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SUMMARY
BSi has conducted a certification assessment of the GPPOL operations comprising 1 mill, supply base, support services and infrastructure. BSi concludes that GPPOL operations comply with the requirements of RSPO Principles & Criteria: 2007 and SI NIWG Indicators and Guidance August 2010 for the following scope:

Sustainable production of palm oil (28,830 tonnes CPO) and 7,630 PKO).

BSI RECOMMENDS THAT GPPOL BE APPROVED AS A PRODUCER OF RSPO CERTIFIED SUSTAINABLE PALM OIL.

ABBREVIATIONS USED

BOD Biological Oxygen Demand
CDC Commonwealth Development Corporation
CIP Continuous Improvement Plan
CLUA Customary Land Usage Agreement
COP Code of Practice
CPO Crude Palm Oil
CTP Cargills Plantations
CWS Central Vehicle Workshop
DEC Department of Environment & Conservation
DOH Dept. of Health
EFB Empty Fruit Bunch
EMS Environmental Management System
FFB Fresh Fruit Bunch
FPIC Free, Prior and Informed Consent
GCLC GPPOL Community Liaison Committee
GHG Green House Gas
GPPOL Guadalcanal Plains Palm Oil Ltd
GPPOWA Guadalcanal Plains Palm Oil Worker’s Association
GPRDA Guadalcanal Plains Resource Development Association
HACCP Hazard Analysis of Critical Control Points
HCV High Conservation Value
HCVF High Conservation Value Forests
IE Independent Estate (a class of Smallholder)
ILG Incorporated Land Group
IPM Integrated Pest Management
IRCA International Registration of Certified Auditors
ISO International Standards Organisation
LLB Lease-Lease Back
LSS Land Settlement Scheme (a class of Smallholder)
LTI Lost Time Injuries
MG Management Guidelines
MSDS Material Safety Data Sheets
NARI National Agriculture Research Institute (PNG)
NBPO New Britain Palm Oil Limited
NLDD Native Land Dealing Document
OHS Occupational Health & Safety
OPRA Oil Palm Research Association (PNG)

PCD Pollution Control Device
PMP Pest Management Plan
QLQ Quad Labour Quarters
SI NIWG Solomon Island National Interpretation Working Group
POME Palm Oil Mill Effluent
POPA Palm Oil Producers Association (PNG)
PPE Personal Protective Equipment
RAB-QSA Internal Auditor Accreditation Body
RFI Request for Information
SADP Smallholder Agriculture Development Project (PNG)
SABL Special Agriculture Business Lease
SEIA Social and Environmental Impact Assessment
SG Smallholder Grower
SIA Social Impact Assessment
SM Company Sustainability Manager
SOP Standard Operating Procedure
TRP Timber Rights Purchase
VOP Village Oil Palm (a class of Smallholder)

1.0 SCOPE OF CERTIFICATION ASSESSMENT

1.1 National Interpretation Used

The operations of the mill and the supply bases of FFB were assessed against the SI NIWG: August 2010 of the RSPO Principles and Criteria: 2007.

1.2 Certification Scope

This certification assessment includes the production from ONE (1) Palm Oil Mill and 3 company owned Estates and Small holders.

Table 1: Mill GPS Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MILL</th>
<th>EASTINGS</th>
<th>SOURTHING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetere</td>
<td>160°13.107</td>
<td>09°.26.588</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3 Table 2: Estates FFB Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estates</th>
<th>FFB (tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ngalimbiu</td>
<td>49,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetere</td>
<td>27,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbalisuna</td>
<td>29,947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>107,258</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Tere palm oil mill and estates are located in Guadalcanal, Solomon Islands.

The GPS location of the mill is shown in Table 1.
Map 1: Location of GPPOL in relation to Solomon Islands

Star represents location of estates in relation to Solomon Islands

Map 2: Location of Solomon Islands
Map 3: Location of GPPOL Plantation Area

Only Main Road on Guadalcanal
1.4 Description of Supply Base

Oil palm fruit is sourced from company managed Estates and from Small holders.

Operations designated as Estates are company owned and managed oil palm that has been planted on State Agricultural Leases held by GPPOL. The areas and FFB production from Estates are listed in Table 2.

Smallholder Growers (SG’s) supply approximately 10% of oil palm fruit processed by the Mill.

GPPOL has held comprehensive discussions with the SG’s on RSPO implementation. GPPOL has stated its commitment to continue to work with the SG’s on the implementation of the RSPO P&C with the aim of achieving certification.

The SG’s comprise small holdings of oil palm that were developed on customary land. The Small Holder Oil Palm was developed independently of the company. The SG’s manage all aspects of their small holdings of oil palm, including harvesting. FFB production is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Small holders and FFB Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Small holders (Total No)</th>
<th>FFB (tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>12,119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5 Date of Plantings and Cycle

The company owned Estates have been rehabilitated 2005 (following acquisition from CDC). The age profile of the palms on Estates is detailed following ethnic tensions which resulted in the Estates and mills being abandoned from 1999). The age profile of the palms on Estates is detailed in Table 4.

Table 4: Age Profile of Company Estate Planted Palms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Ha</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25.60</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>345.9</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>449.80</td>
<td>7.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>128.20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>442.39</td>
<td>7.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>545.70</td>
<td>8.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>424.30</td>
<td>6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>121.50</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>112.90</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>131.80</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>570.95</td>
<td>9.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>147.70</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>242.90</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.6 Other Certifications Held

GPPOL holds no other certification although they have made a commitment in the MOU with the Solomon Islands Government to implement an ISO 14001:2004 system.

1.7 Organisational Information / Contact Person

GUADALCANAL PLAINS PALM OIL LTD
PO Box 2001,
HONIARA SOLOMON ISLANDS

Contact Person: Mr. Roger Benzie
General Manager
Phone/Fax: 677 21003

Email: rbenzie@gppol.com.sb

1.8 Time Bound Plan for Other Management Units

GPPOL is part of a group owned by New Britain Palm Oil (NBPO). NBPO estates and mills in West New Britain were certified to PNG National Interpretation of the RSPO in 2008 and recertified in 2009. NBPO Group certification time bound plan states that GPPOL will be audited in December 2010 and that their other holding (in PNG - RAIL) were assessed in April 2010 and are awarded certification in August 2010.

Guadalcanal Plains Palm Oil Limited (GPPOL) comprises of a mill and approximately 6000 ha planted to palms, in the Solomon Islands. GPPOL has advised BSI that there are no land disputes, legal noncompliance’s or litigations at its Solomon Island operations. In addition GPPOL has not developed on HCVF as all the holdings are on previously existing Estates. GPPOL has been assessed in anticipation of the Solomon Island National Interpretation being ratified by the RSPO Executive Board.

NBPO has recently acquired the former CTP operations in PNG. This acquisition was completed in May 2010. There is a plan to have all operations certified to RSPO by November 2012. With Poliamba planned for November 2011, Milne Bay Estates for July 2012 and Higaturu planned for November 2012.
RSPO Certification Assessment – GPPOL Mill and Supply Base

NBPOL has submitted to BSI a time-bound plan to achieve RSPO Certification for all current operations. BSI considers this to conform to the RSPO requirements for partial certification.

1.9 Area of Estates

The areas of planted palms at company owned and managed Estates are listed in Tables 5 & 6.

Table 5: Estates Hectare Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estates</th>
<th>Mature (ha)</th>
<th>Immature (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ngalimiui</td>
<td>1875.30</td>
<td>446.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetere</td>
<td>1248.90</td>
<td>338.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbalisuna</td>
<td>2404.60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>5,528.80</td>
<td>785.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The areas of Small holders planted palms listed in Table 6.

Table 6: Small holders Planted Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mature (ha)</th>
<th>Immature (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>706</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.10 Approximate Tonnages Certified

Table 7: Approximate Tonnages Certified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MILL</th>
<th>CPO</th>
<th>PKO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetere</td>
<td>28,830</td>
<td>7,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>28,830</td>
<td>7,630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.11 Date Certificate Issued and Scope of Certificate

Scope

Scope of the Certificate is for the production from the single palm oil mill and its supply base (refer Table 7 for tonnages).

Certificate details are included as Appendix A. The Certificate issue date will be the date of the RSPO approval of the Assessment Report.

Inclusion of Small holders

During the audit of GPPOL operations, the audit team became aware of the effort and resources that GPPOL had committed to the RSPO implementation for its Small Holders. In particular, GPPOL had initiated RSPO awareness for smallholders in 2009 through the draft Solomon Islands National Interpretation Working Group (SINIWG) process and worked with the local GPPOL smallholder representatives. GPPOL worked closely with the Smallholder representative in the development of a “Planting Approval Form” which is used for environmental screening of SG applications for development of new areas of land to oil palm. The SI NIWG submitted the “Planting Approval Form” along with the NI to the RSPO, EB and the public review process. Since late 2009, no new Small holders have been accepted without being subjected to a field assessment in accordance with the “Planting Approval Form”.

Small holders

The SI NIWG had previously established the status of the SG’s as “independent” and this was endorsed by the RSPO EB. All Small holders at GPPOL fall under this classification.

Smallholders are not under any obligation or contract to supply to the mill but are associated to the company through geography and logistics. There is no Government National extension service yet present in Solomon Islands. GPPOL has therefore included Small holders in the company wide awareness programs, compliance surveys and other RSPO related work.

GPPOL has a defined list of all their smallholders and ascertained each of their location and status. This is compiled into a Company database. GPPOL has agreed to collect the fruit from these defined independent Small holders.

GPPOL operates an Out Grower’s Department that is dedicated to support the small holders who supply fruit to the company’s mill. The smallholders’ land has been mapped and GPPOL is assisting in the verification of their rights to the land. GPPOL supplies oil palm seedlings to the smallholders and assists them by coordinating pest and disease surveys and treatment of pest outbreaks. GPPOL also helps to facilitate soft loans to its smallholders with the National Development Bank for purchase of seedlings, tools and fertiliser, which it delivers to them.

GPPOL has implemented awareness training of SGs on the RSPO P&C s at Field Days (Training for Small Holders) in each of the smallholder Divisions, commencing in June 2009. GPPOL has provided training of small holders via Field Days on the RSPO P&C, (the latest was at a workshop in March 2010). GPPOL has also commenced work and has completed a baseline survey of Small holders determining their compliance with the RSPO guidelines for Independent Small holders. The survey process involved the physical inspection of all smallholder blocks and interview of each block holder to assess their understanding of sustainable practices and conformance with the relevant RSPO P&C’s.

In consideration of GPPOL’s close involvement with the individual smallholders, they can be regarded as being “Associated” with GPPOL. On the basis of this conclusion, GPPOL has complied with its commitment to achieve certification of its “Associated” smallholders within three years from the date of Initial Certification.
In consideration of the above information, the audit team concluded that it is appropriate for inclusion of the smallholders in the GPPOL Certificate.

BSi examined in detail the smallholder survey database and concluded that the information showed the great majority of smallholders met conformance with the relevant Indicators of the SI NIWG (September 2009). The validity of the small holder survey results was tested by selecting a sample of 20 Small holders that were representative of a range of conditions and subjecting these to field audits. This figure is well in excess of the RSPO sampling guidelines for smallholders. BSi also interviewed Smallholder representatives who had been involved in the baseline survey and confirmed their knowledge of the relevant RSPO P&C’s.

BSi concluded that the survey results for 246 small holders plus the physical audits and the interviews of the smallholder representatives provided substantive evidence of conformance with the RSPO P&C.

2.0 ASSESSMENT PROCESS

2.1 Certification Body

Prepared by
BSI Group Singapore Pte Ltd
3 Lim Teck Kim Road #10-02
Singapore Technologies Building
Singapore088934

Product Manager: Mr Soon Leong Chia
Phone: +65 6270 0777 Ext 115
Fax: +65 6270 2777
Email: soonleong.chia@bsigroup.com

BSi is a leading global provider of management systems assessment and certification, with more than 60,000 certified locations and clients in over 100 countries. BSi Standards is the UK’s National Standards Body. BSi Management Systems provides independent, third-party certification of management systems. BSi has a Regional Office in Singapore and an Office in Kuala Lumpur.

2.2 Qualifications of the Lead Assessor and Assessment Team

Allan Thomas Lead Assessor

Allan Thomas holds a tertiary qualification in commerce and accounting from Wollongong University in 1973 and has more than 18 years’ experience in systems management and auditing of large organisations in construction, forestry, agriculture, manufacturing and in private and Government sectors both in Australia, South East Asia and the South Pacific. During the past 15 years, he has been the Manager of a large certification body based in Australia with responsibilities throughout SE Asia. He has performed over 100 comprehensive audits of management systems throughout the Palm Oil industry including Occupational Health and Safety, Environmental and Quality Management Systems. He has also advised companies on the implementation of OHS in the Oil Palm Industry. He has worked in Indonesia, Malaysia and SI in the Oil Palm industry. Allan has conducted over 2000 system audits in the last 12 years and controlled over 50 auditors when Certification Manager of SGS-ICS.

He is a Lead Environmental Auditor (ISO 14001) with IRCA, A Lead OHS Auditor (OHSAS 18001 & AS 4801) with IRCA, a Lead Quality Auditor (ISO 9001:2008) with RABQSA and also an accredited Heavy Vehicle Auditor. He has also implemented strategies for implementing and maintaining SA 8000. Allan has also been appointed a Federal Safety Officer by the Australian Commonwealth Government.

He has conducted Integrated Management assessments of a large number of palm and kernel oil mills and many oil palm plantations in Indonesia, Malaysia and Papua New Guinea. He has worked closely with RSPO in developing an audit checklist for the Principles and Criteria and developed an audit methodology. This was carried out at the instigation of Dr. Simon Lord – in 2005 prior to RT3. Dr. Lord is a former member of the Executive Board – this audit checklist was bought by RSPO in early 2006. He also performed the first base line assessment of the applications of the P&C. He is a strong advocate of environmental, safety and social accountability.

Tom Diwais – Technical Expert- Small Holders& HCV

Tom Diwai Vigus holds a tertiary qualification in Forestry, graduating from the University of Wales (Bangor) in 1970. He has 39 years’ experience in the areas of forestry, environment, conservation and socio-economics in the Pacific Islands, particularly Papua New Guinea and the Solomon Islands as well as the Northern Territory of Australia. In 2003/4 he was Field Team leader/Professional Forest in the most extensive audit of existing large scale logging operations in SI, the Independent Review of Existing Logging Projects, completing 14 in depth reports and contributing to the final report which contained recommendations for all stakeholders to improve the sustainability of SI the Forestry Sector.

Since 2003 he has been the environment and social advisor to the World Bank during the preparation of the Smallholder Agriculture Development Project, which aims at improving the livelihoods of oil palm growers, both in Land Settlement Schemes and Village Oil Palm, as well as providing capacity building and funding support to Ward Development Committees, CBOs and Local Level Governments in small scale infrastructure projects.

More recently he has been working for the Oil Palm Research Association (OPRA) in identifying areas with High Conservation Values in numerous proposed Mini-Estates, as an independent consultant but in association with the Worldwide Fund for nature (WWF).

Tom is fluent in Tok Pisin

Mike Finlayson - Technical Expert Social
Mike has 20 years’ experience as a development specialist in Australia, Asia and the Pacific and has worked in SI since 1985. Mike focuses on the social impact assessment (SIA) of large-scale resource projects and has recent experience in Australia, Papua New Guinea, China and the Philippines:

In 2009 Mike conducted a SIA of an expansion of oil palm for Hargy Oil Palms Limited, in West New Britain;

In 2008 Mike provided advice and participated in the conduct of an SIA for the development of a large bauxite mine on traditionally-owned land in the Cape York area of northern Australia;

Between 2007 and 2009 Mike led a team conducting a SIA of an oil & gas project in Gulf and Central Provinces of SI;

Since mid-2008 Mike has worked with Ok Tedi Mining Limited to prepare socio-economic data for mine-impacted communities, prepare a social and economic report (as an attachment to the 2009 mine closure plan), and provide advice on social and economic monitoring;

In early 2009 Mike provided advice to the University of Inner Mongolia (China) on the design and conduct of a SIA to examine the impact of mining and petroleum operations on traditional herding activities; and

In 2007 Mike conducted an economic analysis of the proposed Tampakan copper and gold mine in the Southern Philippines.

Mike aims to maximize sustainable development through the promotion of effective and practical solutions in large-scale resource industries by working with local consultants and local organizations while ensuring high quality outcomes in line with current international best practice. Mike is a member of the International Association for Impact Assessment (IAIA) and is familiar with, and has conducted studies in accordance with the Equator Principles and Global Reporting Initiative.

