers to conduct union activities (protest with regards to the Omnibus Law);</li> <li>Complainant alleged that the Respondent has conducted a unilateral termination of employment for the 2 (two) PT Permata Hijau Sawit workers; and</li> <li>Complainant alleged that the Respondent did not socialize the Company Regulations (<i>Peraturan Perusahaan</i>) to the workers in PT Damai Nusa Sekawan.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Panel in the midst of perusing the fact of this Complaint.





•	PT. Nabatindo Karya Utama (subsidiary of Bumitama Agri Limited vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/10/LSL
Date Filed	1 July 2021
Respondent	PT. Nabatindo Karya Utama (subsidiary of Bumitama Agri Limited)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul> <li>It is alleged that the Respondent and Koperasi Koling Hapakat did not use the plasma area in accordance with the permission allocated.</li> <li>It is alleged that there is a transfer of ownership of community-owned SHM ("Sertifikat Hak Milik") by Koperasi Koling Hapakat to the Respondent.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation - (adjourned)
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaint is adjourned pending outcomes from the claim filed before the Police of Central Kalimantan and the Ministry of Environment and Forestry.





#### **GROUP 2**

Complaint 2.1 PT. Hati Prima Agro (PT.HPA - Bumitama) vs Sawit Watch	
Case Reference	RSPO/2017/06/SW
Date Filed	13 October 2017
Respondent	PT Hati Prima Agro (subsidiary of Bumitama)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Sawit Watch
Complaint description	<ul> <li>A complaint was initially lodged in 2012 on allegations related to land matters. It was dismissed in April 2015 when Bumitama said that they were planning to divest the property and no longer had management control. However, in this request for reopening of the complaint, the complainant (Sawit Watch, EIA, and Greenpeace) has made allegations that although Bumitama claimed to have divested the property they continued to have management control. Further, in July 2016 Bumitama had repurchased the concession unit.</li> <li>Thus, the request for reopening is on the basis that Bumitama allegedly made deceptive claims before the Complaints Panel. The Complainants further claim that Bumitama had not submitted NPP despite the land clearance.</li> </ul>
Status	(G) Decision delivered with period to appeal (60 WD)
Decision / Justification	1. The CP's decision letter has been furnished to the Parties and the appeal period is open until 24.03.2022.





#### Complaint 2.2 Golden Agri Resources vs Forest Peoples Programme (FPP) & TuK

Case Reference	RSPO/2018/14/IR
Date Filed	22 August 2018
Respondent	Golden Agri Resources
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Forest Peoples Programme (FPP) and Tuk- Indonesia
Complaint description	• GAR's shadow companies are actively clearing lands.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending report from Consultant.

#### Complaint 2.3 Presco PLC Nigeria (SIAT SA) vs requested for confidentiality

Case Reference	RSPO/2018/17/PR
Date Filed	17 September 2018
Respondent	Presco PLC Nigeria (SIAT SA)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Nigeria
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	• For the past five years, PRESCO, in conjunction with the Edo State Government, through its Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources; the Police in Benin City, Edo State; and the Nigeria Army's 4th Brigade (also located in Benin City, Edo State), has been forcefully encroaching into ancestral and customarily owned indigenous farm lands, including





	Oruarivie-Abraka ancestral farm lands, situated in Delta State (a neighbouring State to Edo State, where PRESCO's Head Office is located), and forcefully evicting local farmers from these ancestral and customarily owned indigenous farm lands measuring approximately three thousand hectares (well beyond the Edo State boundaries), and forcefully and illegally grabbing same for its palm oil plantation expansionist activities.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending execution of the settlement agreement by both parties.

PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs Koalisi Organisasi Serikat
Pekerja dan Lembaga Swadaya Masyarakat Kabupaten Labuhan Batu,
FSMI. TIPAN-RIPT

Case Reference	RSPO/2019/07/IR
Date Filed	10 April 2019
Respondent	PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	North Sumatera, Indonesia
Complainant	Koalisi Organisasi Serikat Pekerja dan Lembaga Swadaya Masyarakat Kabupaten Labuhan Batu, FSMI. TIPAN-RI
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Lack of adequate health and safety equipment;</li> <li>Worker died due to lack of safety equipment; and</li> <li>No festive holidays for temporary workers.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending Briefing Note.

Complaint 2.5 PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs requested for confidentiality	
Case Reference	RSPO/2019/11/IR
Date Filed	23 April 2019
Respondent	PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO)





Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	North Sumatera, Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul><li>Temporary workers contract and wages issues;</li><li>Intimidation of workers</li></ul>
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending Briefing Note.

Complaint 2.6 PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO) vs Federasi Serikat Pekerja Metal Indonesia (FSPMI)	
Case Reference	RSPO/2019/12/IR
Date Filed	6 May 2019
Respondent	PT Perkebunan Nusantara IV (PERSERO)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	North Sumatra, Indonesia
Complainant	Federasi Serikat Pekerja Metal Indonesia (FSPMI)
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Alleged fraud of wages for 7 workers,</li> <li>No festive holidays and bonus for temporary workers</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending Briefing Note.

# **RSPO** | Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil



Complaint 2.7 P	almaceite S.A vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/05/HN
Date Filed	24 March 2020
Respondent	Palmaceite S.A.
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Colombia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	• The Respondent has allegedly failed to fulfill the agreement with the Complainant to implement and monitor the operations of the Complainant's plantation which led to the collapse of the production within the plantation.
Status	(H) Appeals Submitted
Decision / Justification	1. The Appeals Panel was constituted and two meetings were held on 06.01.2022 and 17.01.2022 respectively.

Complaint 2.8 Agroaceite S.A. vs requested for confidentiality	
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/11/HN
Date Filed	6 July 2020
Respondent	Agroaceite, S.A.
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Guatemala
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint Description	• The Complainant alleges that the Respondent has destroyed the biodiversity of the Manchón Guamuchal nature reserve, a coastal-marine wetland, located





	<ul> <li>along the Pacific coast of Guatemala in the San Marcos and Retalhuleu department;</li> <li>The Respondent allegedly discharged immense quantities of toxic chemicals and hazardous waste which affected the local marine species, the mangrove's ecosystem and the local community.</li> </ul>
Status	(G) Decision delivered with period to appeal (60 WD)
Decision / Justification	1. Pending of the expiry of the Appeal submission on 19 January 2022.

•	PT Agro Kati Lama (subsidiary of SIPEF group) vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/01/EN
Date Filed	22 January 2021
Respondent	PT Agro Kati Lama (subsidiary of SIPEF group)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint Description	<ul> <li>The daily casual workers are paid below the minimum wage set out by the Musi Rawas District Government;</li> <li>The daily casual workers are not protected and no remedy available for work accidents;</li> <li>The daily casual workers are not equipped with personal protection equipment [PPE] and are not equipped with working tools;</li> <li>The daily casual workers did not receive festive allowance in accordance with the regulation;</li> <li>The daily casual workers, who are hired through the third party, do not get a pay slip. The amount written in the payment receipt is without formal stamp and without the name of the party who rendered the payment.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation
Decision / Justification	<ol> <li>DSF has been facilitated 3 mediation session</li> <li>The parties agreed to follow up with the physical meeting and expected it to be conducted in February 2022.</li> </ol>



Complaint 2.10 PT Agrowiratama ( a subsidiary of Musim Mas Holdings Pte. Ltd) vs Tim Advokasi DPPKNPI - (adjourned)	
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/02/SW
Date Filed	27 January 2021
Respondent	PT Agrowiratama (a subsidiary of Musim Mas Holdings Pte. Ltd.)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Tim Advokasi Dewan Pengurus Pusat Komite Nasional Pemuda Indonesia (DPP KNPI) on behalf of 4 Datuak (Batuah, Bonsu, Sati, and Malenggang) of customary community in Muara Kiawai, Pasaman Barat.
Complaint Description	<ul> <li>Operating in the protected forest area without having a license from the Ministry of Forestry;</li> <li>Operating without having a HGU; and</li> <li>Did not develop plasma plantations for the community as agreed</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation - (adjourned)
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Desk is awaiting for the Complainant to respond to the Complaints Desk queries.