Mike is also a director of Project Design & Management Pty Ltd (PDM), a company specializing in development assistance and humanitarian aid, with a long history in SI. Mike is fluent in Tok Pisin.

2.3 Assessment Methodology, Programme, Site Visits

A pre-audit assessment was conducted from 26 – 30 October 2009.

A further precertification assessment was conducted from the 10th to 15th of May 2010 in anticipation of approval of the SI RSPO Interpretation.

This certification assessment was conducted from 2-7 December 2010.

The single mill and its supply base including Small holders is a single certification unit as defined by RSPO. Therefore the mill was audited together with the Estates and Small holders as they represented 100% of its supply base. The 2010SI National Interpretation of the RSPO Principles and Criteria (as found on the RSPO website) was used throughout and all Principles were assessed. The methodology for collection of objective evidence included physical site inspections, observation of tasks and processes, interviews of staff, workers and their families, review of documentation and monitoring data. Checklists and questionnaires were used to guide the collection of information. The comments made by external stakeholders were also taken into account in the assessment.

Smallholders were also included in this audit. A total of 20 blocks were audited out of the 246 smallholder blocks.

After the interview with each small holder was concluded the auditor inspected each block with the block holder and in the absence of any officers from GPPOL in order to gain an understanding of any issues of concern that the block holder wished to raise about the oil palm company (GPPOL).

2.4 Stakeholder Consultation and List of Stakeholders Contacted

Stakeholder consultation involved external and internal stakeholders. External stakeholders were notified of this audit, its timing and purpose by placing an invitation to comment on the RSPO, BSI and GPPOL websites and an advertisement in each of the SI national newspapers.

Letters were written to individual stakeholders and telephone calls were made to arrange meetings. Within the audit process itself, meetings were held with stakeholders to seek their views on the performance of the company with respect to the sustainability practices outlined in the RSPO and aspects where improvements could be made.

Stakeholders included those immediately linked with the operation of the company such as employees; Small holders, contractors and the research staff of the Oil Palm Research Association.

A specific point was made to interview representatives of the GPPOL Oil Palm Workers Union during the course of this assessment.

External stakeholders included organizations such as Provincial Government, NGOs and Civil Societies, who have an interest in the Guadalcanal area and resident communities in and around GPPOL.

Stakeholder consultation took place in the form of meetings and interviews. Meetings with government agencies and NGOs were held in their respective premises.

In all the interviews and meetings the purpose of the audit was clarified at the outset followed by an evaluation of the relationship between the stakeholder and the company before discussions proceeded in accordance with relevant RSPO principles, criteria and...
indicators. Apart from the environmental Go’s, all of the stakeholders agreed with its objectives and expressed their willingness to collaborate in the promotion of sustainable palm oil in the Solomon Islands. In a number of interviews and meetings where company representatives were present this did not restrict discussion of both the positive and negative aspects of GPPOL’s operations. Although company representative were present they were on the periphery and did not influence the process.

The company representatives only introduced the team and where requested to leave during meeting with stakeholders. For internal stakeholders the same procedure was followed and company representatives left once the consultations started (this was the occurred with senior management. Employees are involved in consultation and committees).

**List of Stakeholders Contacted**

In addition to the management of GPPOL the following people were consulted (some managers are included below to indicate membership of various committees):

- Baddley Tabiru, Lands Officer and GCLC secretary
- Dominica Kilokilo, Member of the Tetere Women’s Group
- Barbara Nuabi, Financial Manager and member of the Tetere Women’s Group
- Grace Tuimaka, Personnel Officer and member of the Tetere Women’s Group
- David Pukena, Tetere Housing Compound Supervisor
- Charity Sagia, Mbalasuna Housing Compound Supervisor
- Willie Talu, Ngalimbu Housing Compound Supervisor
- Joyce Halu, Nursing Officer – Ngalimbu Clinic
- Judy Denny, Nurse Aid – Tetere
- Mary Apo, Mbalasuna Registered Nurse
- Gabriel Kibo, Chairman – Guadalcanal Plains Palm Oil Worker’s Association
- Henry Sae, Chairman - GPRDA
- Alfred Maeke, Treasurer – GPRDA
- Shadrack Galaga, Member – GPRDA
- Benedict Garimane, Member – GPRDA
- Enoch Pegoa, Member – GPRDA
- John Sekatala, Member – GPRDA
- Greenta Tome, Secretary Binu Outgrowers Association and GCLC member
- Alfred Thugea, tribal chief and GCLC member
- Lino Papari Maeke, Chairman – Kautogha Land Purchase Cooperative Society and GCLC member
- Timothy Vuria, village elder and GCLC member
- And the following villagers
  - Naphtali Vevo
  - Simon Pereseni
  - Mathew Lova
  - Frank Sam
  - Patteson Bamai
  - Jerry Kedo
  - John Pereseni
  - Peter Poso
  - Mathew Lova
  - John Mandetea
  - Gabriel Sura
  - Thomas Cheka
  - Caroline Hula
  - Alfred Sango
  - Timothy Vuria
  - Clement Tavioria
  - Greenta Tome
  - Willie Pereseni
  - Daisy Pereseni
  - Kale Tao oria
  - Emma Mandetea
  - Terry Kera
  - Harrison H. Saea
  - John Sura
  - Redly Varakea
  - Nickson Leua
  - John Pereseni
  - Rolphy Saea
  - Irene Cheka
  - Johnson

In addition, meetings were held with the Outgrower’s Association, many additional GPPOL staff and some residents of the Tetere and Ngalimbu housing compounds.

In addition, meetings were held with the Outgrower’s Association, various GPPOL staff and some residents of the Tetere and Ngalimbu housing compounds.

**2.5 Date of Next Surveillance Visit**

Within 12 months of Certification.

**3.0 ASSESSMENT FINDINGS**

**3.1 Summary of Findings**

As outlined in Section 2.3, objective evidence was obtained separately for each of the RSPO Indicators for the Mill and the Estates. The results for each indicator
from each of these operational areas have been aggregated to provide an assessment of overall conformance of the Company’s operations with each Criterion. A statement is provided for each of the Indicators to support the finding of the assessment team.

Two (2) Nonconformities were assigned against Minor Compliance Indicators. GPPOL has prepared a Corrective Action Plan (Appendix D) for addressing the identified nonconformities that was reviewed and accepted by BSI.

Four (4) Observations/Opportunities for Improvement were identified. Details of the Nonconformities and Observations are given in Section 3.2.

BSI’s assessment of GPPOL operations, comprising one palm oil mill, estates, Small holders, infrastructure and support services, concludes that GPPOL operations comply with the requirements of RSPO Principles & Criteria : 2007 and SI-NIWG Indicators and Guidance : 2010.

BSI recommends that GPPOL be approved as a producer of RSPO Certified Sustainable Palm Oil.

**Criterion 1.1: Oil palm growers and millers provide adequate information to other stakeholders on environmental, social and legal issues relevant to RSPO Criteria, in appropriate languages & forms to allow for effective participation in decision making.**

Records of requests and responses and being maintained. This includes a record of all requests for information which are received either written or verbal. GPPOL therefore ensures that any requests for information or assistance or grievances are recorded and makes records of informal requests and telephone enquiries. Requestors name, address and contact details and specifics of the request are recorded. There is a record kept of the action taken including timeliness or where requests are denied.

This process is described and is in the form of a request, grievance and complaints register. This includes a definition of the types and categories of requests for information and what cannot be considered genuine requests due to privacy and other issues.

There are also details on who can be considered as genuinely requesting information. There is in place a 4 step process with timelines to which requests for information must be actioned. Records of requests and responses are maintained. Responses are in English and if required GPPOL will provide interpretation.

All requests are to be recorded in the register. Within the process there is also an escalation process if the line manager cannot answer the request if it is outside of his/her authority. Time limits for extending timelines can only be approved by the General Manager.

Requests for information are recorded by the relevant department and if information cannot be made available the reason for this decision is also recorded and explained to the relevant stake holders.

Where document requests were denied the audit found these to be mainly of a confidential financial nature.

On the whole this criterion has been well implemented at this stage although very few requests for information have been received. There is evidence available that this area is compliant with only a number of items to be rectified to ensure total compliance. Records are in place of all requests for information and that information required from stakeholders is made available if possible.

During the audit, a meeting was held with an experienced lawyer, Mr Brian Brunton, who was in the Solomon Islands for two Commissions of Inquiry over land matters. He is Chairman of the Commission of Inquiry into Land and Dealings on Guadalcanal. He expressed the view that the forms used for Out growers were legal titles in the Solomon Islands and represented “Usufruct Rights” for the period of the title.

**Criterion 1.2: Management documents are publicly available, except where this is prevented by commercial confidentiality or where disclosure of information would result in negative environmental or social outcomes.**

This criterion has been implemented and evidence is in place to support this. A large number of relevant documents are available through the relevant Government authorities. A number of documents are not available due to commercial confidentiality or at the discretion of the GM.

Documents will be able to be viewed free of charge however a charge may be made for copies of documents. There is a register available of all documents to be made publicly available which has been approved by top management.

Most of required policies are published and are widely available in the Group Sustainability Handbook (www.nbpol.com.pg).

The list of documents that can be made available on request includes:

1. Land titles/Leases
2. Maps of lease areas
3. Annual Reports
4. Sustainability Reports
5. GPPOL Policies and Guidelines
6. Environmental Policies
7. Equal Employment Opportunity
8. Water Management Plans
9. Sexual Harassment Policy
10. Environment Plans & Environment Permits

---

3And the GPPOL Leaseback documents.
12. DEC compliance Monitoring Reports
13. Waste Management Plans
14. Production Reports
15. FFB Pricing Information
16. Financial report
17. Employee Training.

Documents pertaining to financial information can only be shared upon the discretion of the GPPOL General Manager.

Land Titles will be made available on request if appropriate. Land Titles are in the public domain and are readily available through Provincial Government offices.

Group Policies such as OHS, Environmental, HIV-Aids, Equal Employment Opportunities and Sexual Harassment Policies are all available. GPPOL has separate policies for the following: Health and Safety, HIV/AIDS, Sexual Harassment, Malaria and Domestic violence amongst others. These are also widely available in all operational areas.

The GPPOLOSHS Plan will be made available on request. All managers also have a copy of the OHS Plan. It is also made available on the company’s web site. During the assessment it was sighted in many areas including the mill and field offices and other areas such as workshops, stores and clinics.

The Documented system for access to customary land and negotiation procedures for settling disputes is available on request.

There is a Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP), available for all operations including the mill and estates as well as all other ancillary operations. This includes: Housing, Medical, Workers welfare, EMS, OHS, Social Issues, Health, and Communication with stakeholders, Free Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC).

Criterion 2.1 – There is compliance with all applicable local, national and ratified international laws and regulations.

Evidence that all applicable legal and regulatory requirements are implemented as prescribed - There is register of SI legal and regulatory requirements including codes of practices such as logging COP - copies of legal & other requirements are kept on site.

It appears that all applicable local, national and ratified international laws and regulations have been identified. This includes areas such as: land rights, labour laws, chemical use, environmental regulations, storage etc.

All legal documents are maintained by the external company lawyer.

There is evidence with regards to the compliance of laws and regulatory requirements. This is demonstrated through evidence such as Permits, Licences and Certificates which are obtained in a number of areas to show compliance to laws.

There is no evidence of chronic noncompliance.

There is now a mechanism for ensuring that SI laws are being implemented – there is a documented system in place for tracking any changes to the law.

There is in place a documented system which includes the process for ensuring that legal requirements are known and documented. This information is passed on to the relevant areas of operations to ensure that all involved are aware of any changes to laws or any new laws introduced.

The company has engaged the use of an independent solicitor to ensure that all legislation is updated on a regular basis for local and national laws.

Out growers are aware of the relevant customary, local and national laws.

Examples of methods of ensuring laws are being implemented include external re-conformation with such agencies as the Department of Conservation (DEC), Dept. of Labour, and Dept. of Health (DOH). This is further supported by internal physical inspections to ensure that certificates, permits etc. are current.

It appears that all applicable local, national and ratified international laws and regulations have been identified. This includes areas such as: land rights, labour laws, chemical use, environmental regulations, storage etc.

There is evidence that all Permits, Licences and Certificates have been obtained and are up-to-date.

Smallholders illustrated awareness of the relevant customary, local and national laws.

Criterion 2.2 – The right to use the land can be demonstrated and is not legitimately contested by local communities with demonstrable rights.

Documents indicate legal ownership or lease of land and External legal advice maintains all original leases and land titles with copies available in Head Office.

There are documents in place showing legal ownership or lease and a history of land tenure and the actual legal use of the land and include records of any transfers of deeds.

A number of leases were viewed and landowners are paid royalties as per the lease agreement which is ratified under the Department of Lands. Landowners were interviewed as part of this assessment and confirmed that payments were as the agreement with GPPOL.

Therefore these Documents indicate legal ownership or lease of land and all original leases and land titles are available in Head Office as well as any copies in operational areas.
There is evidence that legal boundaries can be clearly identified. Boundaries are marked on maps and with titles - most are marked with boundary pegs or bounded by roads as natural boundaries. A large number of pegs were sighted in all estates during this assessment. All boundaries have also been previously surveyed.

During the audit sightings of maps for all estates were made. Maps of boundaries identified the position of boundary pegs and this was confirmed during field inspections.

There are no operations outside the legal boundaries of the Estates as far as GPPOL is aware.

There is proof where disputes have been resolved or are being resolved – any ongoing disputes are monitored – there are none at present. GPPOL Use either legal means or negotiation with the party in dispute including village elders. Records of all resolutions are maintained in land title office under each parcel.

The requirements for acceptable conflict resolution processes in place and accepted by all parties however there are no significant land conflicts at the moment.

There is no significant land conflict at present - most issues of the few are between disputing landowners of which the company is aware and follows the outcome to resolution. The company is independent of internal land disputes however help to arbitrate to determine the rightful owner.

Dispute resolution mechanisms are in place and the question of how to deal with new plantings should be resolved according to legislation and the ongoing reconciliation process.

**Criterion 2.3 – Use of the land for oil palm does not diminish the legal rights of other users without their free, prior and informed consent.**

Maps are available in soft copy in appropriate scale which can be retrieved and reviewed by contacting Estate Manager. Many hard copy maps were destroyed when the previous office was burnt down in July 2009. These are now being re-issued.

Maps are also available which indicate the extent of recognised customary rights and there are copies available of negotiated agreements detailing process of consent. This indicates that these agreements are entered into voluntarily as minutes of any meetings are recorded. This information is recorded in English.

Current maps are available showing occupied state land and include tenure. There is no customary land within GPPOL boundaries. There are no operations on alienated land.

All Land Titles are in place.

Maps are available in appropriate scale showing extent of lease-lease back areas.

There are copies of negotiated agreements available detailing processes of consent - Copies of negotiated agreements are available in Land Title Officer Office and with company lawyer.

Sketch maps for Small Holders developments were absent at the pre-audit but have now been completed.

There are copies of negotiated agreements available detailing processes of consent - Copies of negotiated agreements are available in Land Title Officer Office and with a contracted private lawyer.

**Criterion 3.1: There is an implemented management plan that aims to achieve long-term economic and financial viability.**

All requirements of this indicator have been met.

The management of GPPOL can demonstrate commitment to long term economic and financial viability through long term planning.

Annual replanting programme is in place.

There is a five year business plan for GPPOL. It is available from the General Manager. There are business plans in place that take into account crop projection, mill extraction rates, costs of production, annual replanting programmes, forecasts and financial indicators. The auditor sighted crop projections for all estates and associated SG’s. All mill extraction rates are documented.

The cost of production is reviewed and compared against expenditure each year with projects in place for future years. This includes costs per tonne.

Forecasts are in place for the next 5 years on a rolling basis.

The Five Year plan is reviewed on, at least, a yearly basis with the CEO of the Group. The latest review and update was completed in April 2010.

**Criterion 4.1: Operating procedures are appropriately documented and consistently implemented and monitored.**

GPPOL defines its Standard Operating Procedures in what it terms Management Guidelines (MG’s).MGs are used as the framework for all operations. GPPOL refers to MG’s and the Recognised Industry Field Handbooks for guidance. Other publications are used for reference only.

These documents are available for Mill, Estates, Honiara Bulk Terminal, Transport, Construction departments, Clinics and all operational areas.
Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) are in place for each station in the process of palm oil production from weighbridge to storage.

The mill has in place work instructions for all mill activities. They are available in the mill and at the area of operations. MILL SOP’s have been compiled into a mill operational manual. Adequate document control in the form of issue date and approval is to be put in place. Many current SOP’s were sighted throughout operational areas.

There have been many improvements in the control of the mill with regards to isolating conveyers, working plants etc. The steps, walkways and guard rails are all in good condition now.

For the mill there is in place a mechanism for monitoring effectiveness of procedures. The shift supervisors check that all log books are completed for all SOP’s and operations when required. This is done by completing each required inspection and signing the log book, a copy of which is kept by mill management and also includes planned scheduled inspections. The operators at the mill had completed the required log sheets at each station on the required timetable from the areas sampled. These log sheets are collected and reviewed on a daily basis. The log sheets are used to identify breakdowns and cases of wear and tear where breakdowns may be imminent.

The SOP’s are further supported by routine regular scheduled preventive maintenance. This is planned and carried out under the Mechanical and Electrical Engineering divisions to ensure ongoing production capability is maintained and that operating machinery is safe. Any deviation from standard procedures is reported and followed up to ensure documented practices are being followed.

There are records maintained of inspections and audit. The record of actions taken place is also recorded and available. Inspection logs of Mill operations indicate monitoring of performances, any breakdowns, stoppages or major service are recorded in both the log book for each area and in the maintenance. The EMS/OHS system requires that records of monitoring are kept. E.g. drain and PCD’s as well as use of PPE etc. - any actions taken such as cleaning needs to be recorded. This also needs to include action taken for any OHS breaches.

Also with regards to Estates a monthly inspection is undertaken by the Estate Manager. Records of all inspections are maintained with copies with actions being given to the respective Divisional Managers. Areas of noncompliance are reported and followed up by the Estate Manager. These are supplemented by 3 monthly inspections by the NBPOL Group Field Managers. These inspections occur monthly and include each division in each estate. The inspections are scored to indicate areas for improvement and record if improvements have been made since the previous inspection. Further inspections are also completed by the Group Field Managers office at least quarterly. This is a more far reaching inspection to ensure product quality is maintained and correct practices are being followed.

There are also SOP’s in place for all mill workshops, Central Vehicle Workshop and Stores – these are all available and in place near the areas of operations.

The estates are similar to the mill in that scheduled field inspections are in place by a team of field inspectors.

National Codes of Practice are referenced within each SOP or Management Guidelines if applicable to that particular operation. There is Code of Practice (COP), for Oil Palm Processing. This document is referenced in the legal register, SOP’s and MG’s. There are also Codes of Practices for Hydrocarbon and the Central Vehicle Workshop (CWS) these are again referenced in the MG’s. Other COP’s which affect estates are referenced in documentation and include Logging and Landfill.

**Criterion 4.2: Practices maintain soil fertility at, or where possible improve soil fertility, to a level that ensures optimal and sustained yield.**

Fertiliser use is being recorded and monitored. Fertiliser inputs are recorded for each estate - including recommendation and application against recommendations.

Records indicate type of fertiliser used and block numbers of areas where it is applied.

There is evidence of periodic tissue analysis including 2007, 2008& 2009 – and GPPOL have now received the results of the tissue analysis completed for 2010.

Tissue analysis completed by external testing body and includes the location of estates and the monitoring unit – in this case Hill Laboratories in New Zealand.

Soil sampling and analysis takes place every 5 years. There is evidence of soil sampling available and this took place in 2005 and samples were taken in 2010 and GPPOL are awaiting the results of this analysis.

GPPOL has soil maps in place - includes difference types of soils.

There is a nutrient strategy in place - EFB, Frond Stacking, Palm residues are used at replant. Use of fibre and grit to reduce use of fossil fuels in furnace which assists in power generation.

There is also a strategy for returning EFB to the field.

The nutrient efficiency of the soil takes into account the age of Estates and local soil conditions.

Fronds are also used to prevent erosion following pruning and after harvesting of FFB.

Maps are available of where by-products are applied.
Most of the smallholder blocks visited showed knowledge of agronomic management. All block holders were recycling palm fronds by laying them between rows of palms to allow for composting, household vegetable waste was also used to improve fertility. They were all applying fertiliser appropriately. However more awareness and training for small holders on the benefits of maintaining soil fertility, e.g. by the use of fertilisers, mulches and cover crops was identified at the pre audit. GPPOL has commenced a Small holders training programme along these lines.

There has therefore been a great deal of improvement in the use of cover crops and fertilisers to maintain soil fertility. GPPOL has a programme of workshops to ensure that all smallholders are aware of the RSPO requirements; cost benefit analysis of fertiliser use should be incorporated into these programmes.

**Criterion 4.3: Practices minimise and control erosion and degradation of soils.**

There are no slopes over 25° - therefore no planting takes place on steep slopes.

There is no planting on slopes over 9°.

GPPOL also place palm fronds in position to reduce erosion in the form of boxing, direction of rainfall run off via construction of strategically placed drains.

As most areas are not prone to major erosion issues due to the general flatness of the land there is not much requirement for a risk assessment for each block. However a map is required to indicate any blocks which could be prone to erosion. Seasonal factors are considered such as wet and dry seasons however due to flat land there are no extreme conditions.

Techniques to prevent erosion as there are no steep planted areas include the following: Ensure adequate ground cover (still recovering from restoration work to bring the Estates up to standard), avoid over spraying of herbicides, road design and maintenance.

There is a plan in the form of scuppers and drains to help keep roads free as possible of erosion. There are no areas of high erosion risk in either GPPOL or with Small Holders.

After felling the old stands, retaining residue where soil erosion risk is significant it is GPPOL practice to use old stand as nutrients in all areas and cover crop is planted.

Riparian areas are maintained on riverbanks as well as buffer zones being in place. At this stage because of previous practices a number of buffer zones are not in line with local requirements and GPPOL will re-establish buffer zones at replanting near streams and rivers as specified in SI codes of practice.

There is a Management Guideline in place for Road Maintenance.

GPPOL keep records of areas graded in each estate.

There are Road Maintenance plans in place for each estate indicating priority of grading and which roads are to be included within each level of priority. Priority is 1, 2 or 3 with 1 being higher priority. The grader is allocated to each estate on a weekly basis. This includes management of rainfall run off. Scupper drains will be formed if required – the plan is now in place and being monitored.

There are no known fragile or problems soils at GPPOL.

**4.3 Observation: There is a plan in place to repair and restore up to 20 road bridges and this plan needs to be updated to show progress so far and timetable is maintained.**

There is no peat at GPPOL.

Smallholder plantings at GPPOL are under four years old and already producing. There are a few blocks which needed further drainage. GPPOL has programs to dig drains where required once the rainy season is over in 2010.

There has been considerable improvement since Pre-audit in the use of cover crops. All planted areas seen are flat and it is difficult to discuss soil erosion on flat land. However practices designed to minimise soil erosion, such as placing fronds between collecting rows and use of cover crops were clearly demonstrated.

**Criterion 4.4: Practices maintain the quality and availability of surface and groundwater.**

Water courses and wetlands are protected. GPPOL include maintaining and restoring appropriate riparian buffer zones along all bodies of water at replanting, - as per the SI logging code of practice.

A water management plan has now been documented and is being implemented at this stage. It includes action plans and dates for action to be completed. It has been amended to show where actions have been delayed for whatever reason.

**4.4 Observation: The water management plan requires updating to show 2010 actions.**

The water management plan takes into account the use of fibre and grit and off set against reduced use of non-renewable resources.

BOD levels of discharges are monitored – but do not yet have 12 months records due to the fact there is no discharge due to ponds being originally built for 60 tonne mill and at present is a 30 tonne mill.
GPPOL are monitoring mill water use per tonne of FFB – however only have records going back to July 2009 when a water meter was installed.

GPPOL will take action to ensure use of water does not have an adverse effect on downstream users by checking water quality in the lab including checking for e.Coli, Ph and Oil and Grease in all streams/rivers/water courses which pass through GPPOL property into downstream users.

GPPOL are now testing surface water in all areas where rivers and streams enter and leave GPPOL property and therefore are now testing all areas that could impact on other users. This should is included in the Water Management Plan.

Water contamination is avoided for both surface and ground water during normal conditions – during abnormal conditions (that is very heavy rain) it is not possible to avoid all contamination.

Hazardous Chemicals are prevented from entering water via good management practices including: Pre-mixing of pesticides in dedicated areas, use of secure storage, use of bunding – no mixing of chemicals etc. is carried out in proximity to water courses.

GPPOL have put in place a controlled centralised pesticide pit for disposal of all containers and other pesticide waste, are keeping records of containers destroyed and sent to pesticide pit, they also ensure correct dilution of fertiliser is used for field application, monitor ground water to ensure no contamination from septic. The Pesticide pit complies with the Environmental Act.

Control also includes correct storage of bulk chemicals and fertiliser, control of hydrocarbons to prevent contamination – provision of bunds, spill kits and drip trays – all not yet adequately controlled.

Mill Effluent is treated appropriately and appears effective. The records of monitoring of effluent are in place however testing is now following a controlled methodology to ensure results are consistent. That is on a regular scheduled basis which is recorded weekly.

When the lab is recording results they should include reference to allowable limits to determine quickly that they are within tolerance.

There is however no effluent discharge at the moment however needs to ascertain national regulations for allowable discharge - this is monitored - records are in place for last 4 years at least.

Extensive training takes place to ensure that workers are aware of the proper disposal of any chemical wastes including pesticides etc.

Growers interviewed show clear understanding of the requirements and the techniques required to maintain the quality and availability of surface and ground water, however more awareness on buffer zone requirements recommended particular for new growers.

Smallholders are aware of the need to maintain the quality and availability of surface waters, particularly for drinking purposes, with evidence shown of wells in Villages segregated by use, one for drinking and another for washing.

**Criterion 4.5: Pests, diseases, weeds and invasive introduced species are effectively managed using appropriate Integrated Pest Management (IPM) techniques.**

GPPOL are now monitoring pesticide toxicity units (a.i. x LD 50 / tonne of FFB). This is recorded back to January 2007. The trend analysis indicates use of Glyphosate in the early period indicated a tendency to increase and has recently started to fall. At the same time the use of paraquat and declined steadily to around 30% of amount used at the commencement of recording of usage.

An IPM Program is now documented for relevant pests that set out techniques, chemicals to be used, locations and timeframe for implementation.

Records also include the use of a rodentacide against an outbreak of rats. Use of chemical was recorded until the problem was controlled and use of rodentacide stopped.

A record of training for handlers of pesticides is in place and includes techniques such as PPE used and spraying control.

At times where possible non chemical methods are used to control pests in preference to chemicals. Examples include use of barn owls against rats.

The use of all chemicals is justified and a plan to reduce usage has been presented. This includes chemicals used, dosages, and frequency of use. GPPOL are recording where pesticides are being used, quantities and against what target pest. The plan includes insecticides, herbicides, fungicides and rodentacides. There is a register available of Agro chemical use including the chemicals used, justification for use and frequency of use.

There was an outbreak of bagworm in 2009 and a strategy was put in place to stop any further infestation. Charles Dewhurst from OPRA visited and suggested a strategy for controlling and eventually eliminating bagworm. This was successful and the bagworm outbreak was successfully controlled.

A policy of minimal use of pesticides (herbicides in particular) is in place. Growers are aware of PPE requirements for sprayers. Currently few insect pests in the oil palm at GPPOL (e.g. no Sexava infestation).

Also the policy of minimal use of pesticides (herbicides in particular) is encouraged by GPPOL. Cost benefit
explanation, requires more effort as the smallholders tend to use general workers, particularly in the large area and there is a shortage of workers.

**Criterion 4.6: Agrochemicals are used in a way that does not endanger health or the environment. There is no prophylactic use of pesticides, except in specific situations identified in national Best Practice guidelines. Where agrochemicals are used that are categorised as World Health Organisation Type 1A or 1B, or are listed by the Stockholm or Rotterdam Conventions, growers are actively seeking to identify alternatives and this is documented.**

There is now in place with regards to GPPOL documented justification for all Agrochemical use. There is a register which records product use, when required, amounts to be used and frequency of use. This is documented within the management guideline specific for pesticide usage which attempts to ensure that the most effective and least harmful chemicals are always first choice and there is avoidance prophylactic and indiscriminate spraying.

The SOP has documented what chemicals are used and where and in what situation. It stipulates the maximum dose possible for each application. There are also plans in place to gradually reduce the use of Agrochemicals. There are time frames in place in the IPM whereby chemical use is reduced. There are records of pesticide use and they include active ingredients used, area treated, amount applied per hectare and number of applications.

Paraquat is used in the nurseries and on immature areas. It is also used for selective spraying of volunteer oil palm seedlings and if continuous rain precludes use of alternatives. Use is being slowly reduced. As with all chemicals records are kept of any paraquat applications. No suitable alternative to paraquat has been identified by the RSPO at this time. It is GPPOL policy not to supply paraquat or any other pesticides to any smallholders. This policy is strictly monitored.

All chemicals have to have top management approval prior to use and only chemicals listed for use by SI Government are used.

Specific products are being used to target pest and diseases which have a minimal effect on non-target species. Pesticides are selected to minimise risks to health and environment. This is outlined in the Pesticide Usage Management Guidelines. However due to the fact that very few pesticide types are available pesticides are not routinely rotated to reduce the possibility of resistance.

Records of pesticides usage are very good and include as a minimum areas treated, amount of pesticide used per hectare and number of applications. Usage is compared with records of previous year and this information is used to monitor and plan reduction in use.

There is in place an ongoing IPM which is controlled via the management guideline with regards to the use of WHO Type 1A or 1B chemicals. GPPOL is able to demonstrate that Type 1A & 1B chemicals are not used by application records and purchasing records/stock control.

There is no aerial spraying of pesticides in oil palm Estates.

Records of training are kept in each estate for the following:
- Pesticide Mixers
- Pesticide Sprayers

The training data is also maintained to show the nature and content of the training covered.

PPE is specified in SOP’s for pesticide workers and generally it is being used by operators however at times not and then some sprayers are not complying with the requirements to wear gloves. At rare times gloves are not used however sprayers are directed to wear gloves as required. The sprayers use overalls which are exchanged daily and washed at the pesticide shed and not taken home.

Only trained persons are allowed to handle application of pesticide but records are not always available of all training however records are incomplete.

PPE for sprayer is identified in MG’s as is PPE for pesticide mixers. Provided but not always used - this continues to be monitored to ensure 100% compliance – however improvement has been noted.

Some chemicals such as methamidophos require more extensive protection and this is nominated in the MG’s for pesticide application. All sprayers and mixers sighted during the assessment were using the correct PPE which is supplied by GPPOL.

Material Data Safety Sheets (MSDS) are obtained for all chemicals used and are available at the areas of mixing. No concentrates are taken into the field as all spray solutions are pre-mixed in a designated area. MSDS follow all requirements of regulation 13 Safety Act.

Storage of chemicals is in especially locked areas with limited access. All areas where chemicals are stored appear to be adequately ventilated through cross flow ventilation. All chemicals containers are triple rinsed and pierced prior to being placed in the designated pesticide waste pit (landfill). Records of containers disposed are kept including numbers sent to landfill.

Methods of storage and disposal of chemicals (pesticides) are included in training provided.

GPPOL policy is not to use either pregnant or breast feeding mothers to work with pesticides. None were sighted.

Health checks are conducted for pesticide operators. This is carried out by a medical officer on a twice yearly basis and records of these checks are kept in the
relevant clinic. All pesticide operators/handlers had been screened in April 2010 and October 2010 and the company clinics maintain records of screening and schedule. Workers were randomly selected for interview in the field and no problems were reported.

GPPOL do not use organophosphates except for orthene.

There has been no request for CPO residue testing from the supply chain.

Chemicals are only applied by trained persons in accordance with the product label.

GPPOL has instituted a training program for smallholders but there is a requirement for increased staffing and budget support for training.

**Criterion 4.7:** An occupational health and safety plan is documented, effectively communicated and implemented.

There is an OHS Plan in place in all the following areas:
- Estates
- Mill
- Bulk Terminal
- Workshops
- Clinics
- Stores

GPPOL has in place a health and safety policy which has been implemented and is being monitored. The policy is widely available to all workers, visitors and contractors. The policy is publically displayed on notice boards and within documentation.

The management and control of OHS matters has improved considerably since the RSPO pre-certification audit. So much so that there were no NCR’s raised with regards to OHS.

Hazards and Risks have been identified for all operations and in all areas. This also includes the degree of risk as well as the controls in place. Procedures and plans are in place to address all operations. These have been distributed to all areas and to the respective managers and supervisors. There are regular documented inspections taking place which ensure that OHS Policy is being implemented. These are carried out at least 3 monthly and more often in higher risk areas.