#### Complaint 2.11 PT Cipta Usaha Sejati (subsidiary of Pasifik Agro Sentosa) vs Petrus Suwito (Binua Tinkakok Kalimantan) representing - Isak Aloka and Simon P.Luinesi

	Simon rieumesi	
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/09/EN	
Date Filed	11 May 2021	
Respondent	PT. Cipta Usaha Sejati (Subsidiary of Pasifik Agro Sentosa)	
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower	
Country	Indonesia	
Complainant	Petrus Suwito (Binua Tingkakok Kalimantan) representing - Isak Aloka and Simon P. Liunesi	
Complaint Description	• Embezzlement of severance payment of two retired workers by the Respondent's Head of Administration.	
Status	(D) Investigation/Deliberation	
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Desk has sent an email to the Complainant requesting to furnish the Complaints Desk with the clarity on representation status and contact details of the individuals they represent in the Complaint.	





#### **GROUP 3**

Complaint 3.1 PT. Kartika Prima Cipta (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & TuK	
Case Reference	PreCAP/2014/03/IR
Date Filed	13 October 2014
Respondent	PT. Kartika Prima Cipta (PT.KPC) - Golden Agri Resources (GAR)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia – Kapuas Hulu, West Kalimantan
Complainant	Forest Peoples Programme (FPP) and (Transformasi untuk Keadilan) TuK Indonesia
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Points raised:-</li> <li>PT KPC is in persistent violation of the RSPO P&amp;C pertaining HCV assessment, the recognition of customary land rights and free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC). Lack of FPIC of the local Dayak and Malay people in the process of land take over.</li> <li>Land takeover was done without land tenure study and participatory mapping.</li> <li>Late HCV assessment which was done by IPB, final consultation was in May 2014.</li> <li>Dissatisfaction by smallholders and resentment because of reduced post facto set aside for HCV rather than getting plasma scheme (20%).</li> <li>Set aside land as HCS area that made no provision for customary land uses and tenure and local people livelihood.</li> <li>GAR as the parent company of all 18 subsidiaries listed in acting in violation of the New Planting Procedure (NPP) by submitting information which is known as false. No HGU in 16 of 18 NPP.</li> <li>The assessors which have endorsed GAR's HCV assessment, ESIAs and social engagement actions, are in violation of their responsibility to provide impartial assessment of company compliance with RSPO P&amp;C.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending deliberations on Phase 3,4 and 5.





•••••	
Case Reference	PreCAP/2017/06/SW
Date Filed	19 April 2017
Respondent	Goodhope Asia Holdings
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	RSPO Secretariat (Following Joint Comment by FPP, Greenpeace, EIA and PUSAKA on the NPP Posting of PT Nabire Baru / Goodhope)
Complaint description	• Note: FPP and the other NGOs also sent a complaint to the BoG on the fact that Goodhope had insisted that their NPP be posted for public consultation despite the Secretariat's finding that the HCV Assessment was not satisfactory. They had also questioned the role of the Secretariat in acting in accordance to GA 12 Resolution 6(H).
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Panel have perused the Respondent's letter and will send a response to the letter in due course.

#### Complaint 3.2 Goodhope Asia Holdings vs RSPO Secretariat





### Complaint 3.3 IOI Pelita (IOI Group) vs Community of Long Teran Kanan

Case Reference	PreCAP/2010/01/PR
Date Filed	15 March 2010 Transferred back from DSF to Complaints Panel on 17 January 2017
Respondent	IOI Pelita (IOI Group)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Sarawak, Malaysia
Complainant	Community of Long Teran Kanan
Complaint description	• Infringement of P&C No.2.2 – the right to land use disputed.
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. CP will meet for an ad-hoc meeting on this matter on 24 January 2022.