**Minor NCR 4.7.2** A number of contractors working on the Okea housing project were not wearing and using appropriate PPE.

All work areas did have available a copy of the health and safety risks for their operations.

MSDS presented now appear to be more compliant with central stores now being responsible for obtaining, managing and distributing them.

GPPOL has provided the required PPE appropriate to the task after the hazard has been identified and the risk assessed. The level of proper use of PPE has increased for both workers and contractors in all areas including mill, workshops, estates etc. A very positive outcome was the absence of the use of incorrectly marked containers holding hazardous substances. In fact all areas of Safety Management have improved greatly since the pre-audit.

**4.7.3 Observation:** Although greatly improved there are still times when workers and contractors are not using PPE correctly – for example inadequate footwear, not using Hearing protection when required.

There is now evidence in place that all workers have been adequately trained in safe working practices as complete records are in place for all training including pesticide workers.

PPE is available for all workers in regards to pesticide applications and use of other hazardous areas and is being used correctly in most cases.

Workers involved in areas of high risk are being trained in work practices – this includes pesticide operations, fire fighting, construction safety and plant repair and service. Records of training are being maintained.

Signage largely supports the use of PPE addressing when and what type of PPE is to be used and under what circumstances. Sign management and placement has improved and is now better controlled.

Accident and Emergency procedures are in place for a number of items such as evacuation but areas such as vehicles accidents, falling accidents etc. not formalised and have not been simulated. Therefore GPPOL are required to show evidence of testing of emergency drills such as evacuations, spill control, vehicle accidents.

Records of accident/emergency drills appear to take place in all areas and the records are adequate and therefore effectiveness of any such drills is now apparent.

Fire Hose reels are now available and in place and the fire pump were tested and started immediately.

An overall company OHS Manager has been appointed for GPPOL who coordinates the implementation and management of the OHS policy. An OHS representative has been appointed in all the following operations areas:

- Mill
- Bulk Terminal
- Estates
- Motor Vehicle Workshop
- STORES

All areas have regular meetings(at least quarterly) to discuss OHS matters. Each area now has a standardised agenda and meetings are conducted after workplace
inspections. Some areas are also holding Tool Box talks to disperse current or topical information or to reinforce safety issues such as use of PPE and Safety Alerts etc. All these department meetings observations and issues feed into a combined meeting which covers all operations for GPPOL which is chaired by the company OHS Manager.

A first aid clinic, staffed by a qualified health worker is now provided by each Estate division (accessible by the mill). Workers trained in first aid with a first aid kit are now present in the mill.

There are first aid posts in each clinic and Tetere estate caters for the mill. Workers are trained and first aid kits provided. Records of worker First Aid Training are in place and displayed on the OHS Notice boards.

There are therefore records kept by Administration of First Aiders training including copies of certificates awarded and expiry dates.

GPPOL monitors a number of Safety performance indicators such as lost time injuries and regularly reviews all incidents. All accident records are kept and reviewed. The information is reviewed by Management during high level safety meetings to ensure objectives are being achieved and all incidents fully investigated. This was verified during the audit.

There are records in place for all incidents, injuries and also near misses. There is now consistency in the completion of the injury forms and this has been standardised throughout the company.

All departments provide details of LTA’s which are then centralised into a report for the whole company and are reported at the main GPPOL OHS Committee meetings and then to the Group and finally to the Board of Directors. Records indicate that levels of LTA’s is below acceptable maximum and rates and frequency are reducing.

There were no reports of serious injuries occurring on the smallholder blocks, common sense tends to prevail. GPPOL has action plans to ensure that safe practices are carried out.

Criterion 4.8: All staff, workers, Small holders and contractors are appropriately trained.

GPPOL has produced a Training Plan (dated 4 September 2010), which outlines the Company’s training policies and objectives, the types of training that may be undertaken, and process for assessing staff performance. It is more of a policy document than a plan.

GPPOL undertakes a range of training activities. In addition to workplace training, apprenticeships and the provision of some overseas training, work experience is provided to a number of university students for a month at a time.

The identification of training needs is the responsibility of each manager, with training records maintained by the Personnel Officer. It may be of benefit to use an experienced training officer to work with managers to help identify and document training needs and formulate training plans. This would help managers in their role and help ensure employees are provided with many of the basic skills required to perform their duties and enable promotion. The use of an experienced training officer would not replace the need for managers to identify training needs, but compliment and support the managers in this role.

It may also be beneficial to document the training achievements each year, to demonstrate continuous improvement in human resource capacity, both within and outside the Company.

The training program focuses on the provision of short courses, but does not include all training-related support provided by GPPOL (e.g. apprenticeships, educational support for the dependents of employees).

Specialised training courses are also planned as the need arises and this includes group or in house training. Some training is carried out on demand.

There are formal training records for all supervisory staff up to the level of Senior management. This includes recording of external course attended or skills attained – these records are maintained by the Administration department.

There are training records in place at each operational site recording skills and training and these were sighted at a number of operational areas during the audit.

Some of the records are in the form of training attendance registers recording field training as well as more formal skills courses such as plant operators, driver’s licenses, boiler operators and Red Cross First Aid training.

Training records are therefore kept for all employees.

Training of smallholders is being implemented by GPPOL and this will be completed over the next two years. This training is done through field days, awareness sessions and the company newsletter. The names of all Small holders who undertake training are recorded and a register is kept by GPPOL. Records of small holder training are available including topics, who delivered training, were this was held and who attended.

Small holder training from GPPOL, with regards to block management, is passed on to family members. GPPOL has adopted a continuous training programme for Small holders, in the absence of a Government extension service.

GPPOL is currently undertaking training of smallholders and encourages this training to be passed on to anyone working on their blocks.
**Criterion 5.1: Aspects of plantation and mill management, including replanting, that have environmental impacts are identified and plans to mitigate the negative impacts and promote the positive ones are made, implemented and monitored to demonstrate continuous improvement.**

An Environmental Aspects and impacts register has been developed and is reviewed and updated at least bi-annually the last update being in July 2010. The register is comprehensive and clearly identifies significant environmental aspects and also nominates any legal requirements or restriction classed within the aspects. This register covers all operations impacted upon by GPPOL. This register also needs to include occasional operations such as housing construction and any other projects. The register is due for a further review by 1st July 2011.

All environmental impact assessments have been carried when and where appropriate. All departments visited did have current Environmental Impacts and assessments available.

An environmental improvement plan has been developed and has now been rolled. The plan includes assessment of impacts including soil and water resources, air quality (see criterion 5.6), biodiversity and ecosystems, and people’s amenity (see criterion 6.1 for social impacts), both on and off-site.

Road base is extracted from a river in one estate and GPPOL have an agreement for extraction with the customary owners. A copy of this agreement and royalty payments was sighted.

There are improvement plans in place for all activities to reduce impacts of the GPPOL operations.

The Environmental Improvement plan has been updated recently to show progress since September 2009 and therefore current status of any improvements is now known.

During the audit it was noted that all small holder audited have changed practices from widespread use of fire as carried out traditionally, to minimal use of small fires for gardens and no fires used for clearing, prior to planting or replanting.

The first smallholder blocks were established in January 2007. The area has been subject to considerable environmental degradation for at least the past 100 years yet all blocks visited have incorporated relevant environmental criteria such as buffer zones in their establishment.

For existing smallholders, where establishment is taking place in highly disturbed environments, or where the blocks were established some time ago, the real problem will occur when replanting needs to take place and some buffer zones need to be re-established. There was good understanding of this need exhibited during the audit.

There will be a requirement for the awareness programmes outlined earlier in this report for the expansion of oil palm to the east of the current Estates.

**Criterion 5.2: The status of rare, threatened or endangered species and high conservation value habitats if any, that exist in the plantation or that could be affected by plantation or mill management, shall be identified and their conservation taken into account in management plans and operations.**

Ecologists have carried out an assessment of the presence of HCVs within and adjacent to the GPPOL plantations. The ecologists used the SI National Interpretation of the HCV Toolkit and prepared a report on their findings. All of the land within the Estates has previously been used for other agricultural purposes and had previously been extensively logged.

Identification of high conservation value habitats and protected areas, such as rare and threatened ecosystems that could be significantly affected by the grower or miller has taken place. A renowned ecologist has confirmed that there are no HCV within GPPOL operations or in the near vicinity.

The HCV assessment is broad overview of the state of the environment of the GPPOL existing plantations and smallholder blocks and an excellent baseline for improving these and for any future expansion of oil palm plantings. The report highlights some measures that are required to improve the current areas under planting, this being mainly associated with the drainage systems and rehabilitation of riparian buffer zones. The report recognises that both the current area of Estates and the potential future area for expansion are highly modified environments.

HCV assessor has mapped potential areas of High Conservation Value that will need to be more thoroughly assessed prior to entering into any agreements with landowners for expansion of oil palm plantings. There are extensive areas to the east of the current estate and smallholder Estates which will require HCVF assessment prior to GPPOL entering into any agreements with the customary owners. The report highlights the potential for establishing wildlife corridors from the coast to the hills through current and future native species plantings, along watercourses.

However, the final report states;

*In expansion areas, retain a minimum 100m buffer zone either side along any small creeks and waterways, and minimum 500m either side along main creeks and rivers;*

This recommendation cannot be justified for the following reasons:-
• The width of buffers recommended far exceeds the Solomon Islands Code of Logging Practice.
• Any attempt to establish buffer zones in excess of the logging code of practice is likely to be met with resistance from the landowners as it would result in a considerable reduction of area for potential for oil palm/economic development.
• The re-establishment of buffer zones along creeks within the existing smallholder Estates upon replanting was raised at the pre-audit meeting with the growers association and the consensus was that smallholders would not like to lose areas along creeks as is required under RSPO. This reluctance was not so severe during the full audit and is probably a result of the awareness efforts of the company since the pre-audit.

Other recommendations regarding HCV assessment:

There is general support and cooperation between the Guadalcanal provincial government and the National Government with GPPOL for expansion of a further approximately 6000 Ha of oil palm to the east of the current estates.

It is therefore imperative that an HCV assessment be carried out prior to entering into any agreements with potential partners for further oil palm new plantings. It should be noted that the term HCV has been broadly accepted rather than the term HCVF, particularly on existing oil palm plantings and where environments have been severely degraded by shifting or other agricultural development and industrial logging. It is recommended that GPPOL liaises with the national and provincial governments to ensure that the following steps are carried out.

At the initial stage of the FPIC process, An Environmental Awareness Programme was carried out by the relevant provincial and national departmental officers, jointly with or assisted by GPPOL covering aspects of the need to protect existing areas of high conservation value, including any remaining intact forests and the potential to enhance biodiversity values by planting native tree species in refugia. Aspects such as protection of water quality could be covered by the health department, and the Principles and criteria of RSPO should be covered.

GPPOL have established the conservation status (e.g. IUCN status), legal protection, and population status and habitat requirements of rare, threatened or endangered species that could be significantly affected by the grower or miller. There are no identified rare, threatened or endangered species in the GPPOL operational area and bordering on GPPOL operations.

GPPOL have a plan in place to rectify the area where channel was inundated by river resulting in change of river course during heavy rains. This plan appears to be successful as the channel as now been bypassed and the river is now taking its original course again.

Buffer zones have been established along all watercourses and signs erected. Although it does not appear that any illegal hunting or fishing is taking place however if any arise protection is to be in place. Inspection during this assessment confirmed there was no inappropriate hunting, fishing or collecting activities in the GPPOL areas noted. GPPOL is discouraging people to encroach into the buffers.

Therefore signs are now in place to discourage any illegal hunting of fishing in and around the estates.

Staff is now aware of the requirements with regards buffer zones and all observed were found to be within the required limits depending on the width of the waterway.

All HCVF and “Refugia” areas that have been identified and mapped. HCVF, Refugia and Buffer Zones have been clearly marked and native trees are being planted to expand and protect them. Many species of trees found in lowland rainforest were noted in the refugia and this indicates that the refugia are indeed remnant vegetation and valuable HCV areas. Local people are growing native species for GPPOL. GPPOL pay these people for these trees which they then intend to plant in buffer zones.

The HCV Assessment report contained many recommendations for basically continuing the good work that has been carried out by GPPOL since taking commencing to re-establish the original CDC estates.

As far as possible GPPOL is attempting to avoid damaged to habitats by putting in place correct buffer zones and declaring habitats such as reserves and wet lands to be free from any activities which may deteriorate the habitat.

Company employees are prohibited from the hunting and taking of fauna from the Estates and adjacent land. Sign boards have been posted adjacent to buffer zones and other conservation set aside advising of the protected area. Any legal requirements within any areas are applied and enforced by GPPOL management.

GPPOL have provided gardening areas for use by workers adjacent to their housing to discourage worker encroachment into buffer zones and protected areas.

All block holders had cleared most of their blocks well before the adoption of RSPO and prior to 2005. The smallholders demonstrated an awareness of the impact
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2 GPPOL has already began working with schools in the areas of their estates to establish forest tree nurseries which will eventually be used to enhance the existing Riverine buffer zones within the estates and areas identified in the Dekker report.
of development of oil palm on surrounding natural areas. This has been further emphasised through the planting approval process (controlled by GPPOL) where the requirements for independent smallholders have been explained as well as through repeated RSPO awareness sessions.

There was a general understanding of the conservation value of birds such as the Torresian Crow (Kotkot) which feeds on insect pests such as Sexava. There was also a common assertion that native animals that entered blocks were not killed.

GPPOL leaseback estates and small holders are located in areas of considerable previous habitat destruction through agricultural development, logging and market gardening. There is a need for GPPOL to continue to develop an environmental awareness program on the benefits of following RSPO principals and criteria with respect to high conservation value habitats, rare, threatened and endangered species.

**Criterion 5.3: Waste is reduced recycled, re-used and disposed of in an environmentally and socially responsible manner.**

The waste management plan has been prepared and includes pesticide contaminated waste. The waste management plan is up to date and in place for all operations. There are plans in place to recycle where possible. Including batteries, aluminium, waste oil, chemical containers, half drum used for spill kits and rubbish bins. Old tyres are used as landfill and buffer zone markers. Old buildings are used if possible as well as some of the building material. Buildings which were damaged at the time of the local disturbance in 1999 are slowly where possible being made use of again. This includes using the existing foundations for erecting new housing for workers.

Waste is recycled wherever possible. Examples of recycling strategies include identification of the types of wastes, prohibited wastes guidelines, re-use of waste containing nutrients, management of effluent ponds, increasing the efficiency mill extraction.

The company’s aspects register formally identifies all sources of pollution and waste and states its impacts and required mitigation measures. This register is updated at least annually or when new waste sources become apparent.

Waste control at present is now compliant with much household waste now being better controlled with all areas identified at the pre-audit being addressed and all compounds now appears to be free of rubbish and litter. This is therefore much improved. All dwellings have access to a waste bin and recycle bin in front of their houses.

GPPOL has in place treatment system for POME in the form of effluent ponds. Management of POME includes a SOP and this is being updated to include use of POME as a nutrient and for land application.

The following waste streams have been identified and are controlled through the Environmental Management system in operation at GPPOL.

- Mill Effluent – through effluent ponds and land application.
- EFB other by products – Recycled to the field
- Fibre by-product – Fuel for furnace.
- Oils and Hydrocarbons (including containers) to Hydrocarbon pit or recycled
- Hydrocarbon spills treated with sawdust then burnt in boiler.
- Used oil – recycled, burnt in boiler.
- Pesticides (including containers which are triple rinsed, punctured and to pesticide pit.
- Pesticide spills – cleaned with spill kits with used kits sent to pesticide pit.
- Office Waste – segregated, recycled where possible with rest to the landfill.
- Household waste – segregated, recycled where possible with the rest to the landfill.
- Human waste – Septic and soak a-ways.

Landfill sites are in place for all areas. All the landfill sites at each estate are well managed and sign posted. A caretaker has been appointed to look after each of the landfill areas.

The collection of household waste is well controlled. There are formal rubbish collections in all areas at least weekly. This is monitored regularly. The garbage collection system in each staff compound includes separate bins for green waste and other household garbage. The green waste is recycled and utilised for agriculture. The remaining household garbage is buried in land fill sites which are adequately fenced and managed. There is no evidence of windblown waste or bad odour in these areas.

There is no evidence of burning or putting green waste in landfills. All landfills are GPS and mapped and recorded are kept of start and finish dates. All landfill sites are well away from waterways and residential areas – over 1 kilometre in all cases. Landfill operators now fill from one end and compact as they go with regards to general waste.

Also there is a hydrocarbon pit - waste oil is collected.

A trap which was required with regards to vehicle wash bay at the MVW has now been constructed and appears to be effective.

There is an inspection of all areas in which is carried out monthly. Each compound now has a compound clerk who is in charge of all compounds to ensure they remain clean and tidy.
- The following areas waste control have improved since the pre-audit:
  
a) Control of waste within company compounds.
b) The control of waste in the mill.
c) The mill stormwater interceptor trap has been repaired.
d) A number of bunds are required to control bulk hydrocarbon tanks in estate and mills have now been provided.
e) Drips trays are being used to prevent spills.
f) Improvement management of land fill site by more efficient use of space.
g) Landfills do not include green waste.
h) Hydrocarbon spills/ Pesticide waste.

GPPOL ensures that the quantity of pesticide waste recycled or sent to land fill is recorded.

Medical waste records include disposal of sharps and contaminated medical waste, giving amounts destroyed and where transported from with dates. All clinics send their waste to the main clinic at Head Office where incineration occurs. The clinics also record the return of expired ointments and drugs. The medical waste is collected from each clinic and records of its destruction are kept – this includes needles, syringes and contaminated bandages.

Therefore the audit concluded that the waste management plan has been effectively implemented.

Small holders do not live on their blocks so domestic waste is minimal. In general there was very little evidence of burning of refuse. GPPOL emphatically discourages the use of fire on smallholder blocks and provides training in the safe disposal of waste materials and containers. Wildfires occasionally damage blocks and the use of green cover crop and firebreaks should be further encouraged.

**Criterion 5.4: Efficiency of energy use and use of renewable energy is maximised.**

GPPOL uses fibre to power the boiler which produces steam which drives the turbine which electrify - the use of renewable energy in this case would be almost 100% under normal operating conditions, GPPOL provides records of both monitoring of kilowatt hours per tonne of palm product and Kilogram of steam per tonne FFB.

A number of sheds including pesticide and fertilisers stores are relying on natural light (translucent roof panels) and therefore use of electricity for lighting is reduced.

GPPOL provides records of both monitoring of kilowatt hours per tonne of palm product and Kilogram of steam per tonne FFB.

GPPOL monitors the use of non-renewable energy (diesel) and this is monitored in the form of data which records use of diesel per tonne of FFB. GPPOL only started keeping records when mill was brought back into production approx. 2007.

The fuel used for all operations is measured and monitored with a view to reducing use of non-renewable energy.

GPPOL now include all fuel used by contractors, transport and other operations with regards to use of non-renewable energy sources.

**Criterion 5.5: Use of fire for waste disposal and for preparing land for replanting is avoided except in specific situation, as identified in the ASEAN guidelines or other regional best practice.**

Fire is not being used in any way at all by GPPOL land preparation for replanting.

There has not been any sanitary burning at GPPOL at this stage but GPPOL will record any areas of sanitary burning for example the most effective way of destroying rotten FFB. This only happens in extreme cases.

Burning of domestic waste is against company policy and has been mostly eradicated. It is very rare to sight evidence of the burning of domestic waste by workers and families of GPPOL.

The incineration of all medical wastes such as sharps, used bandages and gloves is permitted. Records are maintained of the amounts destroyed. This is carried out using a specially designed and constructed incinerator.

The smallholders are disposing of their waste in a responsible manner by mixing the organic waste with the pruned fronds and using a pit disposal method for other household waste. GPPOL has a strong “No Fire” Policy throughout its operations and those of Small holders. Therefore GPPOL continues to encourage minimal use of fires on smallholders’ blocks through its awareness program.

**Criterion 5.6: Plans to reduce pollution and emissions, including greenhouse gases are developed, implemented and monitored.**

Assessments have been carried out on all recognised polluting activities and include gas and smoke emissions, particulate and soot emissions, effluent control, treatment and discharge. Any significant pollutants and emissions have been identified. There is a plan in place to reduce pollution –this is included in the aspects/impacts register and in the Continual Improvement Plan.

Stack emissions are being measured by either the Ringlemann method or with readers that show emissions levels. The reader/meter is now functioning correctly.

Evidence of Ringlemann measurement/observation is now in place. GPPOL record smoke emissions with
meaningful data which accurately rates emission levels and does not give false readings which indicate pollution when this does not appear to be the case. Smoke level records indicate that level emitted are below allowable limits.

GPPOL are therefore keeping adequate records of mill emissions and effluent including critical data such as Smoke emissions, BOD levels, Total Suspended Solids and Oil & grease as required by the relevant environmental permits. This is now a much improved practice over what was seen during the previous pre audit. Records are now in place for over twelve months of these readings.

Significant pollutants and emissions have been identified within the Environmental Impacts register and plans are in place to reduce impacts via the Environmental Improvement Plan.

Plans indicate allowable waste levels and systems such as segregation and recycling have been introduced. The treatment methodology of POME is recorded in effluent pond management plans however GPPOL is not discharging POME at this stage.

All drains within the mill and other areas are monitored and interceptors are in place to prevent storm water pollution. Records are in place to recorded inspection, cleaning and effectiveness of these PCD’s. Recently a number of these traps have had their capacity increased and are now more effective.

**Criterion 6.1: Aspects of plantation and mill management, including replanting, that have social impacts are identified in a participatory way and plans to mitigate the negative impacts and promote the positive ones are made, implemented and monitored, to demonstrate continuous improvement.**

A Social Impact Assessment (SIA) was conducted in July/August 2009. This was used as the basis for preparing an initial Social Improvement Plan. There were a number of shortcomings with the Social Impact Assessment:

- Inadequate attention had been given to the potential social and economic impacts of oil palm development on outgrowers and local communities, as the study focused primarily on employees and their families (yet clearly, the landowners and surrounding communities are central to oil palm operations and should be central in any discussion of social impacts);
- The social impact assessment focused on the negative impacts associated with oil palm development and gave little attention to the benefits of development (it is therefore not a balanced account of the social impacts); and
- Inadequate attention had been given to the baseline social and economic conditions of impacted stakeholders, thus it would be difficult to measure improvements over time.

Furthermore, the initial SIA was not prepared in a participatory process. The P&C for the Solomon Islands is very clear on what a participatory process involves: “participation means that affected parties are able to express their views during the identification of impacts, reviewing findings and plans for mitigation, and monitoring the success of implemented plans”.

An initial Social Improvement Plan had been prepared, but was based on an SIA that had a number of major shortcomings, including a lack of consultation with both internal and external stakeholders. During the initial audit in May 2010, the initial Social Improvement Plan was identified as not conforming to the requirements of Indicator 6.1.3 due to the following:

- It focused on employees and their families and gave inadequate consideration to landowners, outgrowers and local communities;
- It was not prepared on a consultative basis with key stakeholders;
- Very few people, either within or outside GPPOL, were aware of the document or its purpose;
- There was no evidence that any consultation would occur during the monitoring of social impacts or updating the document; and
- The document did not identify who was responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation strategies, and the format as a whole was not user friendly.

Additional work was subsequently undertaken by GPPOL to establish a process in which social impacts resulting from the development of oil palm would more effectively be identified and managed. This included:

- The establishment of a consultative committee to directly involve a wide range of stakeholders in the identification and management of social impacts;
- Utilising the committee to identify and prioritise social impacts;
- Preparing a new Social Impact Improvement Plan – which lists the main social impacts and proposed mitigation strategies; and
- Initiating a process in which action plans will be prepared (describing in detail the mitigation strategies) and progress in implementing action plans will be monitored, and the Social
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Impact Improvement Plan updated and revised accordingly.

The GPPOL Community Liaison Committee (GCLC) has 14 members, including representatives from local communities, local government, NGOs, the Guadalcanal Plains Palm Oil Worker’s Association (GPPOWA) and GPPOL. The GCLC is chaired by a member of Oxfam and includes tribal chiefs and women and youth representatives. The committee has clear Terms of Reference (TOR) and to date has met on two occasions. The initial meeting was held on 20 September and focused on explaining the TOR and role and responsibility of the committee. The second meeting was held on 3 November and focused on the identification and prioritisation of social impacts. It is important to note that the members of the GCLC are providing their time on a voluntary basis, demonstrating a commitment to impacted stakeholders and local development.

A new Social Impact Improvement Plan was prepared following the second GCLC meeting. The new Social Impact Improvement Plan focuses on the following priority areas:

1. Substance abuse (alcohol and drugs);
2. GPPOL housing;
3. Community policing;
4. Education;
5. Environment; and
6. Infrastructure.

The Social Impact Improvement Plan contains possible mitigation strategies, the identification of responsible parties, and timeframes for implementation, for specific issues within each of the above priority areas.

GPPOL now has a process for identifying and managing social impacts in consultation with both internal and external stakeholders. The internal stakeholders include employees and their families, while external stakeholders include outgrowers, those people leasing land to GPPOL, other people from local communities, the provincial government and other local organisations. However, to demonstrate continuous improvement, as required by Criterion 6.1, GPPOL will need to maintain their recent momentum in managing social impacts. In particular, GPPOL will need to:

- Develop and implement action plans for specific mitigation strategies;
- Monitor implementation of the action plans; and
- Raise awareness of the GCLC, the Social Impact Management Plan, and in time, achievements in managing social impacts.

GPPOL may need to review the resources required to support the GCLC, raise awareness and implement strategies in the Social Impact Management Plan.

It is important to note that some issues have been identified by the GCLC, and included in the Social Impact Management Plan, which are not the responsibility of GPPOL. Some mitigation strategies may include partnerships between GPPOL and other organisations, while other mitigation strategies may involve lobbying government agencies to improve services or infrastructure in the local area. There can therefore be no guarantee of success in addressing all social issues. However, success will be more likely if stakeholders work together on a cooperative basis to identify issues and prepare strategies for their management.

**Criterion 6.2: There are open and transparent methods for communication and consultation between growers and/or millers, local communities and other affected or interested parties.**

GPPOL has identified its stakeholders and has a number of strategies and processes for communicating with various parties (e.g. the landowner association and the worker’s union). However, it appears information is not always trickling down to landowners or employees or the families of employees.

The formation of the GCLC has helped to ensure a greater flow of information both to and from GPPOL, and if the Social Impact Improvement Plan is updated on a regular basis, as proposed by GPPOL, it should remain relevant and up to date, and provide a more systematic approach to the Company’s communication strategy.

It will, however, be important that information discussed by the Committee does not stay with the Committee, but is disseminated more broadly within outgrowers, employees, local communities, local government and other stakeholders. GPPOL needs to ensure this occurs for the GCLC, and as much as possible, for both the landowner association and workers union.

GPPOL appears to have an effective process for communicating with its staff, but the policy document describing its communication strategies with its stakeholders is relatively weak and could be improved.

A documented procedure is in place and records of communication and consultation with communities is maintained. However, decisions that the growers or mills are planning to make should be made clear, so that local communities and other interested parties understand the purpose of the communication and/or consultation.

There is excellent feedback from local organisations and communities. An excellent example was provided in November 2010, when representatives from the Tina River Hydro Project met with GPPOL because external agencies had praised the approach adopted by GPPOL in managing landowner relationships and coordinating landowner benefits.

Communication and consultation mechanisms have been designed in collaboration with local communities and other affected or interested parties. These consider the use of existing local mechanisms and languages.

Consideration is given with regards to the use of third parties including community groups, NGO’s and
Government agencies. Records of all communications and actions taken in response to input from stakeholders need to be recorded.

The nominated official designated to manage communication and consultation is the GM GPPOL.

A list of stakeholders has been maintained and is kept by the GM GPPOL.

**Criterion 6.3: There is a mutually agreed and documented system for dealing with complaints and grievances, which is implemented and accepted by all parties.**

A formal grievance process has been established and appears to be operating effectively. It may be worth noting that the processes described under 6.1 and 6.2 aims to pre-empt social problems as much as possible, whereas 6.3 is a mechanism to address problems that have occurred. There is a documented system aimed to resolve disputes. A grievance book is kept in all estate and mill office. Items are dealt with on a local level unless cannot be resolved and then referred to GM for further consideration. These have already been used in some areas.

The system is available to all parties.

When grievances/enquiries are made or received by GPPOL they now include date received, outcome, date resolved for all instances to determine that any issues are resolved within the set time frame.

Any grievances which cannot be resolved in the local office are escalated to an appropriate level until the grievance can be resolved.

A separate grievance mechanism is to be set up for smallholders, with resolution made clear, currently the grievance book at each estate contains smallholder requests and all other issues raised.

**6.3 Observation: More clarity required in grievance mechanisms, the smallholder affairs section of GPPOL has limited staff and requires expansion. James is a one man band and although well respected by smallholders is the subject of all their complaints about the company, e.g. slowness of delivery of tools, nets.**

**Criterion 6.4: Any negotiations concerning compensation for loss of legal or customary rights are dealt with through a documented system that enables indigenous peoples, local communities and other stakeholders to express their views through their own representative institutions.**

Landowner representatives negotiated with GPPOL for the re-commencement of oil palm production. The resulting agreement includes lease payments and royalties and other benefits and is outlined in a Memorandum of Understanding. While the landowner representatives are fully aware of the MOU, there is some evidence that some community members are not aware of the contents of the MOU, for example, how the royalties are managed (particularly the 50% that is invested) and the Community Development Fund (which is a grant paid to the Guadalcanal Provincial Government and distributed among each ward). It may be beneficial to conduct further awareness on the MOU to help reduce the risk of discontent among landowners and other local communities who may not be fully aware of the benefits resulting from oil palm production.

It may also be beneficial to conduct either formal or informal research on the distribution and use of royalty payments which are paid to clan groups. There is anecdotal evidence that some clans distribute the funds equally among members, and some which do not. Comments were also made during the audit that some people use the funds effectively and others do not. Although the use of the funds is largely up to the clans or individuals concerned, the misuse of funds, either at a clan or family level, could lead to discontent with oil palm production, and cause GPPOL problems in the future. Some research on the matter may therefore be beneficial, and if it is deemed a significant problem, strategies to reduce the problem should be developed and implemented (ideally, as part of the Social Improvement Plan).

The smallholder affairs office of GPPOL requires additional staff particularly before embarking on expansion of plantings to the east of the existing estate.

**Criterion 6.5: Pay and conditions for employees and for employees of contractors always meet at least legal or industry minimum standards and are sufficient to provide decent living wages.**

While pay rates exceed the minimum wage (currently by 12.5%), and GPPOL provides a range of other benefits including housing, electricity, water, medical assistance, holiday loading, leave fares and superannuation (all of which are in addition to minimum wage rate of SI $3.60/hour), there is a need to clarify whether wage payments allow a decent standard of living for employees.

All employees receive a written offer and work agreement which varies depending upon their level. Employees receive a forth nightly pay slip.

Contractors complete a declaration that they have agreed to meet legal terms as required. Evidence is in place in the form of the completed declaration which identifies areas of compliance required by the contractor.

Housing needs attention. GPPOL has had to construct housing for all staff in the last 5 years, and at present, around 80% of its 2,070 employees are accommodated in the housing compounds. Many of the QLQ houses, which accommodate the general workers, are significantly over-crowded. Each family should have a
two-room house, along with a shower, toilet and shared kitchen and washing facilities. However, many families are living in a single room due to the shortage of available housing, and are therefore forced to share shower, toilet and other facilities.

The QLQ houses are also poorly ventilated and can be very hot.

Over-crowding is both a welfare and health issue. Over-crowding contributes to the spread of the flu, which is one of the two main health problems within the compounds. The other is malaria, which is difficult to control when the families live outside because the rooms are poorly ventilated, hot and difficult to sleep in. Improvements are being made to the design of the houses and in compound conditions (e.g. improving drainage, beautification, constructing meeting places and sports facilities) but these plans could be more effectively communicated to the compound residents.

Security is also an issue in the compounds, and while substantial improvements have been noted over the past five years, there is a need to develop a strategy to further improve security within the compounds and control unlawful activities. Reducing the extent of overcrowding should help reduce law & order problems in the compounds.

The Social Impact Improvement Plan identifies overcrowding as one of the priority issues to be addressed. GPPOL is currently building 20 new houses, which shall provide accommodation for 80 families. This will help address the shortage of housing. Some headcounts have been undertaken recently. Preliminary results for Tetere demonstrate the extent of overcrowding (in particular the number of families that do not have two rooms). Results from other compounds need to be analysed to confirm additional housing requirements.

The new houses are slightly larger than the earlier houses, and have some other improvements, such as the raised verandas. However, the houses will still be hot. The provision of power in the QLQ houses (both existing and new houses), also needs consideration. The provision of power would enable electric fans, which would help cool the houses.