•	Golden Agri Resources (GAR) vs Forest Peoples Programme & Elk Hills Foundation
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/04/IR
Date Filed	2 March 2020
Respondent	Golden Agri Resource (GAR)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	Forest Peoples Programme & Elk Hills Foundation
Complaint description	<ul> <li>The Respondent, through its subsidiaries located in Central Kalimantan, operate its plantations with permits which never had the force of law;</li> <li>The Respondent, through its employees have been involved in the payment of bribes to provincial officials in Central Kalimantan.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending legal review.





Complaint 3.5	Aceites Y Derivados Sociedad Anonima (ACEYDESA) vs requested for confidentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/07/LSL
Date Filed	6 April 2020
Respondent	Aceites Y Derivados Sociedad Anonima (ACEYDESA)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Honduras
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Modification of property deeds to remove areas identified by the government as environmental protection zone;</li> <li>Employees not paid minimum wage; not provided with equipment; and not given workers' benefits;</li> <li>Non-compliance with labour laws;</li> <li>Threatening of workers to suppress information from auditors;</li> <li>Bribing workers and government agencies;</li> <li>Manipulation of scale systems; Falsification of data;</li> <li>Operating without an environmental license.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The letter to the Certification Unit has been drafted and pending receipt of final revised special audit so as to enable the Complaints Desk to decide on the next course of action.

# **RSPO** | Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil



	Benso Oil Palm Plantations (subsidiary of Wilmar International Limited) vs Mrs Joseph Yankey & Mr Solomon Asante-Sefa
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/15/LSL
Date Filed	25 August 2020
Respondent	Benso Oil Palm Plantation (subsidiary of Wilmar International Limited)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Ghana
Complainant	Mrs. Joseph Yankey & Mr Solomon Asante-Sefa
Complaint description	<ul> <li>The Respondent has acquired land to develop oil palm in Ghana;</li> <li>The Respondent has destroyed farmers' rubber plantation;</li> <li>The Respondent is not willing to pay for the damages caused to the rubber farmers;</li> <li>The Respondent is still cutting down rubber farms to plant oil palm;</li> <li>The remaining uncultivated lands have been taken over for the Respondent's project;</li> <li>The Respondent has shown no interest in resolving these issues despite several Complaints made to the Respondent by the Complainants.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Desk is in the midst of drafting a decision letter.





Complaint 3.7 IOI Plantation Mekassar Estate (subsidiary of IOI Corporation Berhad) vs requested for confidentiality	
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/19/IR
Date Filed	5 October 2020
Respondent	IOI Plantation Mekassar Estate (subsidiary of IOI Corporation Berhad)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Malaysia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Unilateral pay cut by the Respondent</li> <li>Poor living conditions for the labourers</li> <li>Intimidation of workers</li> <li>Forced labour</li> <li>Lack of transparent grievance system</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. Pending draft response letter to IOI.





Complaint 3.8	PT Nubika Jaya (subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group) vs LSM-Baris Labuhanbatu Selatan
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/20/SW
Date Filed	12 November 2020
Respondent	PT Nubika Jaya (subsidiary of Permata Hijau Group)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	LSM-Baris Labuhanbatu Selatan
Complaint description	<ul> <li>No Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant;</li> <li>The Oleochemical equalization pool was not built with concrete;</li> <li>Sludge from the Oleochemical Wastewater Treatment Plant has never been transported to a designated waste processing agency.</li> <li>Dispose of liquid waste without permit;</li> <li>Inconsistency on the volume of Hazardous Waste transported to a designated waste processing agency;</li> <li>A sparring equipment has yet installed at the Wastewater Treatment Plant;</li> <li>No certified Wastewater Treatment Operational Responsible and Water Pollution Control Officer onsite;</li> <li>Processing fresh fruit bunches from non-RSPO certified plantations.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Panel is still waiting for the verification report from the CB.