Power would also enable a range of other electrical items, including mobile phone chargers. However, some electrical items, such as TVs, could cause excessive noise, and therefore needs careful consideration, and if introduced, careful management. Providing electricity may, however, help reduce staff turnover, reduce alcohol abuse (as there are few alternative sources of entertainment in the compounds) and encourage savings (to buy electrical goods). Although GPPOL needs to weigh up the advantages and disadvantages, the provision of electricity could be considered as a basic need in years to come, if not in 2010.

6.5.6 Minor NCR: A timeframe for the provision of housing for general workers (so that each family has two rooms and separate toilet, shower and washing facilities) should be made known for those families currently sharing facilities. All smallholders expressed that labour costs were a big issue during harvesting and transporting FFB to pick points. All growers purported to pay at least the minimum wage with many paying well above, as a result of piece work arrangements.

Criterion 6.6: The employer respects the right of all personnel to form and join trade unions of their choice and to bargain collectively. Where the right to freedom of association and collective bargaining are restricted under law, the employer facilitates parallel means of independent and free association and bargaining for all such personnel.

GPPOL respects freedom of association for employees and they are allowed to join or form trade unions. This right is covered in SI law under the Labour Act.

The majority of employees are members of the Guadalcanal Plains Palm Oil Workers Association (GPPOWA). This union was established in May 2007 and has had regular meetings with senior management and their members. The union runs a trade store which allows members to buy goods on credit and have the cost deducted from future wage payments. The union also operates a credit union to provide members loans for school fees and other needs.

The union has been lobbying for higher wage rates and improved housing, and is concerned at issues such as low school attendance and the need for sporting and other entertainment facilities in the compounds as a means to reduce drinking and gambling. The union also indicated that it may be more effective, and more independent, if it had its own building from which to operate. This is an idea that the GPPOL management may wish to consider in the future.

There is currently a second union that is seeking to gain recognition at GPPOL. Its role at GPPOL was being assessed in the courts during the audit. Until this is resolved there will be uncertainty over the role of GPPOWA, and doubt over the representation of the workers.

GPPOL fulfills all the statutory requirements.

Minutes are recorded for all meetings and follow-up action recorded, when required.

Criterion 6.7: Children are not employed or exploited. Work by children is acceptable on family farms, under adult supervision and when not interfering with education programmes. Children are not exposed to hazardous working conditions.

GPPOL do not knowingly employ worker under the national legal age limit.

The PF 30 - company employment for records their ages of all workers and any under legal age are rejected.

No underage workers were sighted during this assessment.
All Small holders were very clear that school-aged children belonging to the blocks family were always sent to school and carried out light work only during school holidays and weekends. Out growers in the older blocks do not live on their blocks and do not use children for working on the blocks except for school holidays.

All small holders expressed the view that children’s education was a paramount objective of owning the blocks.

Growers therefore showed a clear understanding of these requirements and only use school aged children during the longer school holidays, and not weekends.

Criterion 6.8: Any form of discrimination based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political affiliation or age is prohibited.

The Equal Opportunities Policy is published. Interview of male and female workers did not identify any cases or forms of discrimination. The Policy is available to the public and includes SI constitutional requirements.

The EEO policy is now displayed in all work areas and on notice boards.

Criterion 6.9: A Policy to prevent sexual harassment and all other forms of violence against women and to protect their reproductive rights is developed and applied.

GPPOL has policies against sexual harassment and domestic violence. Domestic violence is a problem in the Solomon Islands and is a problem among the GPPOL workforce. Several employees have reportedly been dismissed in the past as a result of domestic violence. Domestic violence is likely to occur for a range of reasons, but be accentuated in a compound environment due to factors such as the wide mix of people from all over the Solomon Islands; the overcrowding; cash wage payments and drinking; and difficulties sleeping due to noise and poor ventilation in the houses.

A women’s group was established in late 2009 to assess social problems and help women with domestic violence problems. GPPOL management meets with the women’s group when requested and has provided support including the training of several women in Fiji to help them deal with domestic violence. The preferred approach to domestic violence is mediation rather than dismissal, as dismissal will disadvantage both the male and female in terms of income and is likely to result in more domestic violence. However, the women’s group is staffed on a voluntary basis and has limited time to provide the necessary assistance to the victims or to provide mediation to the couples concerned. They do not have a location in which to provide counselling or assistance, and the members of the women’s group are located at Tetere and therefore focus primarily on the Tetere compound.

If domestic violence is a serious problem, as suspected, GPPOL could provide additional support such as the provision of a building in which to provide counselling and/or assistance, and the appointment of an appropriately trained welfare officer, employed by GPPOL, to play the lead role in counselling and provision of assistance to victims. Any such assistance should be included in the Social Improvement Plan.

Criterion 6.10: Growers and mills deal fairly and transparently with Small holders and other local businesses.

The industry has established a formula for calculating the price that small holders in the Solomon Islands receive for FFB. The formula is based on the formula used in PNG (although obviously not deducting fees and levies for industry bodies that exist in PNG). While the formula and calculations appear fair and are publically displayed on notice boards, the formula is difficult to understand. Several smallholders queried the FFB price during the audit.

More recently, NBPO has prepared a poster to help explain how the FFB price is calculated for small holders in West New Britain, PNG, and why price variations occur. The poster should be finalised and adapted as necessary for use in the Solomon Islands.

GPPOL supports a range of local businesses, in particular, construction and transport contractors. This is generating employment and promoting a more diversified local economy. Dealings with contractors appear to be fair and transparent.

GPPOL is to be commended for this. Some other businessmen queried the support provided to them, however, citing inadequate housing and less than adequate work spaces. While the housing shortage is understandable at present, other requests or grievances need to be dealt with promptly, as discussed under Criterion 6.2 and 6.3.

Interview of contractors confirmed the Terms and Conditions of Contracts are explained to contractors before the Agreement is signed as well as during the induction process. Records are held of contractor inductions and include environment, health and safety performance requirements. The company uses a standard Contract prepared by its Legal Department.

Criterion 6.11: Growers and millers contribute to local sustainable development wherever appropriate.

GPPOL has and continues to make many development contributions to the local area. Some contributions stem from the original negotiations with landowners and government. Contributions to the provincial government are subsequently distributed among 21 local wards, but limited information is available on how the funds are utilised. It may be possible, in the future, to allocate
the contributions made to landowners and/or the provincial government, according to priorities specified in the Social Impact Improvement Plan.

GPPOL also provides assistance in response to requests as they occur. It may be beneficial, to ensure support is allocated to priority activities, if requests for assistance are considered against the priorities included in the Social Impact Improvement Plan. This would then serve to demonstrate the Company’s contributions to local sustainable development and continuous improvement.

**Criterion 7.1 A comprehensive and participatory social and environmental impact assessment is undertaken prior to establishing new plantings or operations, or expanding existing ones, and the results incorporated into planning, management and operations.**

Although outside the scope of the current audit, GPPOL plans to establish new plantings in the future and will need to give significantly more attention to the conduct of any future social impact assessment. While RSPO does not provide a clear definition of social impact assessment, the international literature is well founded and very clear on what constitutes a social impact assessment. It is therefore reasonable to assume that a social impact assessment should follow standard international practice, and should therefore:

- Describe and quantify the change that is being assessed (e.g. the location and area of oil palm, the location of housing compounds, roads, other facilities, increases in production and employees, the area of land to be leased from local communities, the area of village oil palm, etc.);
- Define and describe the impacted population and stakeholders;
- Estimate the current population and expected change in population resulting from higher employment and associated in-migration;
- Describe the current standard of living of the impacted population;
- Outline the positive impacts and quantify when possible;
- Outline the negative impacts and quantify when possible;
- Develop recommendations to enhance positive impacts and minimise negative impacts, and suggest indicators with baseline data against which impacts can be monitored over time; and
- Actively involve stakeholders during the identification of impacts, the review of key findings and the preparation of plans for mitigation and monitoring.

To ensure that all key social impacts are identified and understood, including those that contributed to the tensions, which and to some extent remain today, it is recommended that local consultants are utilised during the social impact assessment, either as the lead consultants (which would be preferable), or failing the identification of suitable expertise, for the design and conduct of field work and facilitation of stakeholder inputs and subsequent discussion.

GPPOL also therefore needs to be acutely aware of the outcomes of the Commission of Inquiry into Land and Dealings on Guadalcanal and abandoned properties, which is ongoing.

**Criterion 7.2 Soil surveys and topographic information are used for site planning in the establishment of new plantings, and the results are incorporated into plans and operations.**

Detail soil surveys and soil analysis will be carried out for all new land intended for development with the view of improving management of these soils. Soils survey reports for areas already developed are available.

**Criterion 7.3 New plantings since November 2005 have not replaced primary forest or any area containing one or more high Conservation Values.**

New Plantings have not replaced primary forest or any areas of HCV. Management plans for identified HCV sites have been established and work in some areas have started especially in re-habilitating the HCVs and natural corridors (along creeks/streams).

The Rapid Conservation Assessment Report by Dekker has established a baseline upon which local consultants will be able to carry out a more detailed assessment for new areas, particularly to the east of the current estates.

**Criterion 7.4 Extensive plantings on steep terrain, and/or on marginal and fragile soils, are avoided.**

Oil palm has not been planted on marginal or fragile soils or on steep terrain. Some areas contain gullies and these will be considered for planting of trees to improve biodiversity.

**Criterion 7.5 No new plantings are established on local peoples’ land without their free, prior and informed consent, dealt with through a documented system that enables indigenous peoples, local communities and other stakeholders to express their own representative institutions.**

FPIC is not specifically defined in the RSPO Principles and Criteria. As there is considerable scope for different interpretations of FPIC, and particularly what “informed” consent means in the Solomon Islands, it is recommended that GPPOL or NBPOL prepares its own
Some of the social problems or tensions in West New Britain (PNG) have occurred because people could not possibly foresee the enormous changes that have occurred as a result of oil palm development. Substantial in-migration and population growth have resulted in land shortages in some areas and tensions between migrants and local people. Tensions have occurred as a result of a range of issues, including illegal access to customary land and illegal harvesting of customary resources, jobs going to migrants, and changing social habits resulting from increased incomes (including problems relating to drinking and gambling). In addition, some of the perceived benefits of lease-lease back arrangements have not materialised, in some cases due to poor management of the funds paid to the customary landowners.

It is recommended that relevant GPPOL staff is made aware of the social problems experienced in West New Britain and lessons learned by NBPOL. This may help GPPOL develop strategies to maximise the benefits which landowners and local communities receive and avoid or circumvent the same social problems experienced in West New Britain.

**Criterion 7.6: Local people are compensated for any agreed land acquisitions and relinquishment of rights, subject to their free, prior and informed consent and negotiated agreements.**

GPPOL will ensure that local people are compensated for any land acquisitions and are fully informed – see above 7.1.

**Criterion 7.7: Use of fire in the preparation of new plantings is avoided other than in specific situations as identified in the ASEAN guidelines or other regional best practices.**

Fire is not used by the company in new developments. Fire is used by the community in existing areas outside of the Estates as part of their culture for hunting and subsistence farming. The company will need to exert influence on external stakeholders if this is to be controlled.

**Criterion 8.1: Growers and millers regularly monitor and review their activities and develop and implement action plans that allow demonstrable continuous improvement in key operations.**

The company has prepared a Continuous Improvement Plan.

Objectives and Targets have been developed and an improvement plan prepared. The focus of continual improvement includes:

- Reduction in the use of certain pesticides (criterion 4.6).
- Environmental impacts (criterion 5.1).
- Waste reduction
- Pollution and emissions (criterion 5.6).
- Social impacts (6.1).

As discussed under Criterion 6.1, the Social Impact Improvement Plan should be the basis for assessing continuous improvement in regard to social impacts.

As discussed under Criterion 4.8, training achievements should also be documented each year to demonstrate continuous improvement in human resource capacity, both within and outside the Company.

A Continuous Improvement Plan is attached as Appendix C.

Indicator 8.1.2 calls for a timely response to all RSPO audit findings. In addition to responding to the main issues discussed above, a number of issues were raised during the audit that may require a response or some follow-up by GPPOL management. This includes queries or complaints raised during stakeholder meetings. While not the responsibility of the audit team, what is considered to be the main queries or complaints are documented below so that GPPOL management can respond as appropriate (refer Section 3.4). It is acknowledged that many of the queries or complaints raised with the audit team will have also been raised with GPPOL management through compound committees, the GPPOWA, the Tetere women’s group, the GCLC and other mechanisms.

A Continuous Improvement Plan is attached. Appendix C.

### Detailed Identified Nonconformities, Corrective Actions and Auditor Conclusions (Also included as Appendix D)

#### MAJOR NONCONFORMITIES

There were no major non conformities raised as a result of this assessment.

#### MINOR NONCONFORMITIES

Two (2) Nonconformities were assigned against Minor Compliance Indicators. Details of these Nonconformities are provided below:
Minor NCR 4.7.2 A number of contractors working on the Okea housing project were not wearing and using appropriate PPE.

6.5.6 Minor NCR: A timeframe for the provision of housing for general workers (so that each family has two rooms and separate toilet, shower and washing facilities) should be made known for those families currently sharing facilities.

GPPOL has prepared a Corrective Action Plan (Appendix D) that has been reviewed and accepted by BSI. Progress on Corrective Action will be checked during the Surveillance Audit.

OBSERVATIONS / OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT

The assessment identified Four (4) Observations/Opportunities for Improvement. The progress with the Observations/Opportunities for Improvement will be checked during the next Surveillance Assessment visit scheduled for twelve months after certification date.

1. 4.3.5 Observation: There is a plan in place to repair and restore up to 20 road bridges and this plan needs to be updated to show progress so far and timetable is maintained.

2. 4.4.1 Observation: The water management plan requires updating to show 2010 actions.

3. 4.7.3 Observation: Although greatly improved there are still times when workers and contractors are not using PPE correctly – for example inadequate footwear, not using Hearing protection when required. Contents of two drums in Construction office not identified

4. 6.3 Observation: More clarity required in grievance mechanisms, the smallholder affairs section of GPPOL has limited staff and requires expansion. James is a one man band and although well respected by smallholders is the subject of all their complaints about the company, e.g. slowness of delivery of tools, nets.

3.3 Noteworthy Positive Components

- Generally a good atmosphere, beginning with people expressing that they had a good “family” relationship with GPPOL.
- Recent correspondence sighted from Tina River Hydro Project stating “we wish to discuss the ways in which you have managed landholder relationships and coordinated benefits sharing schemes. The approach adopted by GPPOL has been praised by external agencies”.
- Whilst there are a number of outstanding social issues GPPOL has recognised them and is working their way through to complete them, as evidenced by the recent establishment of the Guadalcanal Community Liaison.
- Royal Solomon Island Police Force has praised GPPOL with regards to ongoing assistance in Community Policing Awareness Program by making compounds available for meetings.

3.4 Issues Raised By Stakeholders and Findings with Respect To Each Issue

Women’s group:

- Suggest appointing a permanent, qualified welfare officer to help victims of domestic violence and provide counselling
- GPPOL response: suitable candidates will be assessed in January 2011 and appointed to the role
- Auditors comments – this is proactive and helping to respond to the communities needs

Out growers:

- Need to address double or triple handling of FFB.
- GPPOL response: Roads cover 50% of the affected areas and as more roads and access are completed this issue will be resolved.
- Auditor’s comments: This will resolve the issue as infrastructure improves.

GPRDA:

- Assistance needed in terms of financial literacy training for people to help improve the effectiveness in which cash payments are utilised.
- GPPOL response: Some business skills training will be conducted over the upcoming year for affected people.
• Auditors Comments: This demonstrates long term commitment to the community.

• Raised the tax exempt status of the Association (due to its ownership in GPPOL) in regard to the import of tractors.

• GPPOL response: The association will be mentored in how to apply for this status.

• Auditors Comments: Shows cooperation with the association.

3.5 Acknowledgement of Internal Responsibility and Formal Sign-off of Assessment Findings

Please sign below to acknowledge receipt of the assessment visit described in this report and confirm the acceptance of the assessment report contents including assessment findings.

Signed for on behalf of GPPOL

Roger Benzie
General Manager
Date: 7.12.10

Signed for on behalf of BSI Group Singapore Pte Ltd

Mr Allan Thomas
Lead Auditor
Date: 7.12.10
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RSPO Certificate Details
GUADALCANAL PLAINS PALM OIL LTD
PO Box 2001,
HONIARASOLOMON ISLANDS

Contact Person: Mr. Roger Benzie
General Manager
Phone/Fax:  677 21003

RSPO No. 555359

Website:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Tetera Mill &amp; Supply Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Guadalcanal Plains Palm Oil Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>P.O. Box 2001, Honiara, Solomon Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>09° 26.588’ S  160° 13.107’ E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPO Tonnage</td>
<td>28,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKO Tonnage</td>
<td>7,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantations FFB Tonnage</td>
<td>107,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small holders FFB Tonnage</td>
<td>12,119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Certification Audit Programme
Audit Plan Thursday 2\textsuperscript{nd} December –Tuesday 7\textsuperscript{th} December 2010
(Note: Subject to revision at Lead Auditor’s discretion)

\textbf{Thursday 2\textsuperscript{nd} December 2010}
- Allan, Tom & Mike arrive at 1:30pm – meet and pick up at airport
- Travel direct to Tetere, arrive about 2:30pm and set up in Tetere Estate office, meet with Roger
- 3:30pm – 5:30pm - Opening meeting and discussion with senior staff (Tetere Estate Office)- commence documentation review

\textbf{Friday 3\textsuperscript{rd} December 2010}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Time & Activity & Allan & Tom & Mike \\
\hline
8:00am – 10:30noon & Inspect Tetere mill, laboratory and effluent ponds (Dom) & X & & \\
8:00am – 9:00am & Inspect Tetere Compound, Landfill (Stephen) & & X & \\
8:00am – 10:30noon & Inspect Housing Tetere, Clinic & & & X \\
10:30am – 12:00noon & Review SEIA & & X & \\
10:30am – 11:15am & Inspect Vehicle Workshop (Lawrence) & X & & \\
11:15am – 12:00noon & Inspect Central Store & Chemical store (Simon) & X & \\
9:00am – 12:00noon & Review Lewie Decker (Environmental) and Wild Asia (Social) Reports & & X & \\
12:00noon – 1:00pm & Lunch – Tetere Estate office & X & X & \\
1:00pm – 2:45pm & Review RSPO documentation Principles 1 – 3 (Murray) & & X & \\
1:00pm – 2:45pm & Inspect Tetere Environment – river, beach, streams (Baddley & Roger) & X & & \\
1:00pm – 2:45pm & Talk to Stakeholders & & & \\
3:30pm – 4:30pm & Meet with NGO Representative in Honiara (WWF) & X & X & X \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\textbf{Saturday 4\textsuperscript{th} December 2010}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Time & Activity & Allan & Tom & Mike \\
\hline
All day & Small Holders & & X & \\
8:00am – 10:30noon & Inspect Mbalisuna Estate incl. office, landfill, housing and clinic (Wilfred) & X & & \\
8:00am – 10:30noon & Inspect area to east of Mbalisuna incl. villages & environment (Baddley) & X & & \\
8:00am – 10:30noon & Mbalisuna Housing and clinics & & X & \\
11:00am – 12:00noon & Meet with Growers Association representatives (Baddley) & X & & \\
10:30am – 12:00noon & Review pays and social issues & & X & \\
10:30am – 12:00noon & Continue review of RSPO documentation & X & & \\
12:00noon – 1:00pm & Lunch – Tetere Estate Office & X & X & \\
1:00pm – 3:00pm & Inspect Tetere Estate incl. office. Landfill, housing and clinic (Stephen) & X & X & \\
1:00pm – 3:00pm & Inspect area around Tetere incl. VOP/smallholders (Baddley) & X & X & \\
3:00pm – 4:30pm & Continue review of RSPO documentation & X & X & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\textbf{Monday 6\textsuperscript{th} December 2010}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Time & Activity & Allan & Tom & Mike \\
\hline
8:00am – 10:00noon & Inspect Bulking terminal facility (Dom) & X & & \\
8:00am – 10:00noon & Inspect area around and west of Ngalimbiu & Okea (Baddley) & X & X & \\
11:00am – 12:00noon & Inspect Ngalimbiu and Okea Estates incl. office, landfill, housing & X & X & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Allan</th>
<th>Tom</th>
<th>Mike</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00noon – 1:00pm</td>
<td>Lunch – Tetere Estate Office</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00pm – 3:00pm</td>
<td>Meet with representative women’s group (Greenta, Mary, Dominica)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00pm -4:30pm</td>
<td>Review records of land title, leases, etc. (Baddley)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00pm – 3:00pm</td>
<td>Complete review of RSPO documentation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00pm – 4:30pm</td>
<td>Commence report preparation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tuesday 7th December 2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Allan</th>
<th>Tom</th>
<th>Mike</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00am – 11:00am</td>
<td>Finalise report, check any outstanding details</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00am – 12:00noon</td>
<td>Final exit meeting with senior staff</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30pm</td>
<td>Depart for airport to catch flight IE700 at 2:45pm</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Ensure drinking water is available for the Auditor at all times (i.e. in offices and cars)
- Light lunch only required (sandwiches, or pie or similar)
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CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN

GUADALCANAL PLAINS PALM OIL LTD

CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN

2010-2011
Action Plan for Continual Improvement in Sustainable Performance

Introduction

This Action Plan for Continual Improvement is identifies the planned actions that GPPOL will take in order to further improve its performance in the key areas of:

- Minimising the use of Certain Pesticides;
- Reducing negative and enhancing positive Environmental Impacts;
- Waste reduction;
- Pollution and emissions, and;
- Social Impacts.

GPPOL commits to maintaining and reporting on progress implementing this improvement plan commencing November, 2009 and reviewing it annually. By following this plan, GPPOL will continually improve its performance.

This plan provides guidance on how the sustainability principles to which GPPOL subscribes are implemented in a practical manner to provide operational, social and environmental benefits for all stakeholders.

These sustainability principles are defined in the following locations:

- RSPO Principles and Criteria (Solomon Islands National Interpretation)
- ISO 14001 Environmental Management Systems – Requirements with Guidance for Use
- The Company Sustainability Handbook
- OHSAS 18001 Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems - Requirements

Aim

The aim of this plan is to provide a blueprint for continually improving the sustainable performance of GPPOL whilst ensuring that it prospers and, by doing so, improves the lives of all stakeholders.

Objectives

The objectives for this plan are measurable annual improvements in the sustainable performance of the Company, particularly against measures of the main social and environmental impacts and improvement opportunities for both Estate and milling operations.

The timeframe for realising Objectives can be split into short term (1 to 2 years), medium term (3 to 8 years) and long term (greater than 8 years). Generally, the short term objectives are readily achievable, provide limited returns but have low risk of failure.
The medium term objectives bring greater returns but are harder to achieve and are likely to require revision and modification along the way. The long term objectives are those that will really shape the Company’s sustainable future. They require vision and strategic thinking and a long term commitment to bring them into reality.

Scope

This plan for continual improvement in the sustainable performance of GPPOL applies to all aspects of the Company’s operations including Estate and milling activities. It considers all sustainability criteria including performance in the fields of social, environmental and commercial performance.

Overview

Initiatives in various areas of activity are identified according to the nature of the improvement to be achieved and the timeframe in which it is intended to develop and implement it.

Importantly, the expected indicators of success are identified to provide the basis for performance measurement in the future.

The plan is presented in tabular form with commentary provided to assist understanding of the rationale for the planned activity.

Each activity will be monitored on an ongoing basis by the person responsible for its implementation and reported, where significant events have occurred, at regular management meetings. Periodically the Company will prepare and publish as Sustainability Report that will report on progress in the implementation of this plan for improvement.

Implementation

The start date of this plan is November 2009. It endeavours to cover a time span of 25 years as that is approximately the re-plant cycle of Oil Palm Estates and some environmental improvements will only be implemented at the time of re-planting.

Having said that though, it must be recognised that this plan will not identify all sustainability initiatives to be actioned over the 25 year period to 2034 and it is expected that many additional sustainability improvements will be identified and actioned within that period. For that reason, the plan is reviewed annually.

The review will not just review progress on previously identified activities but will identify and incorporate additional improvement activities that have arisen because of need, technological, social or environmental change of for other reasons. Within the life of the plan, it is expected that environmental, social, political and commercial requirements and expectations will evolve and the plan will respond in dynamic fashion to ensure continued relevance.

Planned activities are identified on the following pages. They are grouped according to area of endeavour and time-frame. The tables provide information on the expected benefits to be achieved.
## 1. Pesticides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Improvement Action</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Improve sprayer training to reduce spraying of non-target areas</td>
<td>4.7.3</td>
<td>Reduced Herbicide use, reduced ground cover damage</td>
<td>06/2011</td>
<td>Training records,</td>
<td>Estate Mgrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Establish Chemical store and mixing area at Okea</td>
<td>4.6.10</td>
<td>Reduced crowding at Ngalimbiu, reduced risk of spillage whilst travelling from Ngalimbiu to Okea</td>
<td>12/2012</td>
<td>Facility Constructed</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Reduce Usage of Paraquat</td>
<td>4.6.3, 4.6.5</td>
<td>Eventual phasing out and replacement by a suitable pesticide approved by RSPO</td>
<td>06/2011</td>
<td>Zero use of Paraquat throughout GPPOL operations</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Review pesticide and herbicide registration requirements in the Solomon Islands and confirm compliance. If necessary, work with Government and suppliers to clarify this issue.</td>
<td>4.6.11</td>
<td>Increased compliance level with Solomon Islands registration requirements</td>
<td>12/2011</td>
<td>All chemicals used have evidence of SI registration</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Extend training on the information contained in MSDS to increase understanding and awareness of their importance</td>
<td>4.6.8</td>
<td>More responsible usage of chemicals and improved ability to respond effectively to accidents.</td>
<td>06/2011</td>
<td>Improved application practices, improved emergency readiness.</td>
<td>Estate Mgrs /Sustainability Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Review Smallholder chemical use and training to ensure RSPO requirements are complied with</td>
<td>4.6.6</td>
<td>Increased yield from Smallholders, higher compliance levels</td>
<td>06/2011</td>
<td>Smallholders have certificates as evidence of training in chemical handling and application</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager/Lands Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Environment

Environmental impacts of GPPOL operations are both positive and negative. The continual improvement plan is built into the environmental aspects list. This list is reviewed every twelve (12) months or more often if significant changes in the Company’s operations or in the operating environment (including the regulatory environment) occur.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Improvement Action</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Progressive replacement of bridges and culverts in the field by fords where required and topography permits to reduce erosion and choke points.</td>
<td>4.3.5</td>
<td>Reduced erosion of roads and reduced siltation of water courses.</td>
<td>December 2011</td>
<td>Absence of evidence of erosion.</td>
<td>Estate Mgrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Progressive removal of unharvestable palms overhanging watercourses to reduce the quantity of fruit and fronds that cause pollution.</td>
<td>5.6.2, 4.7.2</td>
<td>Fewer harvester accidents, reduced pollution of waterways.</td>
<td>June 2012</td>
<td>Harvesters working on even ground, fruit and fronds kept out of drains and waterways.</td>
<td>Estate Mgrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Completion of the construction and commissioning of the Tertiary Treatment Plant for POME to reduce the load on the treatment ponds as mill throughput increases so that when pond discharge commences, effluent will be low in BOD and other controlled parameters.</td>
<td>4.4.1</td>
<td>Reduced load on POME treatment ponds, improved effluent quality</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>Plant completed and operational</td>
<td>Mill Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Education of staff and smallholders on the need to reduce burning and to ensure rubbish is segregated and correctly placed in landfill sites.</td>
<td>4.8.2</td>
<td>Improved Smallholder understanding, commitment and compliance</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>Records of smallholder training, evidence of good smallholder performance</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Construct a weir in the main mill and Tetere compound stormwater drain to capture polluted runoff and pump the runoff into the POME treatment ponds for control. Weir to be sized so that it will overflow after the first flush is captured in period of heavy rain to prevent flooding and to allow unpolluted water to escape.</td>
<td>4.4.1</td>
<td>Improved downstream water quality, reduction in risk of complaints from downstream communities.</td>
<td>December 2011</td>
<td>Completion of weir construction.</td>
<td>Mill Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Improvement Action</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Expected Outcome</td>
<td>Timeframe</td>
<td>Indicators</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Reduction in the quantity of water used in compounds through prompt repair of leaks and reduction of wastage.</td>
<td>4.4.1, 5.3.1</td>
<td>Reduced water consumption, reduced mosquito population with resultant malaria reduction.</td>
<td>February 2011</td>
<td>Absence of leaks and water logging in compounds</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Develop and implement an ISO14001 compliant Environmental Management System and obtain certification</td>
<td>MOU RSPO Principle 5</td>
<td>Improved control over environmental aspects by establishing a formalised framework subject to external audit</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>Achievement of external certification</td>
<td>General Manager/Sustainability Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Reinstate buffer zones along waterways in accordance with the Logging Code of Practice. Former plantings by GPPOL predecessor Company frequently failed to maintain the required buffer zones. GPPOL will progressively re-establish buffer zones when estate blocks are replanted or earlier if resources permit.</td>
<td>4.4.2</td>
<td>Less riverine erosion, creation of wildlife corridors, trapping and filtering of Estate run-off.</td>
<td>Progressive to 2020</td>
<td>Established buffer zones along all waterways within and alongside Estate areas.</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager/Estate Mgrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Waste Reduction

Waste reduction is being achieved in accordance with the Waste Management Plan. Waste reduction provides for reduced generation of waste as well as increased use of re-use and recycling processes to reduce the amount of actual waste that is generated.

Refer to the Waste Management plan for further details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Improvement Action</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Refer to waste management plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4. Pollution and Emissions

Pollution and emissions are identified in the Environmental Aspects listing. Plans to reduce these are provided in the list.

Control of rubbish and litter is seen as the biggest single issue in pollution control. It will be controlled through a mixture of education, increased collection, greater emphasis on recycling and greater supervisory intervention. (December 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Improvement Action</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Connect Tetere mill and housing compound to Solomon Island electricity Authority (SIEA) supply with ability to draw energy from the supply when the mill is not running and to feed-in to the Utility supply when the mill is running and there is excess energy available.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whilst this will reduce hydrocarbon use at the mill, it will increase usage by the utility. The increase will be less than the saving due to greater efficiencies in the plant used by the utility. Other benefits to the mill will include reduced noise and reduced waste generation (Filters, waste oil, etc.) Some reduced fuel usage by the utility due to feed in from Company operations.</td>
<td>December 2012</td>
<td>Completion of Project</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. **Social Impacts**

Continued improvement in the social impacts of GPPOL’s activities include: construction of additional housing, establishment of stakeholder consultative committee, improvements to clinic facilities, improved communication and consultation with external stakeholders. Planning for increased housing is progressive as Estate rehabilitation activities reduce, staff turnover reduces and attendance improves. A Stakeholder consultative committee has been established (Oct 2010) and is commencing to work with the Company to establish objectives for improvement.

There is evidence that as labour turnover decreases, improved stability within the workforce will reduce some of the issues that result from a high level of transient workers.

A dedicated Social improvement plan has been developed and is being refined with input from the Liaison Committee (GCLC). It provides greater than the items listed below and should be read in conjunction with this list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Improvement Action</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refer to Social Impact Improvement Plan. Due to complexity and multiple issues this is a live document that will be updated on a more frequent basis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. **Health and Safety**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Improvement Action</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Review of all accidents to clearly identify trends and highest risk activities and review of safety management plans</td>
<td>Clear Indication of major injury causes and subsequent plans inc monitoring to demonstrate effectiveness of controls</td>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Develop and deliver OHS training for specific issues including Confined spaces, Harvesting near power lines, Lifting (FFB), Working at heights, Dangers of electricity and Use of PPE</td>
<td>All personnel trained and recorded in all specified OHS training subjects. Integration with training programme.</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Work more closely with Government medical staff to improve the level of care to Company employees, their dependants and members of neighbouring communities</td>
<td>Recorded reduction in prevalent diseases and more community awareness of risks and methods of combat</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Commence regular safety audits to confirm checking of items such as testing of emergency stops and other safety interlocks, use of PPE, identification, availability of fire fighting equipment and isolation of hazards.</td>
<td>Regular recorded audits combined with Environmental inspections in accordance with all relevant standards</td>
<td>August 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Development of a formalised program for emergency drills.</td>
<td>Recorded evidence of regular and varied emergency drills to ensure all personnel are aware of potential accidents</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>Development of a mechanism for interchange of safety information with NBPOL in New Britain.</td>
<td>Sharing of knowledge to reduce common issues</td>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Legal Compliance and Transparency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Improvement Action</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Update the review of compliance with the requirements of the Memorandums of Understanding and applicable legislation.</td>
<td>2.1.1</td>
<td>Documented compliance evidence and possible update to MOU.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>No areas of legal noncompliance. MOU relevant to current operations.</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 8. General (Forward Planning and other issues)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Improvement Action</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Long term solution to traffic and potential environmental issues related to transport of oil to the bulking terminal at Point Cruz.</td>
<td>3.1.1</td>
<td>Relocated and expanded bulking terminal to remove constraints imposed on present location and to eliminate the need to operate 30 tonne road tankers through the central business district of Honiara</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Relocated bulking terminal.</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1  Minimising the use of Certain Pesticides

Two conventions (Stockholm and Rotterdam) identify chemicals that are to be phased out of use around the world. The background to this is described below.