Complaint 3.9	Palmas y Trabajo S.A.S; Progreso Palmero S.A.S and Oleaginosas de Yuma S.A.S (Subsidiary of Daabon Group) - (Adjourned)
Case Reference	RSPO/2020/16/LSL
Date Filed	10 September 2020
Respondent	Palmas y Trabajo S.A.S; Progreso Palmero S.A.S and Oleaginosas de Yuma S.A.S (subsidiary of Daabon Group)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Colombia
Complainant	Puerto Wilches Section Sintrainagro Deputy Director's Office
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Non-compliance with labour rights/laws;</li> <li>Anti-union practices; and</li> <li>Employees not provided sufficient protection equipment.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation (adjourned)
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaint is adjourned pending the outcome of the claims lodged before the Ministry of Labour.



	ist resources cliniced vs requested for connuentiality
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/04/EN
Date Filed	8 March 2021
Respondent	First Resources Limited
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul> <li>The Respondent allegedly has ownership, control and influence over a company called Fangiono Agro Plantation (FAP) Agri, a non-RSPO member who was not declared in the Respondent's RSPO Group Membership.</li> <li>The Respondent also allegedly has ownership, control and influence of an Indonesian company, Ciliandry Anky Abadi (CAA) who is a subsidiary of a British Virgin Islands registered company by the name of Sima Capital. This company too was not declared to be a part of the Respondent's RSPO Group Membership.</li> <li>The Respondent, via FAP or by FAP's 11 subsidiaries have violated the RSPO Principles and Criteria by deforestation, peatland degradation, using lands for plantation without FPIC, river pollution, and unilateral layoffs of workers.</li> <li>The Respondent, via CAA, have violated the RSPO Principles and Criteria by deforestation, using lands for plantation without FPIC, river pollution, using lands for plantation without FPIC, river pollution, using lands for plantation without FPIC, river pollution, using lands for plantation without FPIC, and river pollution</li> <li>The Respondent is violating its NDPE Commitments, the RSPO Principle and Criteria, the RSPO Code of Conduct, and RSPO membership rules.</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. CP will review all documents, including the Investigation Report provided by the Membership Unit.

#### Complaint 3.10 First Resources Limited vs requested for confidentiality





Complaint 3.11 PT Inecda ( a subsidiary of S&G Biofuel Pte. Ltd) vs requested for confidentiality	
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/06/SW
Date Filed	19 March 2021
Respondent	PT Inecda (a subsidiary of S&G Biofuel Pte. Ltd)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Respondent is operating in the area own by the Luak Talang Parit Community without obtaining prior consent from the community;</li> <li>Respondent did not develop the plasma plantations for the community; and</li> <li>Respondent did not have an internal grievance mechanism that the stakeholders can access</li> </ul>
Status	(D) Investigation / deliberation
Decision / Justification	1. The Complaints Panel is still waiting for the verification report from the CB.





Complaint 3.12 PT SMART TBK ( a subsidiary of Golden Agri Resources Ltd) vs requested for confidentiality	
Case Reference	RSPO/2021/11/HN
Date Filed	11 July 2021
Respondent	PT SMART TBK (subsidiary of Golden Agri Resources Ltd)
Membership Category	Oil Palm Grower
Country	Indonesia
Complainant	requested for confidentiality
Complaint description	<ul> <li>Supply CPO &amp; FFB from unsustainable sources.</li> <li>The Respondent supplies FFB and CPO from PT Kapuasindo Palm Industri (a subsidiary of Kencana Group), a non-RSPO member, which has a series of conflicts with the local community and violations to the RSPO standards.</li> </ul>
Status	(G) Decision delivered with period to appeal (60 WD)
Decision / Justification	1. Pending of the expiry of the Appeal submission on 11 April 2022.