In May 1995, the United Nations Environment Programme Governing Council (GC) decided to begin investigating POPs (Persistent Organic Pollutants), initially beginning with a short list of the following twelve POPs, known as the 'dirty dozen': aldrin, chlordane, DDT, dieldrin, endrin, heptachlor, hexachlorobenzene, mirex, polychlorinated biphenyls, polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, polychlorinated dibenzofurans, and toxaphene.

Since then, this list has generally been accepted to include such substances as carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and certain brominated flame-retardants, as well as some organometallic compounds such as tributyltin (TBT).

The groups of compounds that make up POPs are also classed as PBTs (Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic) or TOMPs (Toxic Organic Micro Pollutants.)

### Stockholm Convention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Current status at GPPOL</th>
<th>Planned Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aldrin</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlordane</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dieldrin</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endrin</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heptachlor</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexachlorobenzene</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mirex</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polychlorinated biphenyls</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polychlorinated dibenzofurans</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toxaphene</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rotterdam Convention

Annex III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Current status at GPPOL</th>
<th>Planned Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,4,5-T and its salts and esters</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldrin</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binapacryl</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captafol</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlordane</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloridimeform</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzilate</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieldrin</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinitro-ortho-cresol (DNOC) and its salts(such as ammonium salt, potassium salt and sodium salt)</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinoso and its salts and esters</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-dibromoethane(EDB)</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene dichloride</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene oxide</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroaceticamide</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCH (mixed isomers)</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobenzene</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindane</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury compounds</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocrotophos</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parathion</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorophenol and its salts and esters</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxaphene</td>
<td>Not currently used</td>
<td>None Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of any of the scheduled chemicals by GPPOL has been totally phased out. There are no plans to reintroduce any of these chemicals. Use of non-scheduled chemicals will be minimised through application of the IPM program. Availability of new, low impact chemicals will be monitored and they will be introduced if effective.

This is an ongoing commitment to improvement with no defined end-date. None-the-less, progress will be reviewed annually.

### Introduction

This document summarises the register of all identified social impacts resulting from GPPOL’s operations on employees, individuals and affected communities. It is a living document and is updated as relevant and at least every six (6) months. Responsibility for updating this document is with the Sustainability Manager.

This document also provides a plan identifying actions which are intended to mitigate the negative social impacts and promote the positive impacts. Dates by which planned activities are to be implemented and responsibilities for the actions are included.

### Approach

The primary mechanism for community engagement is through the GPPOL Community Liaison Committee (GCLC).
This committee has been established by the Company however the Chairman is external to the Company. Members have been invited to join the committee on the basis of their representation of impacted communities.

The committee meets formally on a quarterly basis and meetings are minuted. Two meetings have been held to date.

The Company has been careful not to influence the workings or opinion of the committee and has therefore, not pre-empted identification of issues by issuing the social impact assessment conducted by Malaysian consultant Wild Asia.

Instead, the committee has been encouraged to identify and prioritise issues according to their own perception and the perceptions of those that they represent.

**Progress to date**

The GCLC has been established during 2010 and has met twice on a formal basis. Work has been done at those meetings to identify social impacts and those impacts are listed on the following pages.

The list of social impacts prepared by Wild Asia is also attached.

It will be noted that there is strong correlation between the lists and it is intended to review both and raise any issues identified by Wild Asia but not mentioned by the committee at the next meeting to determine whether they should be added to the GCLC list which is regarded as the primary register of Social Impacts as it has a great deal of ownership by the committee.

The Company is reacting strongly and positively to the issues raised with steps being taken to develop and apply controls (consistent with legal authority) alcohol sales in and near housing compounds whilst at the same time planning improvements to sporting facilities to provide alternate family oriented activities.
The Company recognises the need to be proactive in managing the compounds and is taking action to develop an appropriate action plan which balances the need to protect the community whilst recognising individual rights, all within the legal structure of the Solomon Islands and the resources available to the Company.

The appointment of a Sustainability Manager to the Company is strengthening top management and increasing the resources available to implement measures to alleviate negative social impacts and enhance the positive ones.

Further development

The Committee will continue to meet and develop its listing of social impacts further by adding to it and prioritising it.

It will provide feedback on the effectiveness of Company actions and make suggestions as to how issues may best be addressed.

Further development is planned to extend representation on the committee to include Honiara as it is easy to overlook the impact of the Bulking Station at Point Cruz and the associated heavy traffic that it generates through the central business district.
## Appendix A: Impacts as Identified by GCLC Committee, 3rd November 2010, listed in order of importance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Specifics and Possible Mitigation Strategy</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Achievements (November 2010)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>RSPO Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1    | Substance abuse | 1. Enforce GPPOL Policy on no alcohol to be consumed or sold in compounds.  
2. Speak to local community about helping to close outlets close to compounds.  
3. Initiate programmes with employees, and communities, giving awareness about the damaging effects of alcohol and drugs. Start sporting groups in all areas.  
Counselling requirements | GCLC/Estate Managers  
Lands Officer/GCLC  
Sustainability Manager/GCLC  
Sustainability Manager | 2011 and ongoing  
ongoing  
2011 and ongoing | Initial work done on legal options for limiting or preventing alcohol sales in and near housing compounds. This has already begun with Association Chairman leading in the Ngalimbiu area  
Licencing controls and policing are out of the companies control | | 6.1.3, 6.9.1, 6.11.1 |
| 2    | GPPOL Housing | Company housing is overcrowded with passengers and wantoks. Leads to violence and abuse.  
Completion of first 12 units, 48 rooms, at Okea allowing reduction in crowding.  
Completion of 4 additional units, 16 rooms, at Okea  
Junior staff quarters completed at Okea  
Review of single person status accommodation facilities in all compounds | All GPPOL Managers and Community Leaders  
General Manager  
General Manager  
General Manager  
Sustainability Manager | ongoing  
January 2011  
January 2011  
April 2011  
March 2011 | GPPOL women’s group workshop 18th November 2011  
On schedule for habitation end of 2010  
On schedule  
Scheduled | Poor turnout from govt bodies and police  
Will reduce overcrowding in existing compounds  
As above  
Will alleviate social discourse between single, married couples and children. | | 6.5.4 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Specifics and Possible Mitigation Strategy</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Achievements (November 2010)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>RSPO Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Terminated workers are remaining in company houses.</td>
<td>PMs and security</td>
<td>14 days after finish</td>
<td>Workers being identified. Legal action has commenced.</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Should be one kitchen to one family - cultural sensitivity.</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
<td>July 2011</td>
<td>Some planning on identifying locations where this is an issue has been done.</td>
<td>Will have to be approved in Capex budget</td>
<td>6.5.4, 6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>QLQ need a power point. Ventilation is poor and needs improvement.</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>Review and planning underway</td>
<td>Coordination with Mill Manager and electrical crew</td>
<td>6.5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Verandahs need a raised partition for privacy.</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
<td>Capex – GM</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Will have to be approved in Capex budget</td>
<td>6.5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black market alcohol being sold in the compounds.</td>
<td>Lands Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plan to engage more closely with local police</td>
<td>Ongoing issue until community values change</td>
<td>6.1.3, 6.9.1, 6.11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Community Policing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need to identify leaders for different ethnic groups, give them some training on assisting with community issues.</td>
<td>Lands officer</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Then strengthen the ties between them and the local leaders/chiefs/communities.</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager/Lands Officer</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>Dialogue commenced.</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local police need to be more active, independent and professional in their work.</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
<td>regularly contact Govt</td>
<td>Contact is occurring.</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of discipline by both teachers and students.</td>
<td>GEA</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>No action yet</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High absenteeism by both teachers and students.</td>
<td>GEA</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>No action yet</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of housing for teachers.</td>
<td>GEA, GPPOL</td>
<td>begin 2011</td>
<td>Housing occupied by terminated employees being cleared. This will assist</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1.3, 6.5.4, 6.5.5, 6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of classroom space necessitated by growing population.</td>
<td>GEA, GPPOL, Communities</td>
<td>begin 2011</td>
<td>No action yet</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5.4, 6.5.5, 6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of organised school activities.</td>
<td>GCLC</td>
<td>Begin mid 2011</td>
<td>Company to support</td>
<td>Su</td>
<td>6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Specifics and Possible Mitigation Strategy</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Achievements (November 2010)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>RSPO Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of investment and support from parents, communities and government.</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td>regularly contact govt</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
<td>6.5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>School fees are a disincentive to sending children to school.</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
<td>regularly contact govt</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>6.1.3, 6.5.4, 6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Need to replant buffers and grass areas of lease.</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td>2010 - 2015</td>
<td>Has commenced. Possible opportunity to engage school groups in future.</td>
<td>4.4.2, 4.4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beautify compounds and roadways.</td>
<td>Estate Managers</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>Work being done on an ad-hoc basis</td>
<td>Need to produce a structured plan against which progress can be measured.</td>
<td>4.4.2, 6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Place more warning signs for hazard areas, speed limits etc.</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>No action yet</td>
<td>Planning required</td>
<td>4.7.2, 6.5.4, 6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Must regularly monitor streams to maintain water quality.</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td>January 2011</td>
<td>Water Management plan being reviewed for commencement in January.</td>
<td>4.1.3, 4.4.1, 6.5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water dusty roads through compounds to reduce dust.</td>
<td>VWS</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>VWS building water cart.</td>
<td>6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Lack of clinics and Aid Posts in the community</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager/GCLC</td>
<td>regularly contact govt</td>
<td>No progress to date.</td>
<td>6.5.4, 6.5.5, 6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feeder roads to link villages and communities need maintenance</td>
<td>Lands Officer</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>This is already taking place on request.</td>
<td>6.5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assistance to communities whose land is unsuitable for oil palm</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>Some work has been done on alternate land usage</td>
<td>Policy must be developed outlining options to ensure a consistent approach to affected communities.</td>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Company to work with government and aid providers to address diseases such as malaria</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>Working with GPG on Malaria control in the area</td>
<td>6.5.4, 6.5.5, 6.5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Part B: Impacts as Identified by Social Assessment Report, Wild Asia, June 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Specifics and Possible Mitigation Strategy</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Achievements</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Reference Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cost of living for low wage earners</td>
<td>Define a &quot;survival wage&quot;.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1.2.b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conduct annual &quot;Bilum Index&quot; survey.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Management of &quot;created&quot; communities, i.e. company compounds</td>
<td>Appoint designated Compound Supervisors with specific duties, to manage the company villages.</td>
<td>PMs</td>
<td>June 2010</td>
<td>All compounds have designated supervisors with Duty Statements</td>
<td>Payroll data can now check employee with house No, etc.</td>
<td>5.1.2.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Overcrowded Housing</td>
<td>Regular compound census carried out.</td>
<td>Compound Supervisors</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>Nov 2010 in progress</td>
<td>Payroll data can now check employee with house No, etc.</td>
<td>5.1.3.b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPPOL policies don’t allow unauthorised Passengers and wantoks</td>
<td>CS and securities</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>Policies and regulations in place. Enforcing is the problem.</td>
<td>5.1.3.c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Housing design</td>
<td>Establish minimum design guidelines</td>
<td>GM and construction</td>
<td>August 2010</td>
<td>Designs agreed.</td>
<td>Are continually being improved on as and when seen fit.</td>
<td>5.1.3.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure housing number is adequate for workforce.</td>
<td>GM and construction</td>
<td>September each year</td>
<td>Included in Capex</td>
<td>General rule is 7 hectares per field worker, 6 workers per QLQ. Assumes some employees have two workers in the household.</td>
<td>5.1.3.e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Occupational, Health and Safety of employees</td>
<td>Establish OHS/Safety committees at each workplace,</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>asap</td>
<td>Completed and in place December 2009</td>
<td>Committees to meet quarterly, following workplace inspections and report to SM</td>
<td>5.1.5.b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collect health records of employees, review and develop mitigation strategies</td>
<td>Nurse in Charge, SM</td>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>LTI and type of injuries collected monthly, all medical records collected and reported monthly</td>
<td>Both these are in place, More analysis and remedial action can be put in place over time.</td>
<td>5.1.5.c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Specifics and Possible Mitigation Strategy</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Achievements</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Reference Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Training of employees and smallholders</td>
<td>Training Plan and training programmes in place</td>
<td>All departments</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>Company Training Plan and annual training programme in place</td>
<td>Each workplace has their own training records. General records kept by GM/SM</td>
<td>5.1.5.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM, Nurse in Charge</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Contact has been made with Cuban doctors in Honiara</td>
<td>Wednesday has been chosen as best day. Still have to confirm.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All departments</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>Training is part of the work practice in most areas</td>
<td>as above</td>
<td>5.1.5.b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAM and GM</td>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>Monthly Field Days are held which are based around training</td>
<td>Programme and records available</td>
<td>5.1.5.c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Company Policies</td>
<td>Policies are in place and communicated</td>
<td>GM and all managers</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>ongoing awareness necessary</td>
<td>Awareness in place, compliance not so good.</td>
<td>5.1.6.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Security improvement</td>
<td>Improve recruitment of personnel and look at other means of security management</td>
<td>GM and all managers</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>All security scheduling changed. Surveillance system installed for Head Office, Mill and VWS area</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1.6.b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>Define stakeholders and initiate means of effective communication to and fro.</td>
<td>GM</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>Established Community Women’s Group and GPPOL Community Liaison Committee</td>
<td>Members identified, meetings held, first list of Impacts derived (see above)</td>
<td>5.2.3.a and b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sustainability blue print</td>
<td>Overall assessment of environmental impacts, monitoring systems and improvements to be investigated and developed to maintain sustainable development of the Guadalcanal Plains.</td>
<td>SM, GM</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Proposal and plans developed.</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager to work with govt, NGOs, communities and landowners to develop</td>
<td>5.2.5.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of environmental hazards and risks</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>Many already identified for Estates, mill and direct operations. Further work on whole area</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2.5.b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix “D”

Nonconformities, Corrective Actions and Observations Summary
0 Non Conformances against Major Compliance Indicators

2 Non-conformance against Minor Compliance Indicators

4 Observations/Opportunities for Improvement

## MAJOR NON-CONFORMANCE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>NCR Ref.</th>
<th>Minor Non-Conformance Details</th>
<th>Corrective Actions</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Date Due</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.7.2</td>
<td>CR01</td>
<td>Minor NCR 4.7.2 A number of contractors working on the Okea housing project were not wearing and using appropriate PPE.</td>
<td>Construction contractors to be re-inducted with emphasis on the importance of PPE. Construction Manager to be advised of non-conformance and to ensure all future contractors are inducted and recorded.</td>
<td>Sustainability Manager and Construction Manager</td>
<td>10/12/2010</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>NCR Ref.</th>
<th>Minor Non-Conformance Details</th>
<th>Corrective Actions</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Date Due</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.5.6</td>
<td>CR02</td>
<td>6.5.6 Minor NCR: A timeframe for the provision of housing for general workers (so that each family has two rooms and separate toilet, shower and washing facilities) should be made known for those families currently sharing facilities.</td>
<td>With turnover now reducing and operation units now more productive, the required labour is reducing. This frees up housing for employees. Monthly housing census will monitor this situation and families will be notified as to when their they will have access to their own facilities</td>
<td>Departmental Managers with compound supervisors</td>
<td>May 2011</td>
<td>In progress and being monitored</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OBSERVATIONS / OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT

1. 4.3.5 Observation: There is a plan in place to repair and restore up to 20 road bridges and this plan needs to be updated to show progress so far and timetable is maintained.

2. 4.4.1 Observation: The water management plan requires updating to show 2010 actions.

3. 4.7.3 Observation: Although greatly improved there are still times when workers and contractors are not using PPE correctly – for example inadequate footwear, not using Hearing protection when required. Contents of two drums in Construction office not identified.

4. 6.3 Observation: More clarity required in grievance mechanisms, the smallholder affairs section of GPPOL has limited staff and requires expansion. James is a one man band and although well respected by smallholders is the subject of all their complaints about the company, e.g. slowness of delivery of tools, nets